A CARBON-13 AND PROTON NUCLEAR MAGNETIC RESONANCE STUDY OF HYDROXY- AND MERCAPTO-NITROPYRIDINES AND THEIR N-, O- AND S-METHYL DERIVATIVES AND ANALOGOUS COMPOUNDS IN DIMETHYL SULFOXIDE

Gordon B. Barlin[A*] and M. David Fenn[B]
[A]Department of Pharmacology
[B]Medical Chemistry Group,
John Curtin School of Medical Research,
The Australian National University,
P.O. Box 334, Canberra, A.C.T. 2601, Australia

Abstract — Carbon-13 and proton n.m.r. spectra of hydroxy- and mercapto-nitropyridines and their N-, O- and S-methyl derivatives and analogous compounds have been measured in (D6)dimethyl sulfoxide. Carbon-13 n.m.r. spectroscopy, in contrast to ^{1}H n.m.r. spectroscopy, has been shown to provide a clear distinction between O-methyl and nuclear N-methyl groups. Methoxy groups were found to occur in the range δ 52.80 to 57.18, nuclear N-methyl groups at 33.59-43.56, and methylthio groups at 12.00-14.65 for the compounds examined in (D6)dimethyl sulfoxide. 3-Nitropyridine-4-thiol† unlike its oxygen analogue, 3-nitropyridin-4-ol† appears to exist in the thiol form.

In a recent paper[1] we reported that ^{13}C n.m.r. spectroscopy in $CDCl_3$ gave a clear distinction between O- and N-methyl groups in nitrogen heterocyclic systems for which ^{1}H n.m.r. did not provide a clear distinction. It was found that the

† Throughout this paper, such names as 'pyridine-4-thiol' (and similarly 'pyridin-4-ol') will be used without implying that the tautomer with an SH group is necessarily present in more than a trace quantity at equilibrium.

resonance signal due to the carbon of the methoxy group occurred in the range δ 53.0-61.87 and that of the N-methyl group was in the range 34.29-49.62 for the variety of compounds examined. In this paper we examine the ^{13}C and ^{1}H n.m.r. spectra of nitropyridinols and nitropyridinethiols (which were not soluble in $CDCl_3$) as well as their N-, O- and S-methyl derivatives and analogous compounds (for comparison) in dimethyl sulfoxide.

The ^{1}H n.m.r. spectra (Table 1 and data contained in the references of the Experimental section) showed that in derivatives of the pyridinols, the N-methyl group was in the range δ 3.43-3.77 and that of the methoxy group was in the range 3.82 (for 4-methoxypyridine) to 4.05. These spectra also revealed that 3- and 5-nitropyridin-2-ol and 3-nitropyridin-4-ol, like pyridin-2- (and 4-)ol[2], showed close similarity to their respective N-methyl derivatives rather than the O-methyl analogues. This clearly indicated quinonoid type structures such as (1) for these compounds in dimethyl sulfoxide [cf. ionization constant and u.v. spectral data for (1) in water[3]]; but no quantitative calculations of tautomeric ratios were made due to the limitations of the method.



1



2

Amongst the sulfur analogues 1-methyl-3-nitropyridine-2 (and 4)-thiones could not be prepared for study but 3- and 5-nitropyridine-2-thiol showed distinct differences in their ^{1}H n.m.r. compared to their respective S-methyl derivatives. In contrast, 3-nitropyridine-4-thiol did not show such differences from its S-methyl derivative (see below).

Proton coupling constants for 3-nitropyridin-2-ol were similar to those of its N-methyl derivative and different from that of its methoxy analogue. This pattern applied generally to the hydroxy and mercapto compounds excepting that the coupling constants for 3-nitropyridine-4-thiol were similar to those of the S-methyl derivative.

The ^{13}C n.m.r. spectra (Table 2) of the nitro compounds in dimethyl sulfoxide indicated, as previously[1], a clear distinction between N- and O-methyl groups. In

Table 1. ^{1}H n.m.r. spectra in CD_3SOCD_3

Compound	H2	H3	H4	H5	H6	NMe	OMe	SMe	J(Hz)
Pyridine									
2-OH-3-NO_2			8.43	6.38	7.88				$J_{4,5}$ 7.6 $J_{4,6}$ 2.1, $J_{5,6}$ 6.2
1-Me-3-NO_2-2=O			8.39	6.41	8.23	3.58			$J_{4,5}$ 7.7 $J_{4,6}$ 2.1, $J_{5,6}$ 6.6
2-OMe-3-NO_2			8.48	7.25	8.51		4.03[a]		$J_{4,5}$ 7.9, $J_{4,6}$ 1.8, $J_{5,6}$ 4.9
2-OH-5-NO_2		6.43	8.12		8.65				$J_{3,4}$ 10.1, $J_{3,6}$ 0.5, $J_{4,6}$ 3.2
1-Me-5-NO_2-2=O		6.47	8.13		9.18	3.56			$J_{3,4}$ 10.0, $J_{3,6}$ 0.5, $J_{4,6}$ 3.2
2-OMe-5-NO_2		7.04	8.48		9.09		4.01[a]		$J_{3,4}$ 9.1, $J_{3,6}$ 0.6, $J_{4,6}$ 2.9
4-OH-3-NO_2	8.80			6.50	7.79				$J_{2,6}$ 1.6, $J_{5,6}$ 7.5
1-Me-3-NO_2-4=O	8.91			6.50	7.76	3.77			$J_{2,6}$ 2.2, $J_{5,6}$ 7.7
4-OMe-3-NO_2	8.98			7.45	8.70		4.05[a]		$J_{2,6}$ 0, $J_{5,6}$ 5.9
2-SH-3-NO_2			7.94	6.90	8.14				$J_{4,5}$ 6.2, $J_{4,6}$ 1.7, $J_{5,6}$ 7.7
2-SMe-3-NO_2			8.60	7.44	8.84			2.55	$J_{4,5}$ 8.3, $J_{4,6}$ 1.6, $J_{5,6}$ 4.6
2-SH-5-NO_2		7.32	7.98		8.56				$J_{3,4}$ 9.6, $J_{3,6}$ 0.5, $J_{4,6}$ 2.7
2-SMe-5-NO_2		7.57	8.38		9.22			2.62	$J_{3,4}$ 8.9, $J_{3,6}$ 0.7, $J_{4,6}$ 2.7
4-SH-3-NO_2	9.42			7.90	8.70				$J_{2,5}$ 0.4, $J_{2,6}$ 0, $J_{5,6}$ 5.6
4-SMe-3-NO_2	9.25			7.61	8.67			2.59	$J_{2,5}$ 0.5, $J_{2,6}$ 0.2, $J_{5,6}$ 5.6
2-SH[b,c,d,e.]		7.32	7.42	6.76	7.67				c,d,e.
1-Me-2=S[d]		7.45	7.35	6.78	8.16	3.86			d
2-SMe[e]		7.28	7.64	7.09	8.44			2.51	d

Table 1. (Cont.)

Compound	H2	H3	H4	H5	H6	NMe	OMe	SMe	J(Hz)
4-SH[e]	7.65	7.22		7.22	7.65				e
1-Me-4=S	7.55	7.15		7.15	7.55	3.72			$J_{2,3}$ 7.1, $J_{5,6}$ 7.1
4-SMe	8.37	7.24		7.24	8.37			2.51	$J_{2,3}$ 4.5, $J_{3,5}$ 1.6, $J_{5,6}$ 4.5
Pyrimidine									
2-OH			8.28	6.37	8.28				$J_{4,5}$ 5.1, $J_{5,6}$ 5.1
1-Me-2=O			8.52	6.40	8.18	3.43[a]			$J_{4,5}$ 4.2, $J_{4,6}$ 2.9, $J_{5,6}$ 6.5
2-OMe			8.62	7.14	8.62		3.92		$J_{4,5}$ 4.8, $J_{5,6}$ 4.8
2-SH			8.26	6.83	8.26				$J_{4,5}$ 5.2, $J_{5,6}$ 5.2
1-Me-2=S			f	f	f	3.81			f
2-SMe			8.65	7.21	8.65			2.53	$J_{4,5}$ 4.9, $J_{5,6}$ 4.9

[a] Irradiation of the methyl group sharpens the signals of H2 and H6.

[b] Chemical shifts from D. W. Aksnes and H. Kryvi, Acta. Chem. Scand., 1972, 26, 2255.

[c] Coupling constants have been reported by M. C. Vitorge, M. T. Chenon, C. Coupry, and N. Lumbroso-Bader, Org. Magn. Reson., 1983, 21, 20.

[d] Coupling constants have been reported by D. W. Aksnes and H. Koyvi, Acta. Chem. Scand., 1972, 26, 2255.

[e] Coupling constants have been reported by A. Schanck, J. M. Dereppe and M. Van Meerssche, Bull. Soc. Chim. Belg., 1983, 92, 199.

[f] Complex at 270 mHz.

Table 2. ^{13}C n.m.r. spectra in CD_3SOCD_3

Compound	C2	C3	C4	C5	C6	NMe	OMe	SMe
Pyridine								
2-OH-3-NO_2	159.95	154.26	140.01	103.69	143.21			
1-Me-3-NO_2-2=O	154.99	154.07	138.55	103.01	146.87	37.89		
2-OMe-3-NO_2	155.56	133.95	135.30	117.34	151.91		54.53	
2-OH-5-NO_2	162.04	118.97	134.14	130.12	138.58			
1-Me-5-NO_2-2=O	161.41	117.61	133.30	129.56	142.51	37.68		
2-OMe-5-NO_2	166.91	111.19	134.52	139.47	144.51		54.69	
4-OH-3-NO_2	139.61	138.36	168.24	122.30	137.79			
1-Me-3-NO_2-4=O	143.53	137.50	167.25	122.84	141.88	43.56		
4-OMe-3-NO_2	146.03	136.46	158.27	109.78	154.94		57.18	
2-SH-3-NO_2	169.11	152.64	132.81	111.54	141.83			
2-SMe-3-NO_2	156.83	141.94	134.11	119.54	153.72			13.76
2-SH-5-NO_2	183.16	132.92	128.91	135.57	137.55			
2-SMe-5-NO_2	167.91	120.97	130.99	141.02	144.59			13.22
4-SH-3-NO_2	146.98	142.32	144.10	120.84	153.72			
4-SMe-3-NO_2	146.46	141.42	149.28	120.40	152.28			14.65
2-SH	177.75	132.92	137.28	112.58	137.74			
1-Me-2=S	178.94	134.19	134.57	112.95	142.42	44.96		
2-SMe	159.16	121.03	136.36	119.37	149.25			12.59

Table 2. (Cont.)

Compound	C2	C3	C4	C5	C6	NMe	OMe	SMe
Pyrimidine								
2-OH	156.43		156.94	103.47	156.84			
1-Me-2=O	156.05		165.66	103.42	150.39	38.30		
2-OMe	165.04		159.49	115.36	159.49		54.31	
4-OH[a]	150.36		161.09	115.80	153.88			
3-Me-4=O	152.96		160.57	114.42	153.53	33.59		
1-Me-4=O	153.29		168.86	110.87	145.53	39.63		
4-OMe	158.24		168.75	108.27	157.48		53.27	
2-SH[b]	181.20		154.00	109.20	154.00			
1-Me-2=S	185.44		159.46	109.38	150.79	45.97		
2-SMe	171.49		157.54	116.91	157.54			13.41
4-SH	149.73		182.82	128.32	149.86			
3-Me-4=S	152.69		183.29	128.50	147.49	40.97		
1-Me-4=S	148.38		197.80	125.15	137.83	39.74		
4-SMe	157.78		170.13	118.53	154.80			12.00

[a] Similar values have been reported by G. W. H. Cheeseman, C. J. Turner and D. J. Brown, Org. Magn. Reson., 1979, 12, 212.

[b] Data from C. J. Turner and G. W. H. Cheeseman, Org. Magn. Reson., 1976, 8, 357.

the compounds examined, the nuclear N-methyl group was found to occur in the range 33.59-43.56, the O-methyl group in the range 52.80 (for 2-methoxypyridine) to 57.18, and methylthio groups at 12.00-14.65. Carbon-13 n.m.r. was more useful than ^{1}H n.m.r. in the study of tautomerism, with the signal due to C5 showing the clearest differences between fixed tautomeric forms: the signal in aromatic systems was consistently different from that in quinonoid systems. For example, the signal for C5 in 3-nitropyridin-2-ol was at 103.69, its N-methyl derivative at 103.01 and its O-methyl analogue at 117.34, thus clearly indicating that the former exists as the pyridinone. Likewise for 3-nitropyridin-4-ol and its N- and O-methyl derivatives the values were 122.30, 122.84 and 109.78 respectively. With the exception of 3-nitropyridine-4-thiol, which is discussed below, the signal due to C5 in all the hydroxy and mercapto compounds examined differed from that of the corresponding O- or S-methyl derivative by from 5.45 to 13.65 ppm.

Although the N-methyl derivative of 3-nitropyridine-4-thiol was not available, the signal for C5 in the parent compound and S-methyl derivative was at 120.84 and 120.40 respectively which strongly suggests, like the ^{1}H n.m.r. and $J_{2,6}$ coupling constants that this compound exists as the thiol (2).

Comparison of the ^{1}H n.m.r. signal due to the N-methyl group in the pyridines shows that when in the γ-position to the oxo group, it was downfield by 0.20 ± 0.01 ppm relative to the α-isomers, and in the pyrimidines by 0.13-0.14 ppm. The corresponding ^{13}C n.m.r. signals were also downfield by 5.7 ± 0.1 and 3.6 ± 2.5 ppm respectively. The N-methylpyridinethiones and pyrimidinethiones showed the reverse behaviour in the ^{1}H n.m.r. with the γ-isomer upfield by 0.19-0.14 ppm, and the ^{13}C n.m.r. being variable.

EXPERIMENTAL

The ^{13}C and ^{1}H n.m.r. spectra were measured on a Jeol FX90Q spectrometer with digital resolution of 0.12 Hz at 30°, tetramethylsilane being used as internal standard. Spectra were measured in (D6)dimethyl sulfoxide and ^{13}C n.m.r. spectra at concentrations of 0.060-0.070 g/ml, unless specified otherwise. Reasonable spectra were obtained after the accumulation of 300-500 FID values.

Assignment of carbon-13 resonances was confirmed by selective decoupling of the proton spectra.

Compounds required for this work were prepared by literature procedures as reported previously[1] and as folows: 3-nitropyridin-2-ol,[4] 2-methoxy-3-

nitropyridine,[5] 1-methyl-3-nitropyridin-2-one,[6] 3-nitropyridin-4-ol,[7] 4-methoxy-3-nitropyridine,[8] 1-methyl-3-nitropyridin-4-one,[9] 5-nitropyridin-2-ol,[10] 2-methoxy-5-nitropyridine,[11,12] 1-methyl-5-nitropyridin-2-one,[13] 3-nitropyridine-2-thiol,[14] 2-methylthio-3-nitropyridine,[14] 5-nitropyridine-2-thiol,[10,15] 2-methylthio-5-nitropyridine,[14] 3-nitropyridine-4-thiol,[16] and 4-methylthio-3-nitropyridine.[14] Some ^{1}H and ^{13}C n.m.r. spectra of reference compounds in (D6)dimethyl sulfoxide have been recorded in the literature and are not shown in the Tables. These include the ^{1}H n.m.r. of pyridin-2- (and 4-)-ol,[2] their N- and O-methyl derivatives,[2] pyrimidin-4-ol and pyrimidine-4-thiol and their N-, O- and S-methyl derivatives[17] and the ^{13}C n.m.r. of pyridin-2-ol[2,18] and its N- and O-methyl derivatives[2] (the N-methyl signal in 1-methylpyridin-2-one is now revised to 36.65), pyridin-4-ol[2] and its N-and O-methyl derivatives,[2] pyridine-4-thiol[19] and its N- and S-methyl derivatives[19]. 1-Methyl-3-nitropyridine-4-thione (required for n.m.r. comparison with the unmethylated mercapto compound) could not be prepared by reaction of 1-methyl-3-nitropyridin-4-one with phosphorus pentasulfide in pyridine, and 4-methoxy-3-nitropyridine with methyl iodide in nitromethane did not give 4-methoxy-3-nitropyridine methiodide (required for reaction with potassium hydrogen sulphide) but instead gave the rearranged product 1-methyl-3-nitropyridin-4-one.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We thank Dr D. J. Brown for helpful discussion.

REFERENCES

1. G. B. Barlin, D. J. Brown and M. D. Fenn, Aust. J. Chem., 1984, 37, 2391.
2. U. Vögeli and W. von Philipsborn, Org. Magn. Reson., 1973, 5, 551.
3. R. A. Jones and B. D. Roney, J. Chem. Soc. (B), 1967, 84.
4. A. Bing and H. Baier-Bode, Angew. Chem., 1936, 49, 486.
5. W. Gruber, Canad. J. Chem., 1953, 31, 1181.
6. Y. Ahmed and D. H. Hey, J. Chem. Soc., 1954, 4516.
7. A. Albert and G. B. Barlin, J. Chem. Soc., 1963, 5156.
8. O. Bremer, Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem., 1937, 529, 290.
9. T. Takahashi and A. Koshiro, Yakugaku Zasshi, 1959, 79, 1123 (Chem. Abs., 1960, 54, 3418e).
10. W. T. Caldwell and E. C. Kornfeld, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1942, 64, 1695.

11. H. L. Friedman, L. D. Braitberg, A. V. Tolstoouhov and E. T. Tisza, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1947, 69, 1204.
12. D. M. Besly and A. A. Goldberg, J. Chem. Soc., 1954, 2448.
13. G. B. Barlin and W. Pfleiderer, J. Chem. Soc. (B), 1971, 1425.
14. G. B. Barlin, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2, 1972, 1459.
15. A. R. Surrey and H. G. Lindwall, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1940, 62, 1697.
16. S. Kruger and F. G. Mann, J. Chem. Soc., 1955, 2755.
17. P. Lardenois, M. Sélim and M. Sélim, Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr., 1971, 1858.
18. G. Miyajima, Y. Sasaki and M. Suzuki, Chem. Pharm. Bull., 1972, 20, 429.
19. L. Stefaniak, Org. Magn. Reson., 1979, 12, 379.

Received, 28th November, 1985