

HETEROCYCLES, Vol. 85, No. 10, 2012, pp. 2485 -2490. © 2012 The Japan Institute of Heterocyclic Chemistry  
Received, 26th July, 2012, Accepted, 29th August, 2012, Published online, 11th September, 2012  
DOI: 10.3987/COM-12-12557

## TWO NEW CHROMANONE DERIVATIVES FROM THE ROOTS AND STEMS OF *NICOTIANA TABACUM* AND THEIR CYTOTOXICITY

Dingrong Mou,<sup>1,2</sup> Wei Zhao,<sup>2</sup> Tao Zhang,<sup>2</sup> Lan Wan,<sup>2</sup> Guangyu Yang,<sup>2</sup>  
Yongkuan Chen,<sup>2</sup> Qiufen Hu,<sup>3\*</sup> and Mingming Miao<sup>1,2,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Technology Center of Hongta Group, Yuxi 653100, P.R. China; <sup>2</sup> Key Laboratory of Tobacco Chemistry of Yunnan Province, Yunnan Academy of Tobacco Science, Kunming 650106, P. R. China; <sup>3</sup> Key Laboratory of Chemistry in Ethnic Medicinal Resources, State Ethnic Affairs Commission & Ministry of Education, Yunnan University of Nationalities, Kunming 650031, P. R. China. E-mail: huqiufena@yahoo.com.cn; mmmiao@cyats.com

**Abstract** – Two new chromanone derivatives, tabchromones A and B (**1-2**), together with four known compounds (**3-6**) were isolated from the roots and stems of *Nicotiana tabacum*. Their structures were elucidated by spectroscopic methods, including extensive 1D- and 2D- NMR techniques. Compounds **1-6** were tested for their in vitro cytotoxicity against five human tumor (NB4, A549, SHSY5Y, PC3, and MCF7) cell lines. Compound **1** showed significant inhibitory effect against SHSY5Y cell line, with IC<sub>50</sub> values of 2.8 μM, and compounds **2-4** showed moderate activity for some selected cell lines, with IC<sub>50</sub> values in the range of 4.8-8.0 μM.

## INTRODUCTION

*Nicotiana tabacum* L. is one of the most commercially valued agricultural crops in the world.<sup>1,2</sup> Its leaves are the most important raw material for cigarette industry. In addition to being used in cigarette industry, *N. tabacum* is also used as insecticides, anesthetics, diaphoretics, sedatives, and emetic agents in Chinese folklore medicines because of its containing many useful chemical compounds.<sup>1,3</sup> The stems and roots of *N. tabacum* are rich in secondary metabolites and are normally used as organic fertilizer. The

---


\* Corresponding author, E-mail address: huqiufena@yahoo.com.cn, mmmiao@cyats.com

multipurpose utilization of the stems and roots of *N. tabacum* is an interesting focus, and receives more and more attentions.<sup>4,5</sup>

Our previous investigation of this species led to the discovery of a number of new compounds, and those compounds were found to show various bioactivities.<sup>6-9</sup> With the aim of continuing efforts to utilize *N. tabacum* and identify bioactive natural products the phytochemical investigation of the roots and stems of Honghua Dajinyuan (a variety of *N. tabacum*) was carried out, and led to two new chromanone derivatives (**1-2**), together with four known chromone derivatives (**3-6**). This paper reports the isolation, structural elucidation, and their cytotoxicity.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The 90% aqueous ethanol extract prepared from the roots and stems of *N. tabacum* was subjected repeatedly to column chromatography on silica gel, sephadex LH-20, RP-18 and preparative HPLC to afford two new chromanone derivatives, tabchromones A and B (**1-2**), together with four known compounds (**3-6**). The structures of compounds **1-6** were as shown in Figure 1, and the <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data of the compounds **1** and **2** were listed in Table 1. The known compounds, compared with literature data, were identified as: 6-(3-hydroxy-4-methoxystyryl)-4-methoxy-2*H*-pyran-2-one (**3**),<sup>10</sup> pestaloficiol G (**4**),<sup>11</sup> takanechromone C (**5**),<sup>12</sup> greveichromenol (**6**).<sup>13</sup>



**Figure 1.** The chromone derivatives from *N. tabacum*

Compound **1** was obtained as pale yellow oil. It gives a parent ion by HR-ESIMS at  $m/z$  247.0976 [ $M-H$ ]<sup>-</sup> (calcd for 247.0976) corresponding to a molecular formula  $C_{14}H_{16}O_4$ , requiring seven degrees of unsaturation. The <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of **1** along with analysis of the DEPT spectra (Table 1)

displayed 14 carbon signals and 16 proton signals, respectively, corresponding to an chromanone nucleus<sup>10</sup> ( $\delta_C$  79.2 s, 50.3 t, 192.0 s, 108.0 d, 151.0 s, 123.7 d, 131.6 s, 152.2 s, 120.9 s, 25.9 q (2C)), an acetyl group ( $-\text{CH}_2\text{C}(\text{O})\text{CH}_3$ ) ( $\delta_C$  49.8 t, 206.8 s, 30.0 q;  $\delta_H$  4.08 s, 2.65 s), and a phenolic hydroxy group ( $\delta_H$  8.43 br. s). Strong absorption bands accounting for hydroxy ( $3436\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ), carbonyl group ( $1722$ ,  $1670\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) and aromatic groups ( $1615$ ,  $1556$ ,  $1436\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) could also be observed in its IR spectrum. The UV spectrum of **1** showed absorption maxima at 260 and 210 nm, which confirmed the existence of the aromatic functions. The HMBC correlations (Figure 2) of H-11 ( $\delta_H$  4.08) with C-7 ( $\delta_C$  123.7), C-8 ( $\delta_C$  131.6) and C-9 ( $\delta_C$  152.2), of H-7 ( $\delta_H$  6.89) with C-11 ( $\delta_C$  49.8) indicated that the acetyl group should be located at C-8 on the chromone ring. The phenolic hydroxy group located at C-6 was supported by the HMBC correlations of the hydroxy proton ( $\delta_H$  8.43) with C-5 ( $\delta_C$  108.0), C-6 ( $\delta_C$  151.0), and C-7 ( $\delta_C$  123.7). Thus, the structure of **1** was established as shown, and given the name as tabchromone A.

Compound **2** was also obtained as pale yellow oil, and showed quasi molecular ion at  $m/z$  231.1027  $[\text{M}-\text{H}]^-$  in the HRESIMS (calcd  $m/z$  231.1021), corresponding to the molecular formula of  $\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_{16}\text{O}_3$ . The  $^1\text{H}$  and  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR spectra of **2** were similar to those of **1** in C-2~C-10, C-14 and C-15. The obvious chemical shift differences resulted from the disappearance of an acetyl group signals, and




Figure 2. Key HMBC correlations ( $\curvearrowright$ ) of **1**

appearance of a 2-propenyl group signals ( $\delta_C$  39.0 t, 137.9 d, 117.8 t;  $\delta_H$  3.17 m, 5.96 m, 5.03 m) in **2**. This indicated that the acetyl group in **1** was substituted by a 2-propenyl group in **2**. The HMBC correlations of H-11 ( $\delta_H$  3.17) with C-7 ( $\delta_C$  122.2), C-8 ( $\delta_C$  133.0) and C-9 ( $\delta_C$  152.4), of H-12 ( $\delta_H$  5.96) with C-8 ( $\delta_C$  133.0), of H-7 ( $\delta_H$  6.91) with C-11 ( $\delta_C$  39.0) indicated that the 2-propenyl group should be located at C-8 of the chromone ring. Thus, the structure of **2** was established and it was named tabchromone B.

**Table 1.**  $^1\text{H}$  NMR and  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR data of compounds **1** and **2** in  $\text{CDCl}_3$

No.	<b>1</b>		<b>2</b>	
	$\delta_C$ (m)	$\delta_H$ (m, $J$ , Hz)	$\delta_C$ (m)	$\delta_H$ (m, $J$ , Hz)
2	79.2 s		79.0 s	
3	50.3 t	2.65 s	49.8 t	
4	192.0 s		191.7 s	
5	108.0 d	7.08, d, $J = 2.4$	107.8 d	7.09, d, $J = 2.4$
6	151.0 s		151.3 s	
7	123.7 d	6.89, d, $J = 2.4$	122.2 d	6.91, d, $J = 2.4$

8	131.6 s		133.0 s	
9	152.2 s		152.4 s	
10	120.9 s		119.1 s	
11	49.8 t	4.08 s	39.0 t	3.17 m
12	206.8 s		137.9 d	5.96 m
13	30.0 q	2.65 s	117.8 t	5.03 m
14,15	25.9 q		26.1 s	1.46 s
OH-6		8.43 hrs		8.49 hrs

Since certain of the chromone derivatives exhibit potential cytotoxicity,<sup>14-16</sup> the compounds **1-6** were tested for their cytotoxicity against five human tumor cell lines (NB4, A549, SHSY5Y, PC3, and MCF7) using the MTT method as reported previously.<sup>17</sup> Taxol was used as the positive control.

**Table 2.** The cytotoxicity data for the compounds **1-6**

Compounds	NB4	A549	SHSY5Y	PC3	MCF7
<b>1</b>	8.3	5.1	2.8	8.7	>10
<b>2</b>	>10	4.8	7.2	>10	6.5
<b>3</b>	6.2	8.0	>10	7.4	>10
<b>4</b>	7.6	>10	8.8	>10	>10
<b>5</b>	>10	>10	>10	>10	>10
<b>6</b>	>10	>10	>10	>10	>10
<b>Taxol</b>	0.03	0.02	0.2	0.2	0.1

The results were shown in Table 2. Compounds **5** and **6** showed low active ( $IC_{50}$  values  $>10 \mu M$ ) for all tested tumor cell lines. Compound **1** showed high cytotoxicity against SHSY5Y cell with  $IC_{50}$  values of  $2.8 \mu M$ . Compounds **2-4** also showed moderate cytotoxicity for some selected cell line with  $IC_{50}$  value below  $10 \mu M$ .

## EXPERIMENTAL

**General.** IR spectra were obtained in KBr disc on a Bio-Rad Wininfrared spectrophotometer. ESI-MS were measured on a VG Auto Spec-3000 MS spectrometer.  $^1H$ ,  $^{13}C$  and 2D NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker DRX-500 instrument with TMS as internal standard. Column chromatography was performed on silica gel (200-300 mesh), or on silica gel H ( $10\sim 40 \mu m$ , Qingdao Marine Chemical Inc., China). Second separate was used an Agilent 1100 HPLC equipped with ZORBAX- $C_{18}$  ( $21.2 mm \times 250 mm$ ,  $7.0 \mu m$ ) column and DAD detector.

**Plant material.** The roots and stems of Honghua Dajinyuan (a variety of *N. tabacum*) were collected in Yuxi Prefecture, Yunnan Province, People's Republic of China, in September 2010.

**Extraction and Isolation.** The air-dried and powdered roots and stems of *N. tabacum* (5.0 kg) were extracted four times with 90% aq. EtOH (4 × 5.0 L) at room temperature and filtered. The crude extract (298 g) was applied to silica gel (200 – 300 mesh) column chromatography, eluting with a CHCl<sub>3</sub>-(Me)<sub>2</sub>CO gradient system (20:1, 9:1, 8:2, 7:3, 6:4, 5:5), to give six fractions A–F. The further separation of fraction C (8:2, 11.5 g) by silica gel column chromatography, eluted with CHCl<sub>3</sub>-MeOH (9:1, 8:2, 7:3, 6:4, 1:1), yielded mixtures C1–C5. Fraction C1 (9:1, 1.57 g) was subjected to preparative HPLC (42% MeOH, flow rate 12 mL/min) to give **1** (10.6 mg), **2** (14.8 mg), **3** (15.2 mg), **4** (22.5 mg), and **6** (13.2 mg). The further separation of fraction E (6:4, 32.6 g) by silica gel column chromatography, and preparative HPLC (28% MeOH, flow rate 12 mL/min) gave **5** (18.2 mg).

**Tabchromone A (1).** Obtained as pale yellow oil; UV (MeOH) max (log  $\epsilon$ ) 210 (4.57), 260 (4.15), 358 (3.02) nm; IR (KBr)  $\nu_{\max}$  3436, 2918, 2872, 1722, 1670, 1615, 1556, 1436, 1358, 1137, 946, 853 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 500 and 125 MHz), see Table 1; negative ESIMS  $m/z$  247 [M-H]<sup>-</sup>; negative HRESIMS  $m/z$  247.0976 [M-H]<sup>-</sup> (calcd for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>16</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, 247.0970).

**Tabchromone B (2).** Obtained as pale yellow oil; UV (MeOH),  $\lambda_{\max}$  (log  $\epsilon$ ) 210 (4.53), 254 (4.08), 355 (3.11) nm; IR (KBr)  $\nu_{\max}$  3418, 2975, 2926, 1669, 1614, 1538, 1469, 1247, 1154, 943, 862 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 500 MHz and 150 MHz), see Table 1; negative ESIMS  $m/z$  231 [M-H]<sup>-</sup>; negative HRESIMS  $m/z$  231.1027 [M-H]<sup>-</sup> (calcd 231.1021 for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>16</sub>O<sub>3</sub>).

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This project was supported financially by the Excellent Scientific and Technological Team of Yunnan (2009CI014), and the Basic Research Foundation of Yunnan Tobacco Industry Co. Ltd (2011JC01).

## REFERENCES

1. The Editorial Committee of the Administration Bureau of Flora of China, *Flora of China*, 67 vols. Beijing Science and Technology Press, Beijing, 2005.
2. T. W. Hu and Z. Mao, *Tob. Control*, 2006, **15**, i37.
3. A. Rodgman and T. A. Perfetti, *The Chemical Components of Tobacco and Tobacco Smoke*, CRC Press, Taylor and Francis Group, Boca Raton, Florida, 2008.
4. W. Li, L. B. Zhang, J. H. Peng, N. Li, and X. Y. Zhu, *Ind. Crop. Prod.*, 2008, **27**, 341; B. B. Zhang, C. J. Xie, and X. Y. Yang, *Peptides*, 2008, **29**, 350.
5. W. H. Zhong, C. J. Zhu, M. Shu, K. D. Sun, L. Zhao, C. Wang, Z. J. Ye, and J. M. Chen, *Bioresour. Tech.*, 2010, **101**, 6935.

6. Y. K. Chen, X. S. Li, G. Y. Yang, Z. Y. Chen, Q. F. Hu, and M. M. Miao, [\*J. Asian Nat. Prod. Res.\*, 2012, \*\*14\*\*, 450.](#)
7. X. M. Gao, X. S. Li, X. Z. Yang, H. X. M. Mu, Y. K. Chen, G. Y. Yang, and Q. F. Hu, [\*Heterocycles\*, 2012, \*\*85\*\*, 147.](#)
8. Z. Y. Chen, J. N. Tan, G. Y. Yang, M. M. Miao, Y. K. Chen, and T. F. Li, [\*Phytochem. Lett.\*, 2012, \*\*5\*\*, 233.](#)
9. J. N. Tan, Z. Y. Chen, G. Y. Yang, M. M. Miao, Y. K. Chen, and T. F. Li, [\*Heterocycles\*, 2011, \*\*83\*\*, 2381.](#)
10. N. Tien Dat, X. J. Jin, Y. S. Hong, and J. J. Lee, [\*J. Nat. Prod.\*, 2010, \*\*73\*\*, 1167.](#)
11. L. Liu, S. C. Liu, S. B. Niu, L. D. Guo, X. L. Chen, and Y. S. Che, [\*J. Nat. Prod.\*, 2009, \*\*72\*\*, 1482.](#)
12. N. Tanaka, Y. Kashiwada, T. Nakano, H. Shibata, T. Higuchi, M. Sekiya, Y. Ikeshiro, and Y. Takaishi, [\*Phytochemistry\*, 2009, \*\*70\*\*, 141.](#)
13. F. M. Dean and M. L. Robinson, [\*Phytochemistry\*, 1971, \*\*10\*\*, 3221.](#)
14. M. A. Arai, M. Sato, K. Sawada, T. Hosoya, and M. Ishibashi, [\*Chem. Asian. J.\*, 2008, \*\*3\*\*, 2056.](#)
15. Y. Feng, J. W. Blunt, A. L. Cole, and M. H. Munro, [\*J. Nat. Prod.\*, 2002, \*\*65\*\*, 1681.](#)
16. A. Lazarenkow, J. Nawrot-Modranka, E. Brzezinska, U. Krajewska, and M. Rozalski, [\*Med. Chem. Res.\*, 2012, \*\*21\*\*, 1861.](#)
17. T. Mosmann, [\*J. Immunol. Methods\*, 1983, \*\*65\*\*, 55.](#)