


THE OPTIMIZATION OF THE DIELS-ALDER REACTIONS
OF 2,5-DISUBSTITUTED FURAN DERIVATIVES WITH
FUNCTIONALIZED CHAINS. SYNTHETIC APPLICATIONS

Benito Alcaide, Cristina Biurrún, and Joaquín Plumet*

Universidad Complutense, Facultad de Química, Departamento de
Química Orgánica, E28040 Madrid, Spain


Abstract– The Diels-Alder reaction between complex 2,5-disubstituted furan derivatives and dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate has been studied. Some synthetic applications of the cycloadducts are considered.

The use of furan in Diels-Alder reactions is a well documented process.¹ However, a few number of 2,5-disubstituted furan derivatives have been used as diene components² and, among those, only compounds **(1)** and **(2)** (Scheme 1) are differently substituted derivatives in both positions.³ In this report we wish to account for our studies on the optimization of the Diels-Alder reaction using



Scheme 1

functionalized 2,5-disubstituted furan derivatives as diene partner and dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate (DMADC) as dienophile.




Scheme 2

Compound (**3**) was chosen as a model (Scheme 2). The results of the optimization experiments are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1. Optimization of the cycloaddition of **3** and DMADC.


Catalyst	3:DMADC:Cat.	[3] (M)	Solvent	T	Time	Yield (%)
None	1:1:0	1.0	Et ₂ O	room temperature	1.3 h	0
None	1:1:0	1.0	THF	Reflux	46 h	60
None	1:1:0	0.5	THF	Reflux	7 d	0
None	1.5:1:0	1.0	THF	Reflux	47 h	22
None	1:1.5:0	1.0	THF	Reflux	53 h	40
None	1:1:0	1.0	Toluene	Reflux	0.7 h	0
BF ₃ .OEt ₂	1:1:1	1.0	Et ₂ O	room temperature	21 h	10
ZnI ₂	1:1:1	1.0	Et ₂ O	room temperature	4 d	60
AlCl ₃	1:1:1	1.0	THF	Reflux	36 h	55
AlCl ₃	1:1:1	1.0	Toluene	Reflux	4.5 h	80

Extension of the optimized conditions (last essay) to the derivatives (**5**) and (**6**) allows us for the synthesis of cycloadducts (**7**) and (**8**) with 75 and 70% isolated yields respectively (Scheme 3).




Scheme 3

A synthetic application of the cycloadducts (4, 7 and 8) involves their transformation in phenolic derivatives⁵ by reaction with BF₃·OEt₂ in CH₂Cl₂⁶ giving the "rearranged" compounds (9-11) in good yields (75-99%) (Scheme 4).




Scheme 4

According with the proposed mechanism for this transformation,⁷ in the case of compound (8) two carbocations could be generated and hence two final products should be obtained (Scheme 5). However only the phenol (11) was detected and isolated (99%) from the reaction mixture. This observation constitutes an intriguing case of differential remote stabilization of a carbocationic center.⁸



Scheme 5

Confirmation of the structure of **11** was achieved by its transformation into dihydrocoumarin (**12**) (80% isolated yield) by heating with $\text{CF}_3\text{CO}_2\text{H}$ in toluene⁷ (Scheme 6).



Scheme 6

In conclusion, we have studied the hitherto not optimized Diels-Alder cycloaddition of functionalized 2,5-disubstituted furan derivatives. Some synthetic utility of the obtained cycloadducts have been considered.

EXPERIMENTAL

Ir spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer 257 spectrophotometer, ν values in cm^{-1} . ^1H -Nmr and ^{13}C -nmr were obtained on a Varian T-300 spectrometer for CDCl_3 solutions and the chemical shifts are reported in δ (ppm from internal TMS). Silica gel Merk 60 (230-400 mesh) and DC-Alufolien 60F254 were used for conventional and analytical (tlc) chromatography respectively. Toluene and methylene chloride were distilled over CaH_2 before use. Dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate, AlCl_3 , BF_3 and trifluoroacetic acid were available through commercial sources.

Diels-Alder reaction of furan derivatives (3, 5 and 6) with dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate (DMADC).

A solution of furan derivative⁹ (1 mmol), DMADC (141 mg, 1 mmol) and AlCl_3 (133 mg, 1mmol) in toluene (1 ml) was warmed (reflux) by 4.5 h under argon atmosphere. After the reaction was complete, a saturated aqueous solution (1 ml) of K_2CO_3 was added. The reaction mixture was extracted with AcOEt , and the organic extracts were dried over MgSO_4 . The drying agent was removed by filtration and the solvent was distilled to yield a pale yellow oil which was purified by column chromatography (hexane-ethyl acetate 2:1) to give the adduct (4, 7 or 8).

Compound (4) was obtained as colorless prisms (mp $50-51^\circ\text{C}$) in 80% yield (268 mg). Ir (KBr): 1740, 1720. ^1H -Nmr: 6.95 (s, 2H, H5 and H6), 3.80 (s, 6H, H9 and H9'), 3.68 (s, 6H, H13 and H13'), 2.54-2.48 (m, 8H, H10, H11, H10' and H11'). ^{13}C -Nmr: 172.95 (C12 and C12'), 163.79 (C8 and C8'), 154.24 (C2 and C3), 145.88 (C5 and C6), 94.55 (C1 and C4), 52.02 (C9 and C9'), 51.40 (C13 and C13'), 29.29 (C11 and C11'), 24.10 (C10 and C10'). Anal. Calcd for $\text{C}_{18}\text{H}_{22}\text{O}_9$: C 56.54, H 5.76. Found: C 56.72, H 5.78.

Compound (7) was obtained as colorless prisms (mp $102-103^\circ\text{C}$) in 75% yield (263 mg). Ir (KBr): 1730, 1710. ^1H -Nmr: 6.93 (s, 2H, H5 and H6), 3.80 (s, 6H, H9 and H9'), 2.64-2.45 (m, 8H, H10, H11, H10' and H11'), 2.16 (s, 6H, H13 and H13'). ^{13}C -Nmr: 207.28 (C12 and C12'), 163.98 (C8 and C8'), 154.48 (C2 and C3), 146.15 (C5 and C6), 94.81 (C1 and C4), 52.22 (C9 and C9'), 38.79 (C11 and C11'), 29.85 (C13 and C13'), 22.78 (C10 and C10'). Anal. Calcd for $\text{C}_{18}\text{H}_{22}\text{O}_7$: C 61.72, H 6.28%. Found: C 61.72, H 6.32.

Compound (8) was obtained as a pale yellow oil in 70% yield (256 mg). Ir (film): 1740, 1720. ^1H -Nmr: 6.87 (s, 2H, H5 and H6), 3.72 (s, 6H, H9 and H9'), 3.61 (s, 3H, H13'), 2.55-2.36 (m, 8H, H10, H11, H10' and H11'), 2.08 (s, 3H, H13). ^{13}C -Nmr: 207.15 (C12), 173.00 (C12'), 163.93 (C8'), 163.75 (C8), 154.74 (C2), 153.89 (C3), 146.14 (C6), 145.81 (C5), 94.82 (C1), 94.53 (C4), 52.20 (C9), 52.18 (C9'), 51.57 (C13'), 38.69 (C11), 29.86 (C13), 29.48 (C11'), 24.24 (C10'), 22.73 (C10).

Transformation of cycloadducts (4, 7 and 8) into the corresponding phenolic derivatives (9, 10 and 11).

To a solution of adducts (4, 7 or 8) (1 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (3 ml) under argon atmosphere, a solution of BF_3 (1 mmol) in ether (0.12 ml) was added. The reaction mixture was warmed under reflux by 2 h, after which it was hydrolyzed with water. The reaction mixture was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 , and the organic extracts were dried over MgSO_4 . The drying agent was removed by filtration and the solvent was distilled to yield a pale yellow oil which was purified by column chromatography (hexane-ethyl acetate 1:2) to give the phenolic derivatives (9, 10 and 11).

Compound (9) was obtained as pale yellow oil in 75% yield (287 mg). Ir (film): 3150, 1740. ^1H -Nmr: 11.13 (s, 1H, OH), 7.26 (s, 1H, H5), 3.92 (s, 3H, H8), 3.84 (s, 3H, H10), 3.68 (s, 3H, H14), 3.66 (s, 3H, H18), 2.97 (t, 2H, $J = 7.6$ Hz, H15), 2.78 (t, 2H, $J = 7.6$ Hz, H11), 2.65 (t, 2H, $J = 7.6$ Hz, H16), 2.56 (t, 2H, $J = 7.6$ Hz, H12). ^{13}C -Nmr: 172.99 (C17), 172.63 (C13), 169.37 (C7), 168.94 (C9), 158.26 (C1), 136.62 (C5), 132.62 (C4), 130.55 (C6), 127.79 (C3), 108.61 (C2), 52.74 (C8), 52.17 (C10), 51.41 (C14), 51.34 (C18), 35.41 (C12), 32.87 (C16), 27.87 (C11), 25.46 (C15).

Compound (10) was obtained as colorless prisms (mp 39-40°C) in 80% yield (280 mg). Ir (KBr): 3150, 1740, 1730, 1720. ^1H -Nmr: 11.09 (s, 1H, OH), 7.24 (s, 1H, H5), 3.91 (s, 3H, H8), 3.87 (s, 3H, H10), 2.89-2.86 (m, 2H, H15), 2.78-2.69 (m, 2H, H16), 2.69 (s, 4H, H11 and H12), 2.13 (s, 3H, H14), 2.12 (s, 3H, H18). ^{13}C -Nmr: 207.66 (C17), 207.17 (C13), 169.45 (C7), 169.15 (C9), 158.11 (C1), 136.94 (C5), 132.29 (C4), 131.10 (C6), 128.44 (C3), 108.56 (C2), 52.77 (C8), 52.22 (C10), 45.02 (C12), 42.34 (C16), 29.73 (C14 and C18), 26.57 (C11), 24.30 (C15). Anal. Calcd for $\text{C}_{18}\text{H}_{22}\text{O}_7$: C 61.71, H 6.29. Found: C 61.68, H 6.25.

Compound (11) was obtained as pale yellow oil in 99% yield (362 mg). Ir (film): 3100, 1730, 1710. $^1\text{H-Nmr}$: 11.11 (s, 1H, OH), 7.25 (s, 1H, H5), 3.92 (s, 3H, H8), 3.88 (s, 3H, H10), 3.66 (s, 3H, H18), 2.96 (t, 2H, $J = 7.5$ Hz, H15), 2.70 (s, 4H, H11 and H12), 2.65 (t, 2H, $J = 7.5$ Hz, H16), 2.13 (s, 3H, H14). $^{13}\text{C-Nmr}$: 207.17 (C13), 173.11 (C17), 169.46 (C7), 169.17 (C9), 158.21 (C1), 136.82 (C5), 132.53 (C4), 130.59 (C6), 128.45 (C3), 108.60 (C2), 52.73 (C8), 52.18 (C10), 51.34 (C18), 44.98 (C12), 32.87 (C16), 29.66 (C14), 26.52 (C11), 25.43 (C15).

Synthesis of dihydrocumarin (12)

To a solution of phenolic derivative (11) (366 mg, 1 mmol) in toluene (15 ml), trifluoroacetic acid (0.5 ml, 6.5 mmol) was added. The solution was warmed under reflux by 48 h, after which it was hydrolyzed with water (3 ml). The crude product was extracted with AcOEt and the organic extracts were dried over MgSO_4 . The drying agent was removed by filtration and the solvent was distilled to give a pale yellow oil corresponding to dihydrocumarin (12), which was purified by column chromatography (hexane-ethyl acetate 1:2). Isolated yield 270 mg (80%).

Ir (film): 1790, 1740. $^1\text{H-Nmr}$: 7.22 (s, 1H, H6), 3.83 (s, 3H, H17), 3.77 (s, 3H, H18), 2.86 (t, 2H, $J = 7.2$ Hz, H4), 2.71 (t, 2H, $J = 7.2$ Hz, H3), 2.62 (s, 4H, H13 and H14), 2.05 (s, 3H, H16). $^{13}\text{C-Nmr}$: 207.35 (C15), 172.87 (C2), 166.53 (C11), 166.25 (C12), 165.32 (C10), 148.05 (C7), 136.23 (C5), 132.03 (C6), 127.32 (C8), 122.85 (C9), 52.84 (C18), 52.63 (C17), 44.63 (C16), 29.59 (C14), 28.41 (C3), 27.13 (C13), 23.83 (C4).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the C. I. C. Y. T. (Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia, Spain) (Grant PB90-0035), and PharmaMar S. A. (Madrid) for financial support. One of us (C.B.) gratefully acknowledges the Dirección General de Política Científica (Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia, Spain) for a fellowship.

REFERENCES AND NOTES

1. For some reviews, see: a) F. M. Dean, *Adv. Heterocyclic Chem.*, **1981**, 30, 168. b) F. M. Dean, *Adv. Heterocyclic Chem.*, **1982**, 31, 237.
2. F. Fringuelli and A. Taticchi, 'Dienes in the Diels-Alder reactions', J. Wiley, 1990. Chp. 6, p. 289.

3. For compound (1): E. L. Clennan and M. E. Mehrskeikh-Mohammadi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **1984**, *106*, 7112.
For compound (2): K. T. Potts and E. B. Walsh, *J. Org. Chem.*, **1984**, *49*, 4099.
4. Compounds derived from 7-oxabicyclo[2.2.1]heptadiene framework are important starting material in the preparation of many products with biological and industrial utility. For a general review, see B. H. Lipshutz, *Chem. Rev.*, **1986**, *86*, 795.
5. Polysubstituted phenolic derivatives are interesting industrial compounds being also present in the structure of several natural products. For a review, see D. Barton and W. D. Ollis, 'Comprehensive Organic Chemistry', Pergamon Press, 1979. Vol. 1, p. 708; Vol. 5, p. 4, 885 and 924.
6. For a review of this kind of transformations, see: a) H. N. C. Wong, T. K. Ng, T. Y. Wong, and T. D. Xing, *Heterocycles*, **1984**, *22*, 875. b) H. N. C. Wong, T. K. Ng, and T. Y. Wong, *Heterocycles*, **1983**, *20*, 1815.
7. A. W. McCulloch, B. Stanwnouik, D. J. Smith, and G. McInnes, *Can. J. Chem.*, **1969**, *47*, 4319. See also B. Alcaide, J. Plumet, and I. M. R. Campos, *Heterocycles*, **1986**, *24*, 141.
8. For remote stabilization of carbocationic center in 7-oxabicyclo[2.2.1]heptane derivatives and synthetic applications of this phenomena, see: a) P. Vogel, *Bull. Soc. Chim. Belg.*, **1990**, *89*, 395. b) P. Vogel, D. Fattori, F. Gasparini, and C. Le Drian, *Synlett*, **1990**, 173. See also c) O. Arjona, R. F. de la Pradilla, J. Plumet, and A. Viso, *J. Org. Chem.*, **1991**, *56*, 6227.
9. a) C. Domínguez, A. García Csáky, J. Magano, and J. Plumet, *Synthesis*, **1989**, 172. b) J. Hernández, C. Abradelo, A. García Csáky, C. Domínguez, A. Gaset, L. Rigal, C. Cativiela, J. A. Mayoral, and J. Plumet, *Heterocycles*, **1989**, *29*, 657. c) C. Domínguez, A. García Csáky, and J. Plumet, *Synth. Comm.*, **1990**, *20*, 119.

Received, 1st February, 1993