

HETEROCYCLES, Vol. 78, No. 5, 2009, pp. 1299 - 1307. © The Japan Institute of Heterocyclic Chemistry
Received, 16th December, 2008, Accepted, 19th January, 2009, Published online, 21st January, 2009
DOI: 10.3987/COM-08-11628

NEW XANTHONES FROM THE BARKS AND FRUITS OF *CRATOXYLUM COCHINCHINENSE*

Surat Laphookhieo,^{a,*} Wisanu Maneerat,^a Wanwasan Narmdorkmai,^a and
Sorwaporn Koysomboon^b

^aSchool of Science, Mae Fah Luang University; Tasud, Muang, Chiang Rai
57100, Thailand Phone: +66-5391-6224. Fax: +66-5391-6776 e-mail:
surat@mfu.ac.th or laphookhieo@yahoo.com

^bFaculty of Science and Industrial Technology, Prince of Songkla University;
Muang, Suratthani 84100, Thailand

Abstract – Four new xanthenes named cochinxanthone D-G (**1**, **5**, **8**, and **9**) along with five known xanthenes were isolated from the barks and the fruits of *Cratoxylum cochinchinense*. Extensive 1D, and 2D NMR and other spectroscopic studies were employed to determine the structure of all new compounds.

Cratoxylum cochinchinense (Clusiaceae) is a shrub tree distributed throughout Thailand. Several parts of this plant have been used by local Thai people in folk medicine for the treatment of diarrhea and as a diuretic effect. We have previously reported several phenolic compounds from the fruits and roots of this plant.^{1,2} In the present work, we report the isolation and structure elucidation of four new xanthenes (**1**, **5**, **8** and **9**) together with five known xanthenes (**2-4**, **6**, and **7**) (Figure 1) from the barks and fruits of *C. cochinchinense*.

Fractionation of the dichloromethane extract of the barks of *C. cochinchinense* has resulted in the isolation of two new xanthenes, cochinxanthone D (**1**) and cochinxanthone E (**5**) along with five known xanthenes (**2-4**, **6**, and **7**) whereas two new oxygenated xanthenes (**8** and **9**) were isolated from the minor fraction of EtOAc extracts of the dried fruits. The structures of all new xanthenes were elucidated using spectroscopic data, especially 1D and 2D NMR techniques.

Cochinxanthone D (**1**) was a yellow solid mp 221–222 °C. The molecular formula (C₂₈H₃₂O₆) was in agreement with [M–H][–] *m/z* 463.2126. The ¹H–NMR spectrum (Table 1) indicated the presence of a

hydrogen-bonded hydroxy group at δ 12.94 and two singlets aromatic protons at δ 6.64 and 7.42 which identified to H-5 and H-8, respectively, on the basis of HMBC correlations (Figure 2). In addition, one prenyl [δ 3.35 (*d*, $J = 6.0$ Hz, H-1'), 5.25 (*m*, H-2'), 1.72 (*s*, H-4') and 1.80 (*s*, H-5')] and one geranyl group [δ 3.43 (*d*, $J = 6.8$ Hz, H-1''), 5.25 (*m*, H-2''), 2.05 (*m*, H-4''), 2.05 (*m*, H-5''), 5.00 (*m*, H-6''), 1.55 (*s*, H-8''), 1.82 (*s*, H-9'') and 1.62 (*s*, H-10'')] were also observed in $^1\text{H-NMR}$ spectrum. The prenyl group was located on C-2 according to the HMBC correlations of H-1' (δ 3.35) to C-1 (δ 157.2), C-2 (δ 109.1) and C-3 (δ 160.5) whereas the geranyl group was placed on C-4 due to the correlation of H-1'' (δ 3.43) to C-3 (δ 160.5), C-4 (δ 104.9) and C-4a (δ 152.7). Therefore, the structure cochinxanthone D was indicated to be **1**.




Figure 1. Compounds isolated from *C. cochinchinense*

Cochinxanthone E (**5**) was a yellow viscous oil. Its molecular formula of $\text{C}_{28}\text{H}_{32}\text{O}_5$ was in agreement with

[M-H]⁻ *m/z* 447.2172. The ¹H-NMR spectrum (Table 1) showed the presence of a hydroxyl group (δ 13.63), two *ortho*-coupled aromatic protons (δ 7.22 (*d*, J = 9.2 Hz, H-5) and 7.19 (*d*, J = 9.2 Hz, H-6) and one singlet aromatic proton (δ 6.31, *s*, H-4). The assignment of H-4, H-5 and H-6 were confirmed by the HMBC correlations (Figure 2). Other signals could be attributed to a geranyl [δ 4.30 (*d*, J = 6.4 Hz, H-1''), 5.28 (*m*, H-2''), 2.05 (*m*, H-4''), 2.10 (*m*, H-5''), 5.03 (*m*, H-6''), 1.57 (*s*, H-8''), 1.86 (*s*, H-9'') and 1.65 (*s*, H-10'')] and prenyl [δ 3.45 (*d*, J = 6.0 Hz, H-1'), 5.25 (*m*, H-2'), 1.76 (*s*, H-4') and 1.84 (*s*, H-5')] moieties. The methylene protons of the geranyl group at δ 4.30 (H-1'') together with cross-peaks in the HMBC spectrum with C-7 (δ 151.8), C-8 (δ 127.1) and C-8a (δ 118.4) suggested that this side chain was located at C-8. The prenyl group was placed on C-2 because the methylene protons δ 3.45 (H-1') of the prenyl group showed cross-peaks in the HMBC spectrum with C-1 (δ 160.6), C-2 (δ 108.4) and C-3 (δ 162.2). Therefore, cochinxanthone E was assigned to be **5**.




Figure 2. HMBC correlations of **1** and **5**

Cochinxanthone F (**8**) was isolated as a yellow viscous oil with the molecular formula of C₂₃H₂₂O₆, on the basis of HR-MS. The ¹H-NMR spectral data of **8** (Table 1) showed a downfield hydroxyl proton (δ 12.94), a typical pattern of the 1,2,4-trisubstituted benzene ring [δ 7.57 (*d*, J = 3.0 Hz, H-8), 7.34 (*d*, J = 9.0 Hz, H-5) and δ 7.28 (*dd*, J = 3.0, 9.0 Hz, H-6)] and a *meta*-coupled aromatic proton [δ 6.37 (*d*, J = 2.0 Hz, H-2) and δ 6.27 (*d*, J = 2.0 Hz, H-4)]. The remaining ten carbons were accounted for as a *O*-geranyl side chain having two double bonds and a conjugated carbonyl carbon (Table 2). Two protons at δ 5.80 (*d*, J = 1.0 Hz, H_a-8') and δ 6.00 (*s*, H_b-8') attached to the carbon at δ 124.9 in HMQC indicated the presence of an exomethylene group, which was conjugated with the carbonyl group, as deduced from HMBC correlations (Figure 3). This conjugated system was determined to be at the end of the *O*-geranyl moiety because methylene protons at δ 5.80 and 6.00 (H-8') showed a correlation to C-10' (δ 17.6) in HMBC data. Therefore, the C10 substituent was deduced to be a 3,7-dimethyl-6-oxoocta-2,7-dienyl unit. This substituent was placed at C-7 by HMBC correlation of the methylene protons at δ 4.65 (H-1') with C-7 (δ 155.1). In addition, the irradiation of H-1' (δ 4.65) enhanced signal intensity of H-8 (δ 7.57) in the

NOEDIFF experiment (Figure 3) was also supported the location of the *O*-geranyl moiety. Thus, cochinxanthone F was assigned to be **8**.

Cochinxanthone G (**9**) was also isolated as a yellow viscous oil. The molecular formula of **9**, C₂₃H₂₂O₆, was the same as **8**. The ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR signals of **9** (Tables 1 and 2) were almost identical to those of **8**. However, NOE enhancements observed between the methylene protons at δ 4.60 (H-1') and two aromatic protons at δ 6.39 (H-2) and 6.32 (H-4) suggested that the *O*-geranyl moiety was located at C-3 not C-7 as in **8**. Also, the HMBC correlation (Figure 3) of the methylene protons at δ 4.60 (H-1') with C-3 (δ 165.9) supported the above information. In addition, both of compounds **8** and **9** showed the difference of *R_f* value on the TLC (*R_f* = 0.22 and 0.34 for compounds **9** and **8**, respectively, in 5% acetone-DCM). Therefore, cochinxanthone G was assigned as to be **9**, a structural isomer of **8**.




Figure 3. HMBC and NOE correlations of **8** and **9**

The remaining xanthenes were characterized as cochinchinone A (**6**),² 2-geranyl-1,3,7-trihydroxy-4-(3-methylbut-2-enyl)xanthone (**7**),³ β -magostin (**2**),² α -magostin (**3**),² and dulcisxanthone (**4**)⁴ by analysis of 1D and 2D NMR information and comparison of their physical and spectral data with reported values.

Several xanthenes have been isolated from the plants in the genus *Cratoxylum*, e.g. *C. cochinchinense*,^{1,2,4} *C. formosum* sp *pruniflorum*,⁵ *C. sumatranum*,⁶ *C. maingayi*,⁷ and *C. formosum*.⁸ We now report four additional new polyoxygenated xanthenes from the barks and dried fruits of *C. cochinchinense*. In addition, five known xanthenes were also identified from the bark of this plant.

Table 1. ¹H-NMR Data of **1**, **5**, **8** and **9** in CDCl₃.

Position	1 ^a	5 ^a	8 ^b	9 ^b
2	—	—	6.37 (1H, d, <i>J</i> = 2.0 Hz)	6.39 (1H, d, <i>J</i> = 2.5 Hz)
4	—	6.31 (1H, s)	6.27 (1H, d, <i>J</i> = 2.0 Hz)	6.32 (1H, d, <i>J</i> = 2.5 Hz)
5	6.64 (1H, s)	7.22 (1H, dd, <i>J</i> = 9.2 Hz)	7.34 (1H, d, <i>J</i> = 9.0 Hz)	7.35 (1H, d, <i>J</i> = 9.5 Hz)
6	—	7.19 (1H, d, <i>J</i> = 9.2 Hz)	7.28 (1H, dd, <i>J</i> = 9.0, 3.0 Hz)	7.27 (1H, dd, <i>J</i> = 9.5, 3.0 Hz)
7	—	—	—	—
8	7.42 (1H, s)	—	7.57 (1H, d, <i>J</i> = 3.0 Hz)	7.59 (1H, d, <i>J</i> = 3.0 Hz)
1'	3.35 (2H, d, <i>J</i> = 6.0 Hz)	3.45 (2H, d, <i>J</i> = 6.0 Hz)	4.65 (2H, d, <i>J</i> = 6.0 Hz)	4.60 (1H, d, <i>J</i> = 6.0 Hz)
2'	5.25 (1H, m)	5.25 (1H, m)	5.51 (1H, m)	5.49 (1H, m)
4'□	1.72 (3H, s)	1.76 (3H, s)	2.41 (2H, m)	2.33 (2H, m)
5'□	1.80 (3H, s)	1.84 (3H, s)	2.87 (2H, m)	2.87 (2H, m)
8'□	—	—	5.80 (1H, d, <i>J</i> = 1.0 Hz); 6.00 (1H, s)	5.79 (1H, d, <i>J</i> = 1.0 Hz); 5.99 (1H, s)
9'□	—	—	1.79 (3H, s)	1.78 (3H, s)
10'□	—	—	1.88 (3H, s)	1.88 (3H, s)
1''□	3.43 (2H, d, <i>J</i> = 6.8 Hz)	4.30 (2H, d, <i>J</i> = 6.4 Hz)	—	—
2''□	5.25 (1H, m)	5.28 (1H, m)	—	—
4''□	2.05 (2H, m)	2.05 (2H, m)	—	—
5''□	2.05 (2H, m)	2.10 (2H, m)	—	—
6''□	5.00 (1H, m)	5.03 (1H, m)	—	—
8''□	1.55 (3H, s)	1.57 (3H, s)	—	—
9''□	1.82 (3H, s)	1.86 (3H, s)	—	—
10''□□	1.62 (3H, s)	1.65 (3H, s)	—	—
1-OH	12.94 s	13.63 s	12.94 s	12.81 s

^a Measured at 400 MHz NMR; ^b Measured at 500 MHz

Table 2. ^{13}C -NMR Data of **1**, **5**, **8** and **9** in CDCl_3 .

Position	1 ^a	5 ^a	8 ^b	9 ^b
1	157.2	160.6	163.6	163.2
2	109.1	108.4	94.1	93.1
3	160.5	162.2	161.1	165.9
4	104.9	93.2	98.3	97.4
4a	152.7	156.1	157.8	157.7
5a	151.9	150.9	150.7	152.0
5	102.4	123.7	118.9	118.7
6	152.7	116.6	125.4	121.0
7	141.7	151.8	155.1	150.6
8	108.0	127.1	106.0	109.2
8a	112.4	118.4	122.3	123.3
9	180.0	183.5	180.5	180.4
9a	104.9	104.0	103.6	103.6
1'□	21.2	21.5	65.4	65.3
2'□	121.7	121.4	119.4	119.1
3'□	134.6	134.9	140.6	141.7
4'□	25.8	25.8	33.8	33.7
5'□	17.6	17.9	35.6	35.5
6'□	–	–	201.5	201.3
7'□	–	–	144.4	144.4
8'□	–	–	124.9	123.9
9'□	–	–	16.9	17.0
10'□	–	–	17.6	17.6
1□''□	21.2	25.8	–	–
2□''□	121.5	121.4	–	–
3□''□	137.9	137.9	–	–
4□''□	39.7	39.7	–	–
5□''□	26.4	26.4	–	–
6□''□	123.9	123.8	–	–
7□''□	131.8	131.5	–	–
8□''□	17.7	17.7	–	–
9□''□	16.2	16.3	–	–
10□''□	25.6	25.6	–	–

^a Measured at 100 MHz NMR; ^b Measured at 125 MHz

EXPERIMENTAL

GENERAL

UV spectra were recorded with a Perkin-Elmer UV-Vis spectrophotometer. The IR spectra were recorded with a Perkin-Elmer FT-IR spectrophotometer. The ^1H - and ^{13}C -NMR spectra were recorded using 400 MHz Bruker FTNMR Ultra Shield and 500 MHz Varian UNITY INOVA spectrometers. Chemical shifts were recorded in parts per million (δ) in CDCl_3 with tetramethylsilane (TMS) as an internal reference. High resolution mass spectra were obtained using Bruker microTOF or MAT 95 XL mass spectrometers.

Quick column chromatography (QCC) and column chromatography (CC) were carried out on silica gel 60 H (Merck, 5–40 μm) and silica gel 100 (Merck, 63–200 μm), respectively. Precoated plates of silica gel 60 F₂₅₄ were used for analytical purposes.

PLANT MATERIAL

The dried fruits and barks of *C. cochinchinense* were collected from Mae Fah Luang University, Tasud, Muang, Chiang Rai Province, northern part of Thailand in December 2006 and January 2008, respectively. Botanical identification was achieved through comparison with a voucher specimen No. SL–1 (PSU)² in the herbarium collection of the Department of Biology, Prince of Songkla University, Songkhla, Thailand.

EXTRACTION AND ISOLATION

The barks of *C. cochinchinense* (1 kg) were extracted with MeOH, over a period of 3 days at rt and evaporated under reduced pressure to provide crude MeOH (200 g) extracts. This crude extract was partitioned with CH₂Cl₂ (2000 mL) and concentrated under reduced pressure to give CH₂Cl₂ extract (58.31 g). The CH₂Cl₂ extract was chromatographed by QCC over silica gel and eluted with a gradient of hexane-EtOAc to afford twenty fractions (CCD2A–CCD2T). Fraction CCD2D (10 g) was further purified by CC with a gradient of CH₂Cl₂–MeOH to give compounds **2** (50.1 mg), **3** (35.2 mg), **4** (20.5 mg), **5** (10 mg), **6** (1.5 g) and **7** (1.7 g). Fractions CCD2G (2.35 g) was subjected to CC (CH₂Cl₂–acetone, 95:5, v/v) to give compounds **1** (800 mg), **6** (150 mg) and **7** (200 mg).

The dried fruits of *C. cochinchinense* (1 kg) were extracted with hexane and EtOAc, respectively, over a period of 3 days each at rt and evaporated under reduced pressure to provide crude hexane (16 g) and EtOAc extracts (66 g). The EtOAc extract (66 g) was chromatographed by QCC over silica gel and eluted with a gradient of hexane-EtOAc (100% hexane and 100% EtOAc) to afford twenty fractions (E1–E20). Fraction E14 (3 g) was purified by CC with acetone-hexane (2:8, v/v) yielding seventeen fractions (E14A–E14Q). Fraction E14K (72.3 mg) was further purified by CC with acetone-hexane (3:7, v/v) to yield four subfractions (E14K1–E14K4). Compound **8** (4.0 mg) and **9** (3.6 mg) was obtained from fraction E14K2 (35.6 mg) by prep. TLC with 100% CHCl₃.

Cochinanthone D **1**: Yellow solid. mp 221–222 °C. UV λ_{max} (MeOH) (log ϵ): 373 (3.68), 320 (3.95), 262 (4.23), 235 (4.15), 203 (4.35) nm. IR (neat) ν_{max} : 3438 (OH), 1637 (C=O) cm⁻¹. ¹H–NMR (400 MHz,

CDCl₃) and ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) see Tables 1 and 2. HR-MS (APCI, -ve) *m/z* 463.2126 [M-H]⁻ (calcd. for C₂₈H₃₁O₆, 463.2121).

Cochinxanthone E **5**: Yellow viscous oil. UV λ_{max} (MeOH) (log ε): 382 (3.43), 314 (3.92), 265 (4.18), 241 (4.20) nm. IR (neat) ν_{max}: 3437 (OH), 1638 (C=O) cm⁻¹. ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) and ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) see Tables 1 and 2. HR-MS (APCI, -ve) *m/z* 447.2172 [M-H]⁻ (calcd. for C₂₈H₃₁O₅, 447.2171).

Cochinxanthone F **8**: Yellow viscous oil. UV λ_{max} (MeOH)(log ε): 368 (3.37), 308 (3.74), 259 (4.20), 236 (4.08) nm. IR (neat) ν_{max}: 3438, 1642 cm⁻¹. ¹H-NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) and ¹³C-NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) see Tables 1 and 2. HR-MS (APCI, -ve) *m/z* 393.1337 [M-H]⁻ (calcd. for C₂₃H₂₁O₆, 393.1338).

Cochinxanthone G **9**: Yellow viscous oil. UV λ_{max} (MeOH)(log ε): 375 (3.37), 305 (3.75), 259 (4.22), 237 (4.08) nm. IR (neat) ν_{max}: 3373, 2974, 1650 cm⁻¹. ¹H-NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) and ¹³C-NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) see Tables 1 and 2. HR-EIMS *m/z* 394.1419 [M]⁺ (calcd. for C₂₃H₂₂O₆, 394.1416).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

SL thanks the Thailand Research Fund and the Commission on Higher Education (MRG5080135) and Mae Fah Luang University for research grants. WM thanks Mae Fah Luang University for a graduate student research grant. We are indebted to Mr. Nitirat Chimnoi, Chulabhorn Research Institute, Bangkok, for recoding Mass spectrum, and Assoc. Prof. Dr. Uma Prawat and Ms. Nareerat Thongtip, Department of Chemistry, Rajabhat Phuket University, Phuket, for recoding NMR spectra. Some scientific material supports and giving valuable suggestions by Assoc. Prof. Dr Chatchanok Karalai and Assoc. Prof. Chanita Ponglimanont, Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Prince of Songkla University were also deeply acknowledge.

REFERENCES

1. S. Laphookhieo, W. Maneerat, T. Buatip, and J. K. Syers, [Can. J. Chem.](#), 2008, **86**, 757.
2. S. Laphookhieo, J. K. Syers, R. Kiattansakul, and K. Chantrapromma, [Chem. Pharm. Bull.](#), 2006, **54**, 745.
3. L. H. D. Nguyen and L. J. Harrison, [Phytochemistry](#), 1999, **50**, 471.
4. P. Phuwapraisirisan, S. Udomchotphruet, S. Surapinit, and S. Tip-Pyang, [Nat. Prod. Res.](#), 2006, **20**, 1332.

5. N. Boonnak, C. Karalai, S. Chantrapromma, C. Ponglimanont, H. K. Fun, A. Kanjana-Opas, and S. Laphookhieo, [*Tetrahedron*, 2006, **62**, 8850.](#)
6. E.-K. Seo, N.-C. Kim, M. C. Wani, M. E. Wall, H. A. Navarro, J. P. Burgess, K. Kawanishi, L. B. S. Kardono, S. Riswan, W. C. Rose, C. R. Fairchild, N. R. Farnsworth, and A. D. Kinghorn, [*J. Nat. Prod.*, 2002, **65**, 299.](#)
7. A. Kijjoa, M. Jose, T. G. Gonzalez, M. M. M. Pinto, A. M. Damas, I. Mondranondra, A. M. S. Silva, and W. Herz, [*Phytochemistry*, 1998, **49**, 2159.](#)
8. S. Boonsri, C. Karalai, C. Ponglimanont, A. Kanjana-Opas, and K. Chantrapromma, [*Phytochemistry*, 2006, **67**, 723.](#)