

THE PREPARATION OF SOME $\text{CH}_2\text{NMe}_3^{\oplus}$ -SUBSTITUTED BICYCLO
[3,2,1]OCTANES. THE ROTAMERIC BEHAVIOUR OF THE $\text{CH}_2\text{NMe}_3^{\oplus}$ -SUBSTITUENT.

Robert Van Cauwenberghe, Marc Anteunis^{*} and Christian Becu
=====

Department of Chemistry, Laboratory for NMR Spectroscopy,

State University of Gent, Krijgslaan 271 (S.4bis)

B-9000 GENT, Belgium.

The conformations of cis-2- CH_2X -4-Me-3,6,8-trioxa-bi-cyclo[3,2,1]octane ($\text{X}=\text{Cl}, \text{NMe}_3^+$) and cis-2- $\text{CH}_2\text{NMe}_3^+$ -4-Me-3,8-dioxa-6-aza-bicyclo[3,2,1]octane are investigated by ^1H -NMR spectroscopy. As a result of the imposed conformation of the five membered ring-moiety, the orientation of the side-chain is that with the $\text{C}_2\text{-N}^+$ and adjacent $\text{O}_3\text{-C}_2$ bonds in an antiperiplanar relationship. This situation corroborates a previously proposed parallelity effect between p-O and $\text{C-N}^{\oplus}$.

We have found earlier¹ a pronounced rotameric preference of a $\text{CH}_2\text{NMe}_3^{\oplus}$ side-chain with respect to an adjacent C-O bond, during a comparative study of 2- CF_3 -4- $\text{CH}_2\text{NMe}_3^+$ -1,3-dioxolanes and 2- CH_3 -4- $\text{CH}_2\text{NMe}_3^+$ -1,3-dioxolanes. Two types of rotamers could be distinguished. Type I (fig.1) is characterized by an antiperiplanar relationship between the $\text{C}_4\text{-N}^+$ and $\text{C}_4\text{-O}_3$ -bonds ; whereas in

type II they stay gauche. We found¹ the latter situation to be the favoured one, if parallelity between the C_4-N^+ bond and an oxygen p-orbital is possible. Thus in 5- NMe_3^+ -1,3-dioxane, the axial position is favoured (Type II) over the equatorial (Type I) for at least 2 kcal/mole.^{1,2} This parallelity is compromised in 2- CH_3 -1,3-dioxolanes because of the tendency for flattening of the ring and as a result, the side-chain in these compounds prefer a type I-conformation. The conformation in 2- CF_3 -1,3-dioxolanes on the contrary is characterized by a lifted-out oxygen atom, and as a result they are type II-compounds.³ We have also investigated¹ 4- $CH_2NMe_3^+$ -1,3-dioxanes. Molecular models disclose that C_4-N/C_2-O_3 -parallelity is possible in the fundamental chair form of these substances and they indeed were found to belong to the type II-compounds.¹





Figure 1

We now wish to report about the orientation of the $CH_2NMe_3^+$ -group in cis-2- $CH_2NMe_3^+$ -4-Me-3,6,8-trioxabicyclo[3,2,1]octane (1) and in cis-2- $CH_2NMe_3^+$ -4-Me-3,8-dioxa-6-aza-bicyclo[3,2,1]octane (2) as a further check of this parallelity effect.

(1) was prepared using trans-1,4-dichloro-2-butene as the starting material. The alkene was hydroxylated with $KMnO_4$ and so

formed threo-1,4-dichloro-2,3-butanediol was then condensed with ethyl pyruvate under azeotropic removal of water, yielding 2-Me-2-COOEt-trans-4,5-di-CH₂Cl-1,3-dioxolane (B.p. 85°C/0.03 mm). After LiAlH₄-reduction of the ester-function the bicyclic compound was obtained by an S_Ni-reaction. From the synthesis, it is clear, that the 2-CH₃-group stands cis with respect to the remaining CH₂Cl-group. The latter is transformed in the CH₂⁺NMe₃-group following usual procedures.¹

The molecule combines a 1,4-dioxane-structure together with a 1,3-dioxolane structure (fig.2) and is rigid. A $^3J(1,2) = 0$ indicates a torsion angle of $\sim 90^\circ$ between these two protons. The 1,4-dioxane ring adopts a chair-conformation. Indeed, $^3J(1,7A) = ^3J(1,7B)$ and both are very small (1.3 Hz), thus excluding the occurrence of a boat conformation. The small value of the $^3J(1,7)$ shows, that one of the oxygen p-orbitals from the dioxane ring has a net equatorial character.^{4,5}



As a result of the chair dioxane ring, there is a unique conformation imposed on the dioxolane moiety, e.g. an almost pure envelope with O₈ as the top ($\tau(C_2-C_3) < 30^\circ$, hence $^3J(1,2) = 0$). It follows

that with this fixed conformation, no parallelity is allowed between the C_2-N^+ bond and the p-orbitals of the O_3 -atom, and we therefore expect¹ the side-chain to be oriented as in cis-2-Me-4- $CH_2NMe_3^+$ -1,3-dioxolane (type I). Indeed, we find $H_{2,A}$ (proton with the large $^3J(2,2') = 9.5$ Hz) upfield ($\delta = 3.52$) from $H_{2,B}$ (proton with a $^3J(2,2') = 1.5$ Hz, $\delta = 3.63$); moreover, shift-values and coupling constants of the side-chain protons in our bicyclic compound are very similar to those of cis-2-Me-4- $CH_2NMe_3^+$ -1,3-dioxolane³ ($\delta = 3.52$ to 3.57 versus $\delta = 3.63$ to 3.62 with resp. $^3J = 9.50$ to 10.0 Hz and $^3J = 1.5$ to 1.9 Hz). As was already ascertained in all dioxolanes and dioxanes previously studied,¹ the CH_2Cl -side chain in the precursor (1; $X=Cl$) prefers also a type I-conformation (cf. Table).

Exact assignment of H_5 -protons may be done from long-range considerations. Long-range coupling is usually most pronounced when a planar zig-zag path can be traced along the bonds in going from one proton to the other. This is the so-called M-rule.⁶

For the 2- $CH_2NMe_3^+$ -compound a $^4J(7,5) \sim 0.5$ Hz is found on the H_5 -proton at $\delta = 3.60$, therefore this proton is assigned the equatorial partner (H_{5A}). The proton at $\delta = 3.66$ is then the axial one.

For the 2- CH_2Cl -compound the proton at $\delta = 3.37$ shows a small $^4J \sim 0.5$ Hz and can therefore be assigned as H_{5A} (cf. fig.2). H_{5B} is then the axial proton at $\delta = 3.39$. The lowest field signal of the H_7 -proton shows the same long-range of ~ 0.5 Hz, thus, H_{7A} (equatorial) becomes $\delta = 3.75$, H_{7B} (axial proton), $\delta = 3.55$.

The conformational behaviour of cis-2- $CH_2NMe_3^+$ -4-Me-3,8-dioxa-6-aza-bicyclo[3,2,1]octane (2) is very similar to 1. The compound can be considered as a combination of a morpholine with a 1,3-

dioxolane moiety. It has been synthesised starting from trans-1,4-dichloro-2-butene which was hydroxylated with KMnO_4 to the same threo-1,4-diCl-2,3-butanediol as reported for 1. This was then condensed with α -chloroacetone and the resulting 2-Me-2,4,5-tri- CH_2Cl -1,3-dioxolane was closed using Me_2NH (m.p. 240°C). It is clear that only 2,4-cis-standing CH_2Cl -groups can react with Me_2NH with ring closure, thus the remaining CH_2Cl -group stands cis to the 2- CH_3 group. It was subsequently transformed to the $\text{CH}_2\text{N}^+\text{Me}_3$ by classical procedures.

The H_1 -proton being partially hidden in the ^1H -NMR-spectrum of 2 it was not possible to determine exactly $^3\text{J}(1,2)$, although it can be stated that this value is certainly less than 2.0 Hz. Other values (Table) are very similar to those for 1 (e.g. $\text{J}(1,7) \sim 1.0$ Hz and 2.0 Hz) and the same conclusions may be drawn.

For both groups of the H_5 and H_7 protons, the lower field protons are now the equatorial ones ($\text{H}_{5\text{A}}$ at $\delta = 2.88$, $\text{H}_{5\text{B}}$ at $\delta = 2.28$; $\text{H}_{7\text{A}}$ at $\delta = 2.99$, and $\text{H}_{7\text{B}}$ at $\delta = 2.49$. $\text{H}_{5\text{A}}$ as well as $\text{H}_{7\text{A}}$ possess the $^4\text{J}(5\text{A},7\text{A}) = 0.8$ Hz long-range coupling.

It is interesting to note, that in cis-2- CH_2NMe_2 -4-Me-3,8-dioxo-6-aza-bicyclo[3,2,1]octane (2; $\text{X}=\text{NMe}_2$) the CH_2NMe_2 -side chain's orientation is mainly of type II, the proton with the largest ^3J -value being situated downfield from the other one. An exact explanation for the latter behaviour cannot yet be given, but the reason must be an other one than for the $\text{CH}_2\text{NMe}_3^+$ -compounds.

All ^1H -NMR-parameters are collected in the table. They were obtained at 300 MHz (VARIAN HR-300) and the values were ascertained by comparison with simulated spectra (SIMEQ 16/II program).

Shift-values of bicyclo[3,2,1]octanes.^a

	Solvent	CH ₃	H ₁	H ₂	H ₂ 'A	H ₂ 'B	H _{5A}	H _{5B}	H _{7A}	H _{7B}
I	CS ₂	1.62	4.22	4.38	3.22	3.43	3.37	3.39	3.75	3.55
II	D ₂ O	1.50	4.43	5.02	3.52	3.63	3.60	3.66	3.90	3.84
III	CCl ₄	1.30	4.13	4.13	2.23	2.11	2.60	1.98	2.61	2.28
IV	D ₂ O	1.56	4.46	..	3.48	3.58	2.88	2.28	2.99	2.49

J-values in Hz of bicyclo[3,2,1]octanes.^a

	² J(2'A,2'B)	³ J(2,2'A)	³ J(2,2'B)	³ J(1,2)	² J(7A,7B)	³ J(1,7A)	³ J(1,7B)	² J(5A,5B)	⁴ J(5A,7A)
I	-10.5	10.3	4.10	~0	-11.4	1.30	1.30	-11.3	~-0.5
II	-13.7	9.50	1.50	~0	-11.9	1.20	1.20	-11.8	~-0.5
III	-12.1	9.80	3.90	~0	-10.8	1.80	1.90	-10.5	-0.8
IV	-13.6	11.7	2.30	max. 2.0	-12.6	~1.0	2.00	-12.0	-0.8

^a See figure 2. I = $\underset{\sim}{1}$ (X = Cl) ; II = $\underset{\sim}{1}$ (X = $\overset{\oplus}{N}Me_3$) ; III = $\underset{\sim}{2}$ (X = NMe₂) and IV = $\underset{\sim}{2}$ (X = $\overset{\oplus}{N}Me_3$).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We thank the "Fonds voor Kollektief Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek" for their financial support.

REFERENCES

1. R. Van Cauwenberghe, M. Anteunis and L. Valckx, Bull. Soc. Chim. Belges (1974) 83, 285.
2. E.L. Eliel and F. Alcudia, J. Am. Chem. Soc. (1974) 96, 1939
3. M. Anteunis, R. Van Cauwenberghe and C. Becu, Bull. Soc. Chim. Belges (1973) 82, 591.
4. M. Anteunis, G. Swaelens and J. Gelan, Tetrahedron (1971), 27, 1917.
5. M. Anteunis, Bull. Soc. Chim. Belges (1966) 75, 413.
6. J. Mehnwald and A. Lewis, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. (1961) 83, 2769.

Received, 14th October, 1974