

HETEROCYCLES, Vol. 77, No. 1, 2009, pp. 241 - 247. © The Japan Institute of Heterocyclic Chemistry  
Received, 22nd July, 2008, Accepted, 28th August, 2008, Published online, 1st September, 2008.  
DOI: 10.3987/COM-08-S(F)52

## FACILE CONSTRUCTION OF A FURAN-FUSED METHANO[11]ANNULENONE SKELETON BY SUCCESSIVE ALDOL CONDENSATIONS FROM 3,4-FURANDICARBALDEHYDES

Yanmei Zhang,<sup>a</sup> Nobuhiko Takezawa,<sup>a</sup> Yosikazu Horino,<sup>a</sup> Ayumi Takai,<sup>a</sup> Yasutomo Tsuji,<sup>a</sup> Ryuta Miyatake,<sup>a</sup> Mitsunori Oda,<sup>b,\*</sup> and Shigeyasu Kuroda<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Applied Chemistry, Faculty of Engineering, University of Toyama, Gofuku 3190, Toyama 930-8555, Japan

<sup>b</sup> Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Shinshu University, Asahi 3-1-1, Matsumoto, Nagano 390-8621, Japan


*This paper is dedicated to Professor Emeritus Keiichiro Fukumoto on the occasion of his 75th birthday*

**Abstract** – Aldol condensation of furan-3,4-dicarbaldehyde with pentanedial in acetic acid gave 6*H*-cyclohepta[*c*]furan-5,7-dicarbaldehyde in a good yield. Then, successive condensation of 6*H*-cyclohepta[*c*]furan-5,7-dicarbaldehyde with dimethyl 1,3-acetonedicarboxylate under azeotropic conditions gave a diester derivative of furan-fused methano[11]annulenone also in a good yield. The hydrolysis of the diester groups and subsequent decarboxylation provided a non-substituted furan-fused methano[11]annulenone. A similar sequence starting from 2,5-dimethylfuran-3,4-dicarbaldehyde gave its dimethyl derivative. Cycloaddition and protonation of these furan-fused methano[11]annulenones were also studied.


### INTRODUCTION

Various furan-fused annulenes,<sup>1</sup> including benzofuran as a typical example of [6]annulenofuran,<sup>2</sup> have been synthesized from the viewpoints of their aromatic character and synthetic utility. Sondheimer *et al.* synthesized two types of furan-fused dehydroannulenes, **1** and **2**, and reported that **1** has atropic nature for

the annulene ring based on its  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectral data, whereas **2** is a diatropic compound.<sup>3,4</sup> They also indicated that the furan ring part of **1** could be used as a foothold for producing a carbon framework through the annulene-1,2-dicarbaldehyde in the synthesis of annulenoannulenes.<sup>3</sup> Annuleno[*c*]furan, a type of **1**,




also serves as a good enophile in Diels–Alder reactions. For example, Mitchell *et al.* reported that bridged [14]annuleno[*c*]furan **3** reacts various arynes to form cycloadducts, which were transformed to arene-annulated bridged [14]annulenes by subsequent deoxygenation.<sup>5</sup> Meanwhile, such chemical behaviors of furan-fused annulenediones aroused our interest and we reported the synthesis and physical properties of **4**.<sup>6</sup> During the course of our continuing research on bridged annulenes,<sup>7</sup> we have also been interested in annulenoannulenones, towards which an effective short-step synthesis of furan-fused annulenenones as a synthetic intermediate is required. Herein we disclose a facile method for synthesizing such an annulenone, 5,11-methano-8*H*-[11]annuleno[*c*]furan-8-one (**5**).




## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The straightforward route to the title compound **5** is shown in Scheme 1. Furan-3,4-dicarbaldehyde (**6**) reacts with pentanedial in the presence of piperidine in acetic acid at 120 °C for 4 h to give 6*H*-cyclohepta[*c*]furan-5,7-dicarbaldehyde (**7**) in 68% yield. The synthesis of **7** under similar reaction conditions in the presence of piperidine in refluxing acetic acid had been reported by Lepage *et al.*<sup>8</sup> However, the reported yield was as low as 22%. After extensive work on the reaction conditions, we found that the yield could be greatly improved by modifying the reaction conditions. It is worth noting that a short reaction time and using hydrated pentanedial instead of 2-methoxy-2,3,4-trihydropyran are crucial for the improvement of the yield and that low substrate concentration and flash chromatography of the product are important to gain a constant yield. Having a large quantity of **7** available, we next examined the aldol condensation of **7** with dimethyl 1,3-acetonedicarboxylate to construct the carbon framework of the desired **5**. Although yields of similar condensations between arene-1,2-dicarbaldehyde and dimethyl 1,3-acetonedicarboxylate are moderate to good,<sup>9</sup> those of the 1,3-carbaldehyde are usually less than 50%.<sup>10</sup> After several reported reaction conditions were applied for the condensation of **7** to result in disappointing yields of **8**,<sup>11</sup> it was found that under azeotropic conditions with a Dean–Stark apparatus,




**Scheme 1.** A synthetic route to **5**. Reagents and conditions: a) aqueous pentanedial, piperidine (3 eq.), AcOH, reflux, 4 h. b) dimethyl 1,3-acetonedicarboxylate, piperidine (3 eq.), AcOH, toluene, reflux, 8 h. c) i: NaOH, EtOH, then 3N HCl, ii: Cu, 120 °C, DMF.




**Figure 1.** ORTEP drawings of **8**.

**8** was produced in 69% yield. The structure of **8** was confirmed by spectroscopic analysis and also by X-ray crystallographic analysis (Figure 1).<sup>12</sup> The hydrolysis of **8** yielded the corresponding carboxylic acid, which was decarboxylated in the presence of copper powder at 120 °C in DMF to give the annulenone **5** as yellow oil in 73% yield. Thus, the facile and efficient construction of furan-fused [11]annulenone was accomplished. Using 2,5-dimethylfuran-3,4-dicarbaldehyde as a starting material, application of this protocol for synthesizing a derivative of **5** provided its 1,3-dimethyl derivative, **9**, in 20% total yield.<sup>11</sup>




The title compound **5** was isolated as slightly acid- and air-sensitive yellow oil. Protonation of **5** in an acidic medium at room temperature resulted in decomposition of **5**, whereas the 4,9-methano[11]annulenone (**10**) and furo[*c*]tropone **11** were stable under similar conditions. Although monitoring a solution of **5** in CF<sub>3</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>D at -15 °C by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy revealed the complete decomposition of **5** in 4 h, the transient protonated species **5D**<sup>+</sup> could be observed (Scheme 2). The olefinic protons of **5D**<sup>+</sup> resonate at a lower magnetic field by 1.10 ppm on average and the bridging

methylene protons resonate at a higher magnetic field by 0.61 ppm on average, compared with those in  $\text{CDCl}_3$  solution. At a glance, these shifts appear to indicate a deshielding effect on the olefinic protons and a shielding effect on the bridging methylene protons based on the diamagnetic ring current induced by the peripheral  $14\pi$ -electron aromatic system in the protonated species. Since the magnitude of these shifts is less than those observed in **10** upon protonation, the protonated species **5D**<sup>+</sup> is thought to be weakly diatropic. In other words, the contribution of the peripheral  $14\pi$ -electron aromatic system in the protonated species is very small.




**Scheme 2.** Chemical shifts ( $\delta_{\text{ppm}}$ ) of **5** and **1a0** in  $\text{CDCl}_3$  and  $\text{CF}_3\text{CO}_2\text{D}$  and their differences.

The furan ring of [11]annulenones, **5** and **8**, serves as a  $4\pi$ -component for the Diels–Alder reaction. On heating **5** and **8** with dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate (DMAD) in toluene, cycloadducts **12** and **13** were obtained in 87% and 89% yields, respectively. The structure of **13** was determined by X-ray crystallography analysis (Figure 2) to reveal the *syn*-configuration between oxa- and methano-bridges in the product. The stereochemistry of **12** was deduced to have the same configuration as **13** by comparison of the spectroscopic data of **12** and **13**. Thus, in these reactions, DMAD approaches the furan ring from the rear side of the methylene bridge.



**Scheme 3.** Cycloaddition reactions of **5** and **8**.

In summary, the facile and efficient synthesis of furan-fused [11]annulenones has been accomplished by a four-step sequence starting from furan-dicarbaldehydes. The 11-membered ring of the protonated species of [11]annulenone **5** is weakly diatropic in nature. The furan ring of annulenones **5** and **8** indicated sufficient reactivity for DMAD. Such reactivity of these compounds gives the prospect of constructing a new framework towards annulenoannulenones by cycloaddition with suitable arynes. Further transformation of **5** along these lines is now in progress.

**Figure 2.** ORTEP drawings of **13**.**REFERENCES AND NOTES**

1. A. T. Balaban, M. Banciu, and V. Ciorba, 'Annulenes, Benzo-, Hetero-, Homo-Derivatives and their Valence Isomers,' Vol I-III, CRC Press, Boca Raton, 1987; M. Nakagawa, 'The Chemistry of Annulenes,' Osaka University Press, Osaka, 1996.
2. M. J. Haddadin, *Heterocycles*, 1978, **9**, 865; U. E. Wiersum, *Aldrichimica Acta*, 1981, **14**, 53.
3. T. M. Cresp and F. Sondheimer, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1975, **97**, 4412; T. M. Cresp and F. Sondheimer, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1977, **99**, 194.
4. R. R. Jones, J. M. Brown, and F. Sondheimer, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1975, **16**, 4179; R. H. Wightman and F. Sondheimer, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1975, **16**, 4179.
5. R. H. Mitchell, V. S. Iyer, N. Khalifa, R. Mahadevan, S. Venugopalan, S. A. Weerawarna, and P. Zhou, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1995, **117**, 1514; R. H. Mitchell and T. R. Ward, *Tetrahedron*, 2001, **57**, 3689.
6. S. Zuo, S. Kuroda, M. Oda, S. Kuramoto, Y. Mizukami, A. Fukuta, Y. Hirano, T. Nishikawa, S. Furuta, R. Miyatake, S. I. Shaheen, T. Kajioka, and M. Kyougoku, *Heterocycles*, 2001, **54**, 159.
7. S. Kuroda, T. Kajioka, A. Fukuta, N. C. Thanh, Y. Zhang, R. Miyatake, M. Mouri, S. Zuo, and M. Oda, *Mini-Rev. Org. Chem.*, 2007, **4**, 31.
8. L. Lepage and Y. Lepage, *Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr.*, 1988, 591.

9. D. S. Tarbell and B. Wargotz, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1954, **76**, 5761; W. Ried and H. Bodem, *Chem. Ber.*, 1956, **89**, 708; M. J. Cook and E. J. Forbes, *Tetrahedron*, 1968, **24**, 4501; B. Foehlich, *Synthesis*, 1972, 564; F. Pietra, *Chem. Rev.*, 1973, **73**, 293. Also, for other recent results in this kind of condensations, see followings; P. Langer and U. Albrecht, *Synlett*, 2001, 526; U. Albrecht, T. H. V Nguyen, and P. Langer, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2004, **69**, 3417.
10. H. Ogawa, H. Kato, and M. Yoshida, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1971, **12**, 1793; H. Ogawa and N. Shimojo, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1972, **13**, 4129; H. Ogawa, N. Shimojo, and H. Kato, *Tetrahedron*, 1973, **29**, 533; W. Wagemann, K. Müllen, E. Vogel, T. Pilati, and M. Simonetta, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1977, **16**, 170.
11. Selected data of new compounds are as follows; **8**: Yellow crystals, mp 198–200 °C, <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ = 2.22 (d, *J* = 14.0 Hz, 1H), 2.78 (d, *J* = 14.0 Hz, 1H), 3.83 (s, 6H), 7.01 (s, 2H), 7.80 (s, 2H), 7.95 (s, 2H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ = 30.2, 52.7, 123.2, 125.5, 128.8, 131.5, 141.5, 141.9, 164.9, 196.0 ppm; IR (KBr) ν<sub>max</sub> = 1704s, 1685m, 1510m, 1435m, 1254s, 1190m cm<sup>-1</sup>; UV (MeOH) λ<sub>max</sub> = 217 (log ε = 3.99), 242 (4.18), 302 (4.67), 377sh (3.47) nm; HRMS: Calcd for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>14</sub>O<sub>6</sub> 326.0790; Found 326.0781. **5**: yellow oil, <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ = 2.18 (d, *J* = 13.2 Hz, 1H), 2.53 (d, *J* = 13.2 Hz, 1H), 6.05 (dm as a part of AA'BB', *J* = 12.0 Hz, 2H), 6.80 (s, 2H), 6.96 (dm as a part of AA'BB', *J* = 12.0 Hz, 2H), 7.90 (s, 2H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ = 32.4, 119.5, 123.4, 127.8, 133.9, 137.3, 140.8, 196.2 ppm; IR (KBr) ν<sub>max</sub> = 2926s, 2854m, 1745m, 1608m, 1149m, 1089m cm<sup>-1</sup>; UV (MeOH) λ<sub>max</sub> = 229 (log ε = 3.92), 292 (3.90), 346sh (3.47), 410sh (3.03) nm; HRMS: Calcd for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>10</sub>O<sub>2</sub> 210.0681; Found 210.0649.

**7,9-Bis(methoxycarbonyl)-1,3-dimethyl-5,11-methano[c]furan-8-one**: Yellow crystals, mp 213–215 °C, <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) δ = 2.28 (d, *J* = 14.0 Hz, 1H), 2.45 (s, 6H), 2.76 (d, *J* = 14.0 Hz, 1H), 3.75 (s, 6H), 6.86 (s, 2H), 7.72 (s, 2H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) δ = 12.4, 30.7, 52.3, 119.4, 127.3, 128.5, 130.8, 141.5, 149.8, 165.0, 196.0 ppm; IR (KBr) ν<sub>max</sub> = 1713s, 1660m, 1580m, 1434m, 1265s, 1245s, 1216s, 766w cm<sup>-1</sup>; UV (MeOH) λ<sub>max</sub> = 254 (log ε = 4.21), 318 (4.54), 376 (4.96) nm; **9**: Yellow crystals, mp 95–97 °C, <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ = 2.28 (d, *J* = 12.4 Hz, 1H), 2.48 (s, 6H), 2.58 (d, *J* = 12.4 Hz, 1H), 5.99 (dm as a part of AA'BB', *J* = 12.2 Hz, 2H), 6.62 (s, 2H), 6.92 (dm as a part of AA'BB', *J* = 12.2 Hz, 2H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ = 12.5, 32.8, 119.4, 121.1, 127.2, 133.0, 137.7, 147.9, 196.2 ppm; IR (KBr) ν<sub>max</sub> = 1608s, 1573s, 1396m, 1261s, 1151m, 1084s, 929w, 886m cm<sup>-1</sup>; UV (MeOH) λ<sub>max</sub> = 231 (log ε = 4.11), 302 (4.42), 411 (3.17) nm; **12**: yellow powder, mp 90–91 °C, <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ = 0.08 (d, *J* = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 1.36 (d, *J* = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 3.84 (s, 6H), 6.13 (s, 2H), 6.35 (d, *J* = 11.2 Hz, 2H), 7.39 (d, *J* = 11.2 Hz, 2H), 7.41 (s, 2H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ = 36.1, 52.6, 86.2, 120.4, 124.8, 126.9, 137.2, 150.3, 150.7, 163.0, 192.1 ppm; IR (KBr) ν<sub>max</sub> = 2927m,

2854w, 1736s, 1719s, 1706s, 1655m, 1637s, 1596s, 1438m, 1300s, 1259s, 1216s, 1112m  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ; UV (MeOH)  $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 241$  ( $\log \epsilon = 3.82$ ), 309(4.08), 383 (3.11) nm; HRMS: Calcd for  $\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{16}\text{O}_6$  352.0947; Found 352.0949. **13**: Yellow crystals, mp 235–237 °C,  $^1\text{H-NMR}$  ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta = 0.16$  (d,  $J = 13.2$  Hz, 1H), 1.92 (d,  $J = 13.2$  Hz, 1H), 3.85 (s, 6H), 3.86 (s, 6H), 6.13 (s, 2H), 7.52 (s, 2H), 8.07 (s, 2H) ppm;  $^{13}\text{C-NMR}$  ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta = 33.3, 52.7, 52.9, 86.2, 117.5, 122.7, 128.3, 139.7, 150.6, 151.7, 162.7, 164.7, 191.9$  ppm; IR (KBr)  $\nu_{\text{max}} = 1716\text{s}, 1699\text{s}, 1663\text{m}, 1638\text{m}, 1437\text{m}, 1307\text{m}, 1273\text{s}, 1226\text{s}$   $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ; UV (MeOH)  $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 216$  ( $\log \epsilon = 3.98$ ), 265 (4.30), 311 (4.51), 391 (3.47) nm; HRMS: Calcd for  $\text{C}_{24}\text{H}_{20}\text{O}_{10}$  468.1056; Found 468.1092.

12. The X-ray data for **8**:  $\text{C}_{18}\text{H}_{14}\text{O}_6$ , Mw = 326.30, monoclinic, space group  $P2_1/c$  (#14),  $a = 11.574(5)$ ,  $b = 7.529(3)$ ,  $c = 17.605(4)$  Å,  $\beta = 100.06(2)$  deg,  $V = 1510.4(9)$  Å<sup>3</sup>,  $Z = 4$ ,  $D_{\text{calc}} = 1.435$  g  $\text{cm}^{-3}$ ,  $\mu(\text{Mo-K}\alpha) = 1.09$   $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , 5352 independent reflections, 217 parameters,  $R = 0.050$ ,  $R_w = 0.116$ , T = 298 K. Those for **13**:  $\text{C}_{24}\text{H}_{20}\text{O}_{10}$ , Mw = 468.42, triclinic, space group  $P1$  / (#2),  $a = 9.788(3)$ ,  $b = 14.132(4)$ ,  $c = 9.179(3)$  Å,  $\beta = 116.27(2)$  deg,  $V = 1071.2(6)$  Å<sup>3</sup>,  $Z = 2$ ,  $D_{\text{calc}} = 1.452$  g  $\text{cm}^{-3}$ ,  $\mu(\text{Mo-K}\alpha) = 1.14$   $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , 5344 independent reflections, 387 parameters,  $R = 0.053$ ,  $R_w = 0.135$ , T = 298 K. Estimated standard deviations for the bond lengths and angles are 0.004–0.007 (ang) and 0.2–0.4 (deg), respectively, for the non-hydrogen atoms. Crystallographic data excluding structures have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre as supplementary publication numbers CCDC 695660 for **8** and CCDC 695659 for **13**. A copy of the data can be obtained free of charge from CCDC, 12 Union road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ. UK [DIRECT LINE: +44 1223 762910, Fax: +44 (0) 1223-336033 or e-mail: linstead@ccdc.cam.ac.uk; deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk].