

## REFERENCES

1. Nolan K, Swenson S. New tools, new dilemmas: genetic frontiers. *Hastings Cent Rep.* 1988;18(5):40-46.
2. Murray TH. The Human Genome Project and genetic testing: ethical implications. In: *The Genome, Ethics and the Law: Issues in Genetic Testing.* Washington, DC: American Association for the Advancement of Science; 1991:49-78.
3. Powledge T. Ethical and legal implications of genetic testing: a synthesis. In: *The Genome, Ethics and the Law: Issues in Genetic Testing.* Washington, DC: American Association for the Advancement of Science; 1991:1-21.
4. Botkin JR. Prenatal screening: professional standard and the limits of parental choice. *Obstet Gynecol.* 1990;75:875-880.
5. Davis JG. Genetic disease, government, and social justice. In: Milunsky A, Annas G, eds. *Genetics and the Law III.* New York, NY: Plenum Press; 1985:385-393.
6. Elsas L. A clinical approach to legal and ethical problems in human genetics. *Emory Law J.* 1990; 39(3):814-824.
7. Lippman-Hand A, Fraser FC. Genetic counseling: parents' responses to uncertainty. *Birth Defects.* 1979;15:325-339.
8. Walters L. Genetics and reproductive technologies. In: Veatch R, ed. *Medical Ethics.* Boston, Mass: Jones & Bartlett; 1989:201-228.
9. Collins F. Medical and ethical consequences of the Human Genome Project. *J Clin Ethics.* 1991; 2:260-267.
10. Brody H. Ethics, technology, and the Human Genome Project. *J Clin Ethics.* 1991;2:278-281.
11. Doukas D. Genetics research and social roles: on a collision course? *J Clin Ethics.* 1991;2:258-259.
12. Quaid KA, Brandt J, Faden RR, Folstein SE. Knowledge, attitude, and the decision to be tested for Huntington's disease. *Clin Genet.* 1989;36:431-438.
13. Wiggins S, Whyte MA, Huggins M, et al. The psychological consequences of predictive testing for Huntington's disease. *N Engl J Med.* 1992; 327:1401-1405.
14. Doukas D. Autonomy and beneficence in the family: describing the family covenant. *J Clin Ethics.* 1991;2:145-148.
15. Hays CV. Genetic disease for Huntington's disease: a family issue. *N Engl J Med.* 1992;327: 1449-1451.
16. Singer E. Public attitudes toward genetic testing. *Popul Res Policy Rev.* 1991;10:235-255.
17. Bazelon DL. Governing technology: values, choices, and scientific progress. In: Iannone AP, ed. *Contemporary Moral Controversies in Technology.* New York, NY: Oxford University Press; 1987: 132-140.
18. Byk C. The Human Genome Project and the social contract: a law policy approach. *J Med Philos.* 1992;17:371-380.
19. Holtzman NA. Public participation in genetic policymaking. In: Milunsky A, Annas G, eds. *Genetics and the Law II.* New York, NY: Plenum Press; 1980:247-255.
20. President's Commission for the Study of Ethical Problems in Medicine and Biomedical and Behavioral Research. *Splicing Life: The Social and Ethical Issues of Genetics Engineering With Human Beings.* Washington, DC: US Government Printing Office; 1982.
21. Fishkin J. *The Dialogue of Justice: Toward a Self-Reflective Society.* New Haven, Conn: Yale University Press; 1992.
22. Fleck LM. Just health care rationing: a democratic decisionmaking approach. *Univ Pennsylvania Law Rev.* 1992;140:1636.
23. Jennings B. A grassroots movement in bioethics: community health decisions. *Hastings Cent Rep.* 1988;18(special suppl):1-16.

### Announcement

#### Revision of American Medical Association Style Manual Begins Call for Comments

Work is beginning on the ninth edition of the *AMA Manual of Style*. If you have any suggestions for inclusion or any requests for new or different material that would make the manual more useful to you, please send them to Cheryl Iverson, Director, Editorial Processing Division, Scientific Publications, American Medical Association, 515 N Dear St, Chicago, IL 60610 (Tel: 312/462-5896).