

HETEROCYCLES, Vol. 80, No. 1, 2010, pp. 313 - 328. © The Japan Institute of Heterocyclic Chemistry
Received, 4th March, 2009, Accepted, 13th April, 2009, Published online, 13th April, 2009
DOI: 10.3987/COM-09-S(S)17

TOTAL SYNTHESIS OF (+)-CACOSPONGIONOLIDE B

Motoko Oshida, Misaki Ono, Atsuo Nakazaki, and Susumu Kobayashi*

Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Tokyo University of Science (*RIKADAI*)
2641 Yamazaki, Noda-shi, Chiba 278-8510, Japan. kobayash@rs.noda.tus.ac.jp

Abstract – Total synthesis of (+)-cacospongionolide B was achieved. The synthesis involved highly stereoselective C-glycosidation of a glycal derived from D-arabinose with 3-furyl boronic acid in the presence of a palladium catalyst and *B*-alkyl Suzuki–Miyaura coupling of in situ generated alkylborane prepared by the reaction of vinyl *trans*-decalin with alkenyl triflate.

INTRODUCTION

(+)-Cacospongionolide B (**1**), isolated from the Mediterranean sponge *Fasciospongia cavernosa*, is a sesterterpenoid that exhibits antibacterial and cytotoxic activities,¹ and an inhibition of secretory phospholipase A₂ (sPLA₂) with anti-inflammatory properties (Figure 1).² Some related compounds such as cacospongionolide^{3a} and cacospongionolides D,^{3b} E,^{3c} and F^{3d} have been reported to exhibit the above mentioned activities. Intensive studies conducted by De Rosa and José Alcaraz have shown that (+)-**1** controls nitric oxide, prostaglandin E₂ (PGE₂), and tumor necrosis factor- α (TNF- α) production *in vitro* and *in vivo*, effects likely dependent on NF- κ B inhibition.⁴ Its ability to control NF- κ B-dependent gene expression and regulate cellular functions would hold a potential therapeutic application for inflammatory diseases.

Cacospongionolides are composed of two units: (i) a hydrophobic moiety such as decalin or a C16 acyclic side chain and (ii) a dihydropyran moiety bearing γ -hydroxybutenolide. Although the latter one, especially the γ -hydroxybutenolide motif, has been considered to be a pharmacophore, Snapper and co-workers have revealed that γ -hydroxybutenolide is not the sole structural feature affecting its biological activities.^{5a}

This paper is dedicated to Professor Akira Suzuki on the occasion of his 80th birthday.

Because of their structural features as well as the important biological activities exhibited by them, cacospongionolides have attracted considerable attention, and their total synthesis has been reported. Snapper and co-workers have reported the total syntheses of (+)- and (–)-cacospongionolides B^{5a} and E^{5c}, which involved ring closing metathesis for constructing a dihydropyran moiety. Forsyth and co-workers have reported the total synthesis of (–)-cacospongionolide F^{5b} by diastereochemically divergent synthesis using a racemic dehydrodecalin moiety and an enantiopure γ -hydroxybutenolide moiety that was masked as a furyl group. Herein, we report the total synthesis of (+)-**1**. The synthesis involved highly stereoselective C-glycosidation of a glycal⁶ derived from D-arabinose with 3-furyl boronic acid in the presence of a palladium catalyst and *B*-alkyl Suzuki–Miyaura coupling⁷ of in situ generated alkylborane prepared by the reaction of vinyl *trans*-decalin with alkenyl triflate.





Figure 1. Structures of cacospongionolide family

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION


The synthetic strategy of (+)-**1** is depicted in Scheme 1. According to the literatures,^{5,8} the γ -hydroxybutenolide moiety in (+)-**1** would be synthesized from the 3-furyl group by using singlet oxygen through an endoperoxide intermediate. The corresponding precursor of (+)-**1** bearing a 3-furyl group could be obtained by assembling vinyl *trans*-decalin **15** and alkenyl triflate **10** via *B*-alkyl Suzuki–Miyaura coupling.⁷ Alkene **15** would be synthesized from ketoester **12**, which in turn is readily

available from known enone **11**⁹ derived from an enantiomerically pure 5-methyl Wieland–Miescher ketone. The asymmetric carbon centers located at C9 and C10 (numbered according to the cacospongionolide B) in **12** could be controlled by means of reductive alkylation. Triflate **10**, which is another Suzuki–Miyaura coupling partner, would be synthesized by the conjugate reduction of the enone **8** and successive triflation of in situ generated enolate or the regioselective enolate formation of a saturated ketone **9** followed by triflation. These ketones would be prepared from allyl ester **5**. On the basis of the recent reports on aryl C-glycosidation of a glycal in the presence of a palladium catalyst,^{6b,c} we believed that the regio- and stereoselective C-glycosidation of a glycal derived from D-arabinose¹⁰ with 3-furyl boronic acid¹¹ would be successful.




Scheme 1. Synthetic strategy of (+)-cacospongionolide B (**1**)

The synthetic routes to key substrates glycals **2** and 3-furyl boronic acid **4** are summarized in Scheme 2. We first prepared acetyl glycal **2a** from D-arabinose according to the procedure given in the literature.¹⁰ Treatment of **2a** with K₂CO₃ and MeOH gave diol **2b** in 98% yield, which was then transformed into benzoyl glycal **2c** and pivaloyl glycal **2d**. 3-Furyl boronic acid **4** was synthesized from 3-bromofuran, according to the procedure stated in the literature,¹² through bromine-lithium exchange, successive addition of triisopropyl borate, and acid hydrolysis.



Scheme 2. Synthesis of substrates for Pd-mediated C-glycosidation. Reagents and conditions: (a) K_2CO_3 , MeOH, rt, 98%; (b) BzCl, pyridine, 0 °C→rt, quant.; (c) PivCl, Et_3N , CH_2Cl_2 , 0 °C→rt, 9%; (d) *n*-BuLi; (*i*-PrO) $_3\text{B}$; aq. HCl, 67%.


Key aryl C-glycosidation of **2** with **4** was carried out using the reported method (Table 1).^{6b,c} Thus, **2a** was treated with 2 equiv of **4** in acetonitrile in the presence of 10 mol% of $\text{Pd}(\text{OAc})_2$ at rt under an oxygen atmosphere; this afforded desired furyl C-glycoside **5a** as a single diastereomer but only in 2% yield (entry 1). Ring-opened compound **6** was mainly obtained, which would be generated by a mechanism similar to the one reported by de la Figuera.^{6c} A coupling product bearing two furyl groups which generates from **5** was not observed. Increasing the temperature or amount of $\text{Pd}(\text{OAc})_2$ was not effective in this case (entries 2 and 3); decomposition of **2a** was observed in the latter case. A similar reaction in the presence of Et_3N or $\text{Cu}(\text{OAc})_2$ did not proceed (entries 4 and 5). When a mixed solvent system of toluene and EtOH, which has been found to prevent ring-opening reactions,^{6b} was used, **5a** was produced in quite low yield (entry 6). Although rather polar solvents such as DMSO and DMI (1,3-dimethyl-2-imidazolidinone) were ineffective, DMF was found to afford **5a** in 21% yield (entry 9). In addition, a similar reaction using 0.2 M of **2a** in NMP (*N*-methylpyrrolidone) provided **5a** in 29% yield (entry 10), but the yield was observed to be low when higher concentration of **2a** was used (0.5 M, entry 11). The stereochemical determination of glycoside **5a** was confirmed by large coupling constants ($J = \sim 10$ Hz) of acetate **7**, which indicates that the acetyl group and the 3-furyl group were located at the equatorial position (Scheme 3). A similar reaction using the benzoyl surrogate **2c** resulted in the formation of corresponding C-glycoside **5c** in the best yield (38%, entry 12). Unfortunately, **2d**, which was protected by pivaloyl groups, was found to be an unsuitable substrate for the reaction (entry 13).



entry	substrate	R	additive	[2] (mol/L)	solvent(s)	result ^a
1	2a	Ac	—□	0.1	MeCN	5a 2%
2 ^{b,c}	2a	Ac	—□	0.1	MeCN	5a trace
3 ^d	2a	Ac	—□	0.1	MeCN	5a N.D. ^e
4 ^c	2a	Ac	Et_3N	0.1	MeCN	5a N.D. ^f
5 ^c	2a	Ac	$Cu(OAc)_2$	0.1	MeCN	5a N.D. ^f
6	2a	Ac	—□	0.1	toluene/EtOH ^g	5a trace
7	2a	Ac	—□	0.1	DMSO	5a N.D. ^f
8	2a	Ac	—□	0.1	DMI ^h	5a trace
9	2a	Ac	—□	0.1	DMF	5a 21%
10	2a	Ac	—□	0.2	NMP ⁱ	5a 29%
11	2a	Ac	—□	0.5	NMP	5a 16%
12	2c	Bz	—□	0.2	NMP	5c 38%
13	2d	Piv	—	0.2	NMP	5d 7%

^a N.D. = not detected by 1H NMR spectroscopy or TLC analysis. ^b Reaction was carried out at 50 °C. ^c 20 mol% of $Pd(OAc)_2$ was used. ^d 100 mol% of $Pd(OAc)_2$ was used. ^e Decomposition of glycal **2a** was observed. ^f No reaction was observed. ^g Toluene/EtOH = 6:4. ^h DMI = 1,3-dimethyl-2-imidazolidinone. ⁱ NMP = *N*-methylpyrrolidone.


Table 1. Pd-mediated aryl C-glycosidation



Scheme 3. Key coupling constants of C-glycoside **7**. Reagents and conditions: (a) H_2 (1 atm), Pd/C, EtOH, rt, 58%.


Scheme 4 summarizes the transformation of the allyl acetate **5a** into alkenyl triflate **10**. The hydrolysis and oxidation of the resulting allyl alcohol gave requisite enone **8** in 90% yield. The direct formation of

triflate **10** from enone **8** by means of conjugate reduction and successive triflation of the transient enolate with PhNTf_2 ¹³ was attempted; however, a trace amount of **10** was observed. Therefore, we chose regioselective enolate formation and triflation using ketone **9**, which was prepared from enone **8** via hydrogenation under conventional conditions. Treatment of **9** with a slight excess of KHMDS in THF at -78°C followed by treatment with the same amount of Comins' reagent¹⁴ led to **10** in 71% yield as a single regioisomer. When PhNTf_2 was used as a triflation reagent with a variety of bases (KHMDS, LHMDS, or LDA), the reaction was found to provide **10** in low yield (up to 33%).



Scheme 4. Synthesis of alkenyl triflate **10**. Reagents and conditions: (a) NaOMe, MeOH, rt; (b) IBX, DMSO, rt, 90% (2 steps); (c) H_2 (1 atm), Pd/C, EtOH, rt, 92%; (d) KHMDS, Comins' reagent, THF, -78°C , 71%.

Indispensable vinyl *trans*-decalin **15** was synthesized from enantioenriched 5-methyl Wieland-Miescher ketone derivative **11** (Scheme 5). Treatment of enone **11** (97.4% ee), which was prepared from 2-methyl cyclohexan-1,3-dione,⁹ with lithium in liquid ammonia, and H_2O followed by ethyl bromoacetate afforded *trans*-decalin **12** in moderate yield with high stereoselectivity.¹⁵ The newly generated stereogenic centers were confirmed from the nOe correlations of ester **13**, as shown in Figure 2. A ketone carbonyl group in **12** was transformed into an *exo*-methylene group, which was then hydrogenated under several conditions. Hydrogenation using Pd/C or Adams' catalyst either yielded **13** with almost no diastereoselectivity (ca. 1:1) or was irreproducible. In contrast, hydrogenation at 10 atm with Wilkinson's catalyst resulted in the formation of the desired **13** along with *epi*-**13** in 73% and 17% yields, respectively. Ester **13**, in turn, was reduced using LiAlH_4 to obtain primary alcohol **14** in 95% yield. The employment conditions reported by Grieco and co-workers^{16,7c} resulted in the clean conversion of alcohol **14** to desired vinyl decalin **15**.



Scheme 5. Synthesis of vinyl *trans*-decalin **15**. Reagents and conditions: (a) Li, NH₃; H₂O; ethyl bromoacetate, THF, $-78 \rightarrow -30$ °C, 47% (>95% dr); (b) Ph₃PCH₃Br, KO*t*-Bu, THF, rt, 65%; (c) H₂ (10 atm), (Ph₃P)₃RhCl, toluene, rt, **13**: 73%, *epi*-**13**: 17%; (d) LiAlH₄, THF, 0 °C, 95%; (e) *o*-NO₂C₆H₄SeCN, *n*-Bu₃P, THF, rt; (f) 31% aq. H₂O₂, pyridine, THF, rt, 82% (2 steps).





Figure 2. Selected nOe correlations of ester **13**

To union *trans*-decalin **15** and alkenyl triflate **10**, we next carried out the crucial Suzuki–Miyaura coupling (Scheme 6).^{7c} A degassed solution of **15** in THF was treated with 2 equiv of 9-BBN dimer. The in situ generated alkylborane was then treated with a degassed solution of **10**, PdCl₂(dppf)·CH₂Cl₂, and Cs₂CO₃ in 1,4-dioxane–H₂O to afford **16** in 83% yield and having spectral characteristics identical to those reported by Snapper and co-workers.^{5a}

With all carbon skeleton bearing requisite stereogenic centers in hand, we followed Snapper's protocol to complete the total synthesis. Deprotection and one-carbon homologation of the resulting ketone **17** provided **18** in good overall yield. The photo-oxidation of the furan moiety with singlet oxygen in the presence of a hindered base was finally achieved to obtain the final product (+)-**1** as a mixture of epimers. The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra and the specific rotation of **1** synthesized by our method were consistent with those of **1** synthesized by a previous method.^{5a}



Scheme 6. Completion of the total synthesis of (+)-**1**. Reagents and conditions: (a) 9-BBN dimer, THF, rt; (b) **10**, PdCl₂(dppf)·CH₂Cl₂, aq. Cs₂CO₃, THF-1,4-dioxane, rt, 83% from **15**; (c) 1 N HCl, THF, rt, 92%; (d) Ph₃PCH₃Br, NaH, DMSO, 75 °C, 84%; (e) ¹O₂, *hν*, rose bengal, *i*-Pr₂NEt, CH₂Cl₂, −78 °C, 39% (55% based on the recovered starting material).

In conclusion, we have achieved the total synthesis of (+)-cacospongionolide B via highly stereoselective aryl *C*-glycosidation and *B*-alkyl Suzuki–Miyaura coupling. This approach offers a potentially useful synthetic route to other cacospongionolides.

EXPERIMENTAL

General Techniques. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on a JEOL JNM-LD400 spectrometer operating at either 400 MHz (¹H) or 100 MHz (¹³C) or on a JEOL AL-300 operating at either 300 MHz (¹H) or 75 MHz (¹³C). Chemical shifts are reported in δ units and are referenced to the solvent, i.e., 7.26/77.1 for CDCl₃. Multiplicities are indicated as: br (broadened), s (singlet), d (doublet), t (triplet), q (quartet), quint (quintet), sept (septet) or m (multiplet). Coupling constants (*J*) are reported in Hertz (Hz). Infrared spectra were recorded on a Jasco FT-IR410 spectrometer. Electron impact mass spectra were performed on a Hitachi M-80B mass spectrometer. Electrospray ionization mass spectra were recorded on an Applied Biosystems API QSTAR pulsar i as high resolution, using poly(ethylene glycol) as internal standard. Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on silica gel 60 F₂₅₄ (Merck 1.05715.0009) plates. Flash column chromatography was performed on a PSQ100B silica gel (Fuji Silysia Co., Ltd., Japan). THF and Et₂O were purchased from Wako Pure Chemical Industries Ltd. in anhydrous grade. CH₂Cl₂ was distilled from CaH₂ immediately before use. NMP, benzene, DMSO and DMF were distilled from CaH₂ and stored over activated MS 4A. Pyridine, Et₃N, *i*-Pr₂NEt and *i*-Pr₂NH were distilled from CaH₂ and stored over KOH. Other reagents were used as received. All moisture sensitive reactions were

performed under a static argon atmosphere in flame-dried glassware.

Benzoyl glycal 2c. Acetyl glycal **2a** was prepared from D-arabinose according to the literature procedure.¹⁰

To a solution of acetyl glycal **2a** (300 mg, 1.5 mmol) in MeOH (1.9 mL) was added K₂CO₃ (2 mg, 0.02 mmol), and the resulting mixture was stirred for 26 h at rt. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc = 1:1) to give diol **2b** (163 mg, 93%) as a white solid.

To a solution of diol **2b** (61 mg, 0.53 mmol) in pyridine (0.13 mL, 1.58 mmol) at 0 °C was added benzoyl chloride (0.13 mL, 1.16 mmol). The resulting solution was allowed to warm to rt and stirred at that temperature for 19 h. The reaction mixture was diluted with CH₂Cl₂ and H₂O, extracted with CH₂Cl₂. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification by silica gel column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc = 30:1) gave benzoyl glycal **2c** (168 mg, 98%) as a colorless oil: *R*_f 0.67 (hexane/EtOAc = 2:1); [α]_D²³ +211.7 (*c* 0.39, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.03-7.92 (m, 4H), 7.58-7.34 (m, 6H), 6.62 (d, *J* = 6.1 Hz, 1H), 5.81 (dd, *J* = 5.1, 5.1 Hz, 1H), 5.54 (dt, *J* = 5.1, 9.0 Hz, 1H), 5.07 (dd, *J* = 5.1, 6.1 Hz, 1H), 4.29-4.21 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 165.9, 165.4, 148.1, 133.3, 133.1, 130.1, 129.8 (2 carbons), 129.6 (2 carbons), 129.4, 128.4 (4 carbons), 97.7, 66.8, 63.6, 63.0; IR (neat, cm⁻¹) 1716, 1644, 1523, 1276; HRMS (FAB+) calcd for C₁₉H₁₆O₅Na [M+Na]⁺ 347.0998, found: 347.0896.

Furyl C-glycoside 5c. To a mixture of glycal **2c** (200 mg, 0.62 mmol) and furylboronic acid **4** (139 mg, 1.24 mmol) in NMP (3.1 mL) was added Pd(OAc)₂ (14 mg, 0.06 mmol). The resulting suspension was refilled with oxygen and stirred at rt for 12 h. The reaction mixture was diluted with hexane/EtOAc (4:1, 2.0 mL) and filtered through a pad of silica gel. The filtrate was washed with H₂O and brine, dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification by silica gel column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc = 20:1) gave furyl C-glycoside **5c** (64 mg, 38%, >95% dr determined by ¹H NMR analysis) as a yellow oil: *R*_f 0.57 (hexane/EtOAc = 4:1); [α]_D²⁴ +265.2 (*c* 0.25, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.09 (m, 2H), 7.57 (tt, *J* = 1.5, 7.3 Hz, 1H), 7.47 (s, 1H), 7.40 (m, 2H), 7.39 (s, 1H), 6.45 (s, 1H), 6.22 (m, 1H), 6.14 (ddd, *J* = 1.9, 3.9, 10.3 Hz, 1H), 5.40 (dt, *J* = 3.9, 3.9 Hz, 1H), 5.24 (br s, 1H), 4.10 (dd, *J* = 3.9, 12.4 Hz, 1H), 3.86 (dd, *J* = 3.9, 12.4 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 166.2, 143.6, 140.9, 133.1, 133.0, 130.0, 129.8 (2 carbons), 128.4 (2 carbons), 124.1, 123.6, 109.8, 67.6, 65.0, 64.0; IR (neat, cm⁻¹) 1714; HRMS (FAB+) calcd for C₁₆H₁₄O₄Na [M+Na]⁺ 293.0893, found: 294.0970.

Furyl C-glycoside 5a. Isolated as a yellow oil: R_f 0.30 (hexane/EtOAc = 4:1); $[\alpha]_D^{24} +219.2$ (c 0.31, CHCl_3); ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 7.42 (dd, $J = 1.7, 1.7$ Hz, 1H), 7.35 (br s, 1H), 6.41 (br s, 1H), 6.18 (ddd, $J = 1.2, 3.0, 10.2$ Hz, 1H), 6.03 (dddd, $J = 0.7, 2.2, 4.2, 10.2$ Hz, 1H), 5.19 (br s, 1H), 5.13 (ddt, $J = 1.2, 3.6, 4.2$ Hz, 1H), 3.96 (dd, $J = 3.6, 12.4$ Hz, 1H), 3.72 (ddd, $J = 0.7, 3.6, 12.4$ Hz, 1H), 2.11 (s, 3H); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3) 170.7, 143.6, 141.0, 132.9, 123.9, 123.5, 109.9, 67.5, 64.5, 63.7, 21.1; IR (neat, cm^{-1}) 1731; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\text{C}_{11}\text{H}_{12}\text{O}_4\text{Na}$ $[\text{M}+\text{Na}]^+$ 231.0627, found: 231.0633.

Enone 8. To a solution of furyl C-glycoside **5a** (76 mg, 0.36 mmol) in MeOH (2.4 mL) was added NaOMe (49 mg, 0.91 mmol), and then the resulting mixture was stirred for 15 min at rt. The reaction was quenched with a saturated aqueous solution of NH_4Cl at 0 °C, extracted with CH_2Cl_2 , dried over Na_2SO_4 , filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure.

To a solution of the crude allyl alcohol in DMSO (2.4 mL) was added IBX (122 mg, 0.44 mmol), and then the solution was stirred for 2.5 h at rt. The reaction was quenched with H_2O , and the aqueous layer was extracted with Et_2O . The combined organic layers were dried over Na_2SO_4 and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification by silica gel column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc = 5:1) gave enone **8** (54 mg, 90% for the two steps) as a yellow oil: R_f 0.73 (hexane/EtOAc = 1:1); $[\alpha]_D^{23} +117.4$ (c 0.93, CHCl_3); ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 7.47 (m, 1H), 7.44 (m, 1H), 7.13 (dd, $J = 2.7, 10.2$ Hz, 1H), 6.46 (br s, 1H), 6.24 (dd, $J = 2.0, 10.2$ Hz, 1H), 5.39 (br s, 1H), 4.27 (d, $J = 16.6$ Hz, 1H), 4.17 (d, $J = 16.6$ Hz, 1H); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3) 194.3, 149.3, 144.0, 140.9, 127.1, 122.1, 109.5, 69.8, 68.1; IR (neat, cm^{-1}) 1696, 1617; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\text{C}_9\text{H}_8\text{O}_3\text{Na}$ $[\text{M}+\text{Na}]^+$ 187.0365, found: 187.0360.

Ketone 9. A solution of Pd/C (10 wt%, 9 mg) and enone **8** (53 mg, 0.32 mmol) in EtOH (3.2 mL) was refilled with hydrogen. The reaction mixture was stirred for 3.5 h at rt and then passed directly through a short pad of Celite. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification by silica gel column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc = 20:1) gave ketone **9** (49 mg, 92%) as a yellow oil: R_f 0.67 (hexane/EtOAc = 1:1); $[\alpha]_D^{24} +12.8$ (c 0.94, CHCl_3); ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 7.44 (br s, 1H), 7.42 (t, $J = 1.7$ Hz, 1H), 6.43 (br s, 1H), 4.77 (dd, $J = 3.4, 10.0$ Hz, 1H), 4.21 (dd, $J = 1.5, 16.4$ Hz, 1H), 4.11 (d, $J = 16.4$ Hz, 1H), 2.70-2.55 (m, 2H), 2.38-2.31 (m, 1H), 2.28-2.18 (m, 1H); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3) 207.1, 143.5, 139.3, 125.5, 108.7, 73.9, 70.5, 37.0, 30.5; IR (neat, cm^{-1}) 1725; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\text{C}_9\text{H}_{10}\text{O}_3\text{Na}$ $[\text{M}+\text{Na}]^+$ 189.0527, found: 189.0525.

Alkenyl triflate 10. To a solution of ketone **9** (83 mg, 0.50 mmol) in THF (5.0 mL) was added Comins' reagent (205 mg, 0.52 mmol), and then the resulting mixture was cooled to -78 °C. To this mixture was

added dropwise KHMDS (0.5 M solution in toluene, 1.1 mL, 0.52 mmol) and the resulting mixture was stirred at that temperature for 2 h. The reaction was quenched with a saturated aqueous solution of NH_4Cl at 0 °C, and extracted with Et_2O . The combined organic layers were dried over Na_2SO_4 , filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification by silica gel column chromatography (hexane/ EtOAc = 20:1) gave alkenyl triflate **10** (106 mg, 71%) as a pale yellow oil: R_f 0.87 (hexane/ EtOAc = 2:1); $[\alpha]_D^{23} +45.2$ (c 0.73, CHCl_3); ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 7.44 (br s, 1H), 7.42 (br s, 1H), 6.42 (br s, 1H), 5.79 (br s, 1H), 4.63 (dd, J = 4.2, 9.0 Hz, 1H), 4.36 (br d, J = 15.8 Hz, 1H), 4.24 (br d, J = 15.8 Hz, 1H), 2.63-2.47 (m, 2H); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3) 145.6, 143.4, 139.5, 124.6, 118.3 (q, J = 318.3 Hz), 115.3, 108.6, 68.2, 63.8, 30.3; IR (neat, cm^{-1}) 1601, 1213, 1140; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\text{C}_{10}\text{H}_9\text{F}_3\text{O}_5\text{SNa}$ $[\text{M}+\text{Na}]^+$ 321.0015, found: 321.0027.

Ketoester 12. Enone **11** was prepared from 2-methylcyclohexan-1,3-dione according to the literature procedure,⁹ and the enantiomeric excess of 5-methyl Wieland-Miescher ketone was determined by chiral HPLC analysis (Daicel, Chiralpak AD-H, 1.0 mL/min, hexane/*i*-PrOH = 90:10, 254 nm, 30 °C) to be 97.4% ee.

To a stirred mixture of lithium (144 mg, 20.8 mmol) in liquid ammonia (ca. 100 mL) at -78 °C was added dropwise a solution of enone **11** (981 mg, 4.15 mmol) in THF (2.0 mL). After refluxing for 1 h at -30 °C, the reaction was quenched with H_2O (3.9 M in THF, 1.1 mL, 4.3 mmol). After refluxing the resulting mixture for another 1 h, ethyl bromoacetate (2.3 mL, 20.8 mmol) was then added as rapidly as possible, and the reaction mixture was refluxed for 2 h. The ammonia was evaporated and the reaction was quenched with a saturated aqueous solution of NH_4Cl . The resulting mixture was then extracted with Et_2O , and the combined organic layers were dried over Na_2SO_4 , filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification by silica gel column chromatography (hexane/ EtOAc = 20:1) gave ketoester **12** (638 mg, 47%, >95% dr determined by ^1H NMR analysis) as a colorless oil: R_f 0.47 (hexane/ EtOAc = 2:1); $[\alpha]_D^{25} +23.8$ (c 1.00, CHCl_3); ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 4.18-4.12 (m, 2H), 3.94-3.86 (m, 5H), 2.90 (d, J = 13.9 Hz, 1H), 2.50 (dd, J = 4.6, 9.8 Hz, 2H), 2.39 (d, J = 16.9 Hz, 1H), 2.31 (dd, J = 3.6, 11.0 Hz, 1H), 2.19-2.01 (m, 1H), 1.78-1.63 (m, 3H), 1.58-1.51 (m, 1H), 1.47-1.37 (m, 2H), 1.24 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 1.21 (s, 3H), 1.04 (s, 3H); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3) 215.3, 171.8, 112.6, 65.3, 65.0, 60.4, 48.7, 44.3, 43.4, 42.6, 34.7, 30.4, 27.1, 22.9, 22.3, 22.1, 15.4, 14.1; IR (neat, cm^{-1}) 1723, 1702, 1184; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\text{C}_{18}\text{H}_{28}\text{O}_5\text{Na}$ $[\text{M}+\text{Na}]^+$ 347.1828, found: 347.1821.

exo-Methylene. A mixture of methyltriphenylphosphonium bromide (1.20 g, 3.34 mmol) and *t*-BuOK (1.0 M solution in THF, 3.3 mL, 3.3 mmol) was stirred for 1 h at rt. To the resulting mixture was added a

solution of ketoester **12** (361 mg, 1.11 mmol) in THF (7.8 mL), and then the reaction mixture was stirred at that temperature for 3.5 h. The reaction was quenched with H₂O, and the aqueous layer was extracted with Et₂O. The combined organic layers were dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification by silica gel column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc = 30:1) gave *exo*-methylene (237 mg, 65%) as a colorless oil: *R*_f 0.73 (hexane/EtOAc = 2:1); [α]_D²⁵ -4.0 (*c* 1.27, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 4.79 (s, 1H), 4.76 (s, 1H), 4.09 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 3.94-3.89 (m, 3H), 3.86-3.81 (m, 1H), 2.49 (d, *J* = 2.9 Hz, 2H), 2.40 (dt, *J* = 5.2, 12.7 Hz, 1H), 2.22-2.16 (m, 2H), 1.75-1.59 (m, 3H), 1.52-1.45 (m, 2H), 1.42-1.36 (m, 2H), 1.27-1.20 (m, 1H), 1.25 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 1.14 (s, 3H), 1.07 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 171.7, 154.0, 113.3, 107.0, 65.2, 64.8, 60.0, 44.8, 44.0, 43.5, 42.8, 31.2, 30.3, 29.4, 23.6, 22.8, 21.8, 17.6, 14.2; IR (neat, cm⁻¹) 1734, 1637, 1185; HRMS (ESI) calcd for C₁₉H₃₀O₄Na [M+Na]⁺ 345.2036, found: 345.2035.

Ester 13. To a 50 mL stainless steel autoclave were introduced a solution of the *exo*-methylene (163 mg, 0.51 mmol) in toluene (5.1 mL) and (Ph₃P)₃RhCl (94 mg, 0.10 mmol). The autoclave was purged three times with hydrogen, and then the pressure of hydrogen was set to 10 atm. The resulting mixture was stirred at rt for 3 days, and then the hydrogen was released carefully. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure. Purification by silica gel chromatography (hexane/EtOAc = 30:1) gave the desired ester **13** (121 mg, 73%, >95% dr) and *epi*-**13** (29 mg, 17%, >95% dr) as a yellow oil. The relative stereochemistry of ester **13** was established by the nOe correlations as shown in Figure 2: *R*_f 0.60 (hexane/*i*-Pr₂O = 2:1); [α]_D²⁵ +23.2 (*c* 0.56, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 4.10 (dq, *J* = 3.7, 7.1 Hz, 1H), 4.08 (dq, *J* = 3.7, 7.1 Hz, 1H), 4.00-3.90 (m, 3H), 3.87-3.80 (m, 1H), 2.35 (d, *J* = 14.9 Hz, 1H), 2.13 (d, *J* = 14.9 Hz, 1H), 1.95-1.87 (m, 2H), 1.76 (dt, *J* = 2.9, 11.7 Hz, 2H), 1.68-1.60 (m, 1H), 1.52-1.44 (m, 3H), 1.49-1.35 (m, 2H), 1.32-1.25 (m, 1H), 1.24 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 1.13 (s, 3H), 1.10 (s, 3H), 1.08-1.07 (m, 1H), 1.03 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 172.7, 113.5, 65.3, 64.9, 59.7, 44.3, 42.3, 38.8, 35.5, 31.6, 30.3, 24.9, 23.5, 23.0, 22.6, 21.1, 17.4, 15.1, 14.2; IR (neat, cm⁻¹) 1734, 1188; HRMS (ESI) calcd for C₁₉H₃₂O₄Na [M+Na]⁺ 347.2198, found: 347.2210.

Alcohol 14. To a suspension of LiAlH₄ (133 mg, 3.51 mmol) in THF (10.0 mL) was added a solution of ester **13** (285 mg, 0.88 mmol) in THF (12.0 mL) at 0 °C, and then the resulting mixture was stirred at that temperature for 5.5 h. The mixture was carefully diluted with a saturated aqueous solution of NH₄Cl, and the aqueous layer was extracted with Et₂O. The combined organic layers were dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification by silica gel column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc = 10:1) gave alcohol **14** (235 mg, 95%) as a colorless oil: *R*_f 0.30 (hexane/EtOAc = 2:1);

$[\alpha]_D^{23} +31.4$ (c 0.43, CHCl_3); ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 4.00-3.90 (m, 3H), 3.85-3.79 (m, 1H), 3.75-3.63 (m, 2H), 1.87 (tt, $J = 3.6, 13.4$ Hz, 1H), 1.77 (dd, $J = 3.2, 13.4$ Hz, 1H), 1.71-1.62 (m, 4H), 1.60-1.58 (m, 1H), 1.52-1.37 (m, 5H), 1.28-1.22 (m, 2H), 1.09 (s, 3H), 1.07-1.06 (m, 1H), 1.00 (d, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 3H), 0.95 (s, 3H); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3) 113.6, 65.3, 64.8, 59.3, 43.8, 43.0, 42.6, 37.4, 36.0, 30.3, 24.9, 23.6, 23.1, 21.2, 20.0, 17.6, 14.9; IR (neat, cm^{-1}) 3347, 1454; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\text{C}_{17}\text{H}_{30}\text{O}_3\text{Na}$ $[\text{M}+\text{Na}]^+$ 305.2195, found: 305.2187.

Vinyl *trans*-decalin 15. To a stirred solution of alcohol **14** (305 mg, 1.08 mmol) in THF (5.4 mL) were added 2-nitrophenyl selenocyanate¹⁷ (367 mg, 1.62 mmol) and $n\text{-Bu}_3\text{P}$ (0.54 mL, 2.16 mmol) at 0 °C. The mixture was stirred at rt for 22.5 h and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification by silica gel column chromatography gave selenoether.

To a stirred solution of the selenoether in THF (21 mL) were added pyridine (0.44 mL, 5.39 mmol) and 31% H_2O_2 (0.28 mL, 2.56 mmol) at 0 °C, and then the mixture was stirred at rt for 1 h. The reaction mixture was diluted with ice water, and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over Na_2SO_4 , filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification by silica gel column chromatography (hexane/ CH_2Cl_2 = 20:1) gave vinyl *trans*-decalin **15** (233 mg, 82% for the two steps) as a yellow oil: R_f 0.87 (hexane/EtOAc = 2:1); $[\alpha]_D^{23} +14.7$ (c 1.26, CHCl_3); ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 5.74 (dd, $J = 11.0, 17.6$ Hz, 1H), 4.94 (dd, $J = 1.5, 11.0$ Hz, 1H), 4.88 (dd, $J = 1.5, 17.6$ Hz, 1H), 3.99-3.91 (m, 3H), 3.87-3.82 (m, 1H), 1.94 (tt, $J = 3.7, 13.6$ Hz, 1H), 1.84-1.74 (m, 2H), 1.68-1.58 (m, 2H), 1.52-1.40 (m, 3H), 1.31-1.23 (m, 3H), 1.12 (s, 3H), 1.10-1.08 (t, $J = 3.7$ Hz, 1H), 1.05 (s, 3H), 1.00 (d, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 3H); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3) 150.2, 113.6, 110.7, 65.3, 64.9, 43.3, 41.9, 41.3, 39.9, 30.8, 25.6, 23.9, 23.1, 21.7, 19.5, 17.6, 16.0; IR (neat, cm^{-1}) 1631; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\text{C}_{17}\text{H}_{28}\text{O}_2\text{Na}$ $[\text{M}+\text{Na}]^+$ 287.2089, found: 287.2087.

B-Alkyl Suzuki-Miyaura coupling of 10 and 15. To a solution of vinyl *trans*-decalin **15** (25 mg, 0.09 mmol) in THF (0.9 mL) that was degassed by sparging with Ar through a submerged needle for 15 min was added 9-BBN dimer (46 mg, 0.19 mmol). The resulting mixture was stirred at rt for 7 h to give a THF solution of alkylborane. To a degassed solution of alkenyl triflate **10** (49 mg, 0.16 mmol), $\text{PdCl}_2(\text{dppf}) \cdot \text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ (8 mg, 0.01 mmol) and a solution of Cs_2CO_3 (1.0 M solution in H_2O , 0.28 mL, 0.28 mmol) in 1,4-dioxane (0.5 mL) was added the solution of above-mentioned alkylborane via cannula, and rinse (1,4-dioxane, 0.4 mL) of the flask of alkylborane was added to the reaction mixture. The resulting mixture was stirred at rt for 14.5 h and diluted with H_2O and Et_2O . The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc. The combined organic layers were dried over Na_2SO_4 , filtered and concentrated under reduce

pressure. Purification by silica gel column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc = 80:1) gave coupling product **16** (31 mg, 83% from **15**) as a white solid: R_f 0.50 (hexane/EtOAc = 5:1); $[\alpha]_D^{23} +43.3$ (c 1.07, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.41 (s, 1H), 7.39 (t, J = 1.7 Hz, 1H), 6.42 (s, 1H), 5.55 (br s, 1H), 4.50 (dd, J = 3.6, 9.8 Hz, 1H), 4.23 (br d, J = 15.9 Hz, 1H), 4.10 (br d, J = 15.9 Hz, 1H), 4.00-3.90 (m, 3H), 3.85-3.80 (m, 1H), 2.38-2.18 (m, 2H), 1.89-1.41 (m, 12H), 1.29-1.16 (m, 3H), 1.10 (s, 3H), 1.08 (m, 1H), 0.95 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 0.89 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 143.8, 139.2, 138.1, 126.8, 117.0, 113.7, 108.9, 68.7, 68.4, 65.3, 64.8, 43.8, 42.8, 38.0, 37.4, 35.1, 31.4, 30.3, 26.1, 24.9, 23.7, 23.1, 20.3, 19.9, 17.6, 14.6; IR (neat, cm⁻¹) 1454, 1382, 1062; HRMS (ESI) calcd for C₂₆H₃₈O₄Na [M+Na]⁺ 437.2771, Found: 437.2766.

Ketone 17. Ketal **16** (43 mg, 0.10 mmol) was stirred in 1 N HCl/THF (v/v = 1:2, 1.5 mL) at rt for 18 h. The mixture was diluted with Et₂O, and washed consecutively with water, a saturated aqueous solution of NH₄Cl, and brine. The organic layer was dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification by silica gel column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc = 10:1) gave ketone **17** (36 mg, 92%) as a white solid: R_f 0.40 (hexane/EtOAc = 5:1); $[\alpha]_D^{23} +54.3$ (c 0.44, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.41 (br s, 1H), 7.39 (t, J = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 6.42 (br s, 1H), 5.57 (br s, 1H), 4.51 (dd, J = 3.7, 9.7 Hz, 1H), 4.23 (br d, J = 16.1 Hz, 1H), 4.11 (br d, J = 16.1 Hz, 1H), 2.59 (td, J = 7.0, 13.7 Hz, 1H), 2.39-2.18 (m, 3H), 2.07 (m, 1H), 1.90-1.46 (m, 8H), 1.37 (dd, J = 3.1, 10.8 Hz, 2H), 1.28 (m, 2H), 1.19 (s, 3H), 1.15 (m, 1H), 1.00 (s, 3H), 0.90 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 215.7, 143.1, 139.2, 137.8, 126.7, 117.3, 108.9, 68.7, 68.3, 49.3, 47.2, 38.5, 38.0, 37.4, 34.8, 31.3, 26.2, 26.1, 25.9, 24.58, 20.7, 20.1, 19.6, 14.4; IR (neat, cm⁻¹) 1702, 1506, 1455, 1382, 1159, 1066, 1025, 875; HRMS (ESI) calcd for C₂₄H₃₄O₃Na [M+Na]⁺ 393.2508, Found: 393.2505.

exo-Methylene 18. NaH (55% dispersion in mineral oil, 60 mg, 1.27 mmol) was stirred in dry DMSO (5.0 mL) at 75 °C for 1 h, then the solution was cooled to -5 °C. To the resulting mixture was added a solution of methyltriphenylphosphonium bromide (453 mg, 1.27 mmol) in warm DMSO (2.5 mL) dropwise over 30 min, and then the mixture was stirred at rt for 1 h.

To the Wittig reagent in DMSO (0.17 M, 2.8 mL, 0.48 mmol) was added ketone **17** (36 mg, 0.10 mmol), and the resulting mixture was stirred at 75 °C for 1 h. The reaction was quenched with a saturated aqueous solution of NH₄Cl, and extracted with Et₂O. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification by silica gel column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc = 30:1) gave *exo*-methylene **18** (30 mg, 84%) as a white solid: R_f 0.67 (hexane/EtOAc = 7:1); $[\alpha]_D^{23} +82.3$ (c 0.61, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.40 (s, 1H),

7.38 (t, $J = 1.7$ Hz, 1H), 6.42 (s, 1H), 5.56 (br s, 1H), 4.52 (s, 1H), 4.50 (m, 1H), 4.48 (s, 1H), 4.22 (br d, $J = 15.9$ Hz, 1H), 4.11 (br d, $J = 15.9$ Hz, 1H), 2.17-2.38 (m, 3H), 2.09 (d, $J = 13.4$ Hz, 1H), 1.99 (tt, $J = 3.4, 13.7$ Hz, 1H), 1.90-1.78 (m, 4H), 1.60 (m, 2H), 1.53-1.22 (s, 5H), 1.17 (dd, $J = 2.2, 12.2$ Hz, 1H), 1.10 (s, 3H), 1.08 (m, 1H), 0.93 (s, 3H), 0.91 (d, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 3H); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3) 160.6, 143.1, 139.2, 138.1, 126.8, 117.1, 108.9, 102.0, 68.7, 68.4, 47.8, 40.4, 38.0, 37.9, 35.4, 32.9, 31.3, 30.2, 28.7, 26.1, 25.5, 21.3 (2 carbons), 20.5, 14.8; IR (neat, cm^{-1}) 1637, 1454, 1378, 1159; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\text{C}_{25}\text{H}_{36}\text{O}_2\text{Na}$ $[\text{M}+\text{Na}]^+$ 391.2715, found: 391.2711.

(+)-Cacospongionolide B (1). To a solution of furan **18** (30 mg, 0.08 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (1.7 mL) was added Rose Bengal (4 mg, 0.004 mmol) and *i*-Pr₂NEt (0.07 mL). The bright red solution was cooled to -78°C , and irradiated with a 250 W halogen lamp while anhydrous O_2 was bubbled through the solution for 15 min. The irradiation continued for a total of 4 h under a blanket of anhydrous O_2 . The reaction was then protected from light, and warmed to rt. The reaction was quenched with a saturated aqueous solution of oxalic acid (3.0 mL), and the resulting mixture was stirred until colorless (15 min). The aqueous layer was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 , and the combined organic layers were dried over Na_2SO_4 , filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification by silica gel chromatography (hexane/ $\text{Et}_2\text{O} = 40:1$) gave (+)-cacospongionolide B (**1**) [13 mg, 39% (55% based on the recovered starting material), a mixture of epimers] as a white solid: R_f 0.73 ($\text{CHCl}_3/\text{MeOH} = 6:1$); $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{24} +122.5$ (c 0.61, CHCl_3); ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 6.23 (d, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 0.5H), 6.09 (s, 1H), 6.02 (s, 0.5H), 5.55 (br s, 1H), 4.52 (s, 1H), 4.49 (s, 1H), 4.44 (dd, $J = 3.2, 9.5$ Hz, 0.5H), 4.39 (dd, $J = 4.4, 9.5$ Hz, 0.5H), 4.23-4.09 (m, 2H), 3.68 (t, $J = 6.6$ Hz, 1H), 2.38-2.18 (m, 3H), 2.09 (br d, $J = 13.7$ Hz, 1H), 1.99 (tt, $J = 3.4, 14.2$ Hz, 1H), 1.89-1.76 (m, 4H), 1.64-1.58 (m, 2H), 1.51-1.26 (m, 5H), 1.16 (dd, $J = 2.4, 12.0$ Hz, 1H), 1.10 (s, 3H), 1.08-1.03 (m, 1H), 0.93 (s, 3H), 0.91 (d, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 3H); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3) 170.2, 167.7, 166.9, 138.4, 138.0, 118.4, 117.4, 116.0, 115.8, 102.1, 97.5, 97.0, 69.9, 69.0, 68.4, 68.4, 47.8, 40.4, 38.0, 37.9, 35.4, 32.9, 30.3, 29.7, 29.4, 28.9, 28.7, 26.0, 25.5, 21.3, 20.5, 14.8; IR (neat, cm^{-1}) 3345, 3083, 2931, 2854, 1760, 1637, 1454, 1380, 1130, 952; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\text{C}_{25}\text{H}_{36}\text{O}_4\text{Na}$ $[\text{M}+\text{Na}]^+$ 423.2511, found: 423.2611.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We express our gratitude to Dr. Ichiro Hayakawa, University of Tsukuba, for helpful suggestions on the preparation of 2-nitrophenyl selenocyanate and on the procedure of *B*-alkyl Suzuki–Miyaura coupling.

REFERENCES AND NOTES

1. S. De Rosa, A. Crispino, A. De Giulio, C. Iodice, C. R. Pronzato, and N. Zavodnik, *J. Nat. Prod.*,

- [1995, 58, 1776](#).
2. P. García Pastor, S. De Rosa, A. De Giulio, M. Payá, and M. José Alcaraz, [Br. J. Pharmacol., 1999, 126, 301](#).
 3. (a) S. De Rosa, S. De Stefano, and N. Zavodnik, [J. Org. Chem., 1988, 53, 5020](#); (b) S. De Rosa, A. De Giulio, A. Crispino, C. Iodice, and G. Tommonaro, [Nat. Prod. Res., 1997, 10, 267](#); (c) S. De Rosa, A. Crispino, A. De Giulio, C. Iodice, R. Benrezzouk, M. C. Terencio, M. L. Ferrándiz, M. José Alcaraz, and M. Payá, [J. Nat. Prod., 1998, 61, 931](#); (d) S. De Rosa, A. Crispino, A. De Giulio, C. Iodice, P. Amodeo, and T. Tancredi, [J. Nat. Prod., 1999, 62, 1316](#).
 4. I. Posadas, S. De Rosa, M. C. Terencio, M. Payá, and M. José Alcaraz, [Br. J. Pharmacol., 2003, 138, 1571](#).
 5. (a) A. K. Cheung and M. L. Snapper, [J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2002, 124, 11584](#); (b) D. Demeke and C. J. Forsyth, [Org. Lett., 2003, 5, 991](#); (c) A. K. Cheung, R. Murelli, and M. L. Snapper, [J. Org. Chem., 2004, 69, 5712](#).
 6. For a review, see: (a) G. D. Daves, Jr., [Acc. Chem. Res., 1990, 23, 201](#); (b) J. Ramnauth, O. Poulin, S. Rakhit, and S. P. Maddaford, [Org. Lett., 2001, 3, 2013](#); (c) N. de la Figuera, P. Forns, J.-C. Fernández, S. Fiol, D. Fernández-Forner, and F. Albericio, [Tetrahedron Lett., 2005, 46, 7271](#).
 7. For reviews, see: (a) N. Miyaura and A. Suzuki, [Chem. Rev., 1995, 95, 2457](#); (b) S. R. Chemler, D. Trauner, and S. J. Danishefsky, [Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2001, 40, 4544](#). For a recent example, see: (c) I. Hayakawa, M. Ueda, M. Yamaura, Y. Ikeda, Y. Suzuki, K. Yoshizato, and H. Kigoshi, [Org. Lett., 2008, 10, 1859](#).
 8. M. R. Kernan and D. J. Faulkner, [J. Org. Chem., 1988, 53, 2773](#).
 9. H. Hagiwara and H. Uda, [J. Org. Chem., 1988, 53, 2308](#).
 10. Z. Smiatacz, H. Myszk, and Z. Ciunik, [Carbohydr. Res., 1988, 172, 171](#).
 11. W. J. Thompson and J. Gaudino, [J. Org. Chem., 1984, 49, 5237](#).
 12. (a) J. Šrogl, M. Janda, and I. Stibor, *Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun.*, 1970, **35**, 3478; (b) J. K. Politis, J. C. Nemes, and M. D. Curtis, [J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2001, 123, 2537](#).
 13. G. T. Crisp and W. J. Scott, [Synthesis, 1985, 335](#).
 14. D. L. Comins and A. Dehghani, [Tetrahedron Lett., 1992, 33, 6299](#).
 15. (a) A. S. Sarma and P. Chattopadhyay, [J. Org. Chem., 1982, 47, 1727](#); (b) J. An and D. F. Wiemer, [J. Org. Chem., 1996, 61, 8775](#).
 16. P. A. Grieco, S. Gilman, and M. Nishizawa, [J. Org. Chem., 1976, 41, 1485](#).
 17. Z. Časar, I. Leban, A. M. L. Maréchal, and D. Lorcy, [J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 2002, 1568](#).