HETEROCYCLES, Vol. 99, No. 1, 2019, pp. 363 - 378. © 2019 The Japan Institute of Heterocyclic Chemistry
Received, 6th August, 2018, Accepted, 29th August, 2018, Published online, 14th September, 2018
DOI: 10.3987/COM-18-S(F)29

SYNTHESIS OF γ-DIFLUOROMETHYLATED TETRONATE DERIVATIVES FROM SQUARATES USING DIFLUOROMETHYLPHOSPHONATE

Yoshihiko Yamamoto,* Yuki Ishida, Takashi Kurohara, Masatoshi Shibuya, and Takeshi Yasui

Department of Basic Medicinal Sciences, Graduate School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Nagoya University, Chikusa, Nagoya 464-8601, Japan. E-mail: yamamoto-yoshi@ps.nagoya-u.ac.jp

Abstract – A new method for the synthesis of γ-difluoromethylated tetronates was developed using diethyl difluoromethylphosphonate as a difluoromethyl (CF_2H) surrogate. The addition of a lithiated difluoromethylphosphonate to (semi)squarates at –90 °C afforded 4-hydroxycyclobutenones, which were converted into the corresponding tetronates by oxidative ring expansion using $Pb(OAc)_4$. The final dephosphorylation was performed in MeOH using Cs_2CO_3 as the base, affording the desired γ-difluoromethyltetronates.

INTRODUCTION

A trifluoromethyl (CF_3) group can improve the bioactivity of drug molecules by modifying their lipophilicity, receptor interactions, and metabolic stability.[1] Therefore, diverse methods for trifluoromethylation have been extensively developed to date.[2] In contrast, the introduction of a difluoromethyl group (CF_2H) has been less investigated,[3] even though this group is fascinating as a bioisostere of hydroxy and mercapto groups.[4]

We have reported on the divergent synthesis of organofluorine compounds using semisquarates as the building blocks.[5,6] Although our method allows the introduction of α,α-difluoroacetate substituents to quinones, tetronates, and cyclopentenones, attempts to convert the α,α-difluoroacetate group to a difluoromethyl group resulted in complete failure.[6] Thus, we turned our attention to diethyl difluoromethylphosphonate because its acidic proton can be abstracted by lithium diisopropylamide (LDA) and the anion thus generated efficiently adds to ketones and aldehydes.[7] Moreover, the treatment of the obtained adducts with a base produces difluoromethylated carbinols *via* the C to O phospha-Brook rearrangement.[8] We also previously demonstrated that several perfluorinated groups could be introduced

to (semi)squarates by a 1,2-addition using Ruppart–Prakash-type reagents, and the 4-hydroxycyclobutenones obtained undergo oxidative ring expansion to produce tetronate derivatives with the perfluorinated groups (R_F) at the γ-position (Scheme 1).[9,10] Because tetronate is a highly important motif found in diverse bioactive molecules,[11] we investigated an alternative approach to tetronates bearing a CF_2H substituent at the γ position. Herein, we report the results of our study on the synthesis of γ-difluoromethyltetronate derivatives from squarates using diethyl difluoromethylphosphonate as a CF_2H surrogate.



Scheme 1. Previous synthesis of tetronates with perfluorinated groups at the γ-position

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

At the outset of this study, we investigated the difluoromethylation of diisopropyl squarate (**1**) using $TMSCF_2H$. It had been reported that unlike trifluoromethylation of aldehydes and ketones using $TMSCF_3$, the corresponding difluoromethylation using $TMSCF_2H$ required harsh reaction conditions.[12] Thus, recently developed methods were applied to **1** as shown in Scheme 2. According to a report by Hu and coworkers,[13] **1** and $TMSCF_2H$ (1.5 equiv) were treated with CsF (15 mol%) in DMF for 24 h at room temperature, affording the desired 1,2-adduct **2**, albeit in low yield (conditions a). To improve the yield, an alternative Hu's procedure using $TMSCF_2H$/*t*-BuOK (3 equiv each)[13] was used. The reaction was performed at –78 °C to 0 °C for 1 h, unfortunately resulting in a complex mixture (conditions b). Thus, same reaction was repeated at –90 °C for 1 h, affording **2** in 19% yield (conditions c). The recently reported method using CsF/18-crown-6 (10 mol%) was also examined;[14] however, the yield of **2** dropped significantly to 7%. Therefore, direct difluoromethylation using $TMSCF_2H$ proved to be infeasible.



1 2

(a) n = 1.5, CsF (15 mol%), DMF, rt, 24 h: 29%
(b) n = 3.0, ^{t}BuOK (3.0 equiv), THF, –78 °C to 0 °C, 1 h: ND
(c) n = 3.0, ^{t}BuOK (3.0 equiv), THF, –90 °C, 1 h: 19%
(d) n = 3.0, CsF/18-crown-6 (10 mol%), THF, rt, 15 h: 7%

Scheme 2. Direct difluoromethylation of squarate **1** using $TMSCF_2H$

We therefore turned to an alternative method for adding a CF_2H group by introducing difluoromethylphosphonate as a CF_2H surrogate. Diethyl difluoromethylphosphonate (1.5 equiv) was treated with LDA in THF at –78 °C, and then squarate **1** was added to a solution of the resultant lithiated phosphonate at –90 °C to obtain the desired 1,2-addition product **3** in 71% yield (Scheme 3). It was necessary to carry out the reaction of **1** with the phosphonate anion at –90 °C; the yield of **3** was lower (56%) at –78 °C. The isolated product **3** was treated with $Pb(OAc)_4$ (2 equiv) in the presence of MS 4A and K_2CO_3 in toluene at ambient temperature for 8 h. The desired tetronate **4** was obtained in 75% yield along with trace amounts of deacetylation byproduct **5**. The promoting effect of MS 4A had been observed in our previous study.[9] The addition of K_2CO_3 was also required for the efficient conversion of **3**. In the absence of K_2CO_3, a longer reaction time of 15 h was required, even at 50 °C, and the yield of **4** decreased to 66%. Subsequently, dephosphorylation of **4** with concomitant deacetylation was investigated. First, **4** was treated with NaOMe/MeOH according to the previous report;[7] however, a rather complicated mixture including at least three products with a CF_2H group was obtained. Thus, milder reaction conditions were sought. The treatment of **4** with K_2CO_3 (3 equiv) at 0 °C in MeOH resulted in only deacetylation. However, at ambient temperature dephosphorylation proceeded slowly for 24 h, affording the desired γ-difluoromethyltetronate **6** in 60% yield. When Cs_2CO_3 was used instead of K_2CO_3, the reaction mixture became homogeneous and afforded **6** in 90% yield. In contrast, when Na_2CO_3 was used as a base, only deacetylation occurred, even at room temperature. These results show the importance of the counter-cations of the M_2CO_3 bases.



Scheme 3. Synthesis of γ-difluoromethyltetronate **6** from squarate **1**

Next, the transformation of semisquarates into the corresponding γ-difluoromethylated tetronates was investigated. Phenyl-substituted semisquarate **7a** was employed as a representative substrate (Scheme 4). Similar to the transformation of **1**, the addition of the lithiated phosphonate to **7a** was performed in THF at –90 °C for 1 h. As a result, the phosphonate was selectively introduced to the more reactive vinylogous ketone carbonyl to afford 4-hydroxycyclobutenone **8a** in 70% yield. The obtained adduct **8a** was then treated with $Pb(OAc)_4$ under the optimized conditions above. The complete consumption of **8a** within 6 h was confirmed by TLC analysis, but two products were detected.



Scheme 4. Transformation of phenyl-substituted semisquarate **7a**

The ^{1}H NMR analysis of the crude material revealed that the expected acetoxylated tetronate **9a** and its deacetylated product **10a** were formed in a 1:1 ratio. Because the subsequent dephosphorylation is accompanied by deacetylation, the obtained mixture was directly treated with Cs_2CO_3 in MeOH at room temperature. Although deacetylation rapidly proceeded under these conditions, very little dephosphorylation was observed after 24 h. The deacetylation product **10a** was therefore isolated in 66% yield over the two steps. The oxidative ring expansion/dephosphorylation process was therefore reoptimized for 4-hydroxycyclobutenone **8a** (Scheme 5). First, 1,2-dichloroethane was examined as the solvent for oxidative ring expansion because it was as effective as toluene in our previous study.[9] However, the oxidative ring expansion of **8a** proved to be sluggish in this solvent and it took 22 h to reach a conversion of ca. 86%. Interestingly, deacetylation was suppressed in this solvent and acetoxylated product **9a** could be isolated in 57% yield. The crude product mixture derived from the oxidative ring expansion of **8a** in toluene was treated with Cs_2CO_3 in MeOH under reflux for 24 h. As a result, dephosphorylation efficiently proceeded to afford the desired γ-difluoromethyltetronate **11a** in 65% yield over the two steps.



Scheme 5. Synthesis of γ-difluoromethyltetronate **11a** from 4-hydroxycyclobutenone **8a**

The scope of the semisquarate substrates was briefly examined as shown in Scheme 6. The reaction of methyl-substituted semisquarate **7b** with the lithiated difluoromethylphosphonate under standard conditions afforded the corresponding 4-hydroxycyclobutenone **8b** in a similar yield to that of phenyl-analog **8a**. The oxidative ring expansion of **8b** was complete within a shorter reaction time than that of **8a**; however, subsequent dephosphorylation afforded γ-difluoromethyltetronate **11b** in a slightly lower yield (58%) than that of **11a**. The yields were improved when *n*-butyl-substituted semisquarate **7c** was used as the starting material: the corresponding 4-hydroxycyclobutenone **8c** and γ-difluoromethyltetronate **11c** were obtained in 82% and 68% yields, respectively. When semisquarate **7d** bearing a labile and electron-rich 5-methylfuran-2-yl group was used, the corresponding 4-hydroxycyclobutenone **8d** and γ-difluoromethyltetronate **11d** were obtained, albeit in modest yields.

Benzothiophene-substituted 4-hydroxycyclobutenone **8e** was obtained in a good yield, however, its oxidative ring expansion/dephosphorylation afforded methoxy-substituted analog **12e** along with the expected γ-difluoromethyltetronate **11e** in 36% and 34% yields, respectively. The reason why this ester exchange occurred only for **11e** is unclear at this time. The addition of the lithiated phosphonate to alkyne-substituted semisquarate **7f** afforded the corresponding 4-hydroxycyclobutenone **8f** in 67% yield. Although the oxidative ring expansion of **8f** proceeded smoothly, the subsequent dephosphorylation led to an intractable product mixture. This failure can be ascribed to the instability of α-alkynyltetronates under the refluxing conditions. In fact, when the dephosphonylation step was conducted at ambient temperature for 20 h, deacetylated product **10f** was obtained as the major product in 43% yield along with γ-difluoromethyltetronate **11f** in 22% yield (Scheme 7).[14] Finally, the telescoped oxidative ring expansion/dephosphonylation was applied to 4-hydroxycyclobutenone **3**, which was derived from diisopropyl squarate (**1**), to directly afford γ-difluoromethyltetronate **6** in an improved 80% yield (Scheme 7) as compared with the initial synthesis (Scheme 3).



Scheme 6. Synthesis of γ-difluoromethylated tetronates **11** from semisquarates **7**



Scheme 7. Oxidative ring expansion/dephosphonylation of **3** and **8f**

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we have successfully accomplished a short-step synthesis of γ-difluoromethylated tetronates from (semi)squarates using diethyl difluoromethylphosphonate as a difluoromethyl surrogate. The lithiated phosphonate added to (semi)squarates at –90 °C to afford 4-hydroxycyclobutenones, which were subjected to oxidative ring expansion using $Pb(OAc)_4$. The obtained tetronates bearing a difluoromethylphosphonate substituent at the γ-position were treated with Cs_2CO_3 in MeOH to afford the desired γ-difluoromethyltetronates.

EXPERIMENTAL

All air- and moisture-sensitive reactions were performed under an argon (Ar) atmosphere in dried glassware. Analytical thin layer chromatography was performed using 0.25 mm silica gel plate (Merck TLC Silica gel 60 F_{254}). Column chromatography was performed on silica gel (Cica silica gel 60N) with solvents specified below. Melting points were recorded on SRS OptiMelt MPA100. NMR spectra were recorded on JEOL ESC-400 spectrometer (^{1}H/400 MHz, ^{13}C/100 MHz, ^{19}F/376 MHz, and ^{31}P/161 MHz) for samples in $CDCl_3$ solutions at 25 °C. ^{1}H NMR chemical shifts are reported in terms of chemical shift (δ, ppm) relative to the singlet at δ 7.26 ppm for chloroform. ^{13}C NMR spectra were fully decoupled and are reported in terms of chemical shift (δ, ppm) relative to the triplet at δ 77.0 ppm for $CDCl_3$. ^{19}F NMR spectra are reported in terms of chemical shift (δ, ppm) relative to the singlet at δ –63.7 ppm for α,α,α -trifluorotoluene as an external standard. ^{31}P NMR spectra are reported in terms of chemical shift (δ, ppm) relative to the singlet at δ 0 ppm for 85% H_3PO_4 as an external standard. Splitting patterns are designated as follows: s, singlet; d, doublet; t, triplet; q, quartet; quint, quintet; sext, sextet; sept, septet; m, multiplet. Coupling constants are reported in Hz. Infrared spectra were recorded on JASCO FT/IR-230 specrometer. High-resolution mass spectra were recorded on JEOL JMS-T100LP mass spectrometer.

Diisopropyl squarate (**1**) and semisquarates **7a**–**c** and **7f** were known compounds and other semisquarates **7d** and **7e** were also prepared according to the reported procedure.[16]

3-Isopropoxy-4-(5-methylfuran-2-yl)cyclobut-3-ene-1,2-dione (7d): yellow solid (mp 103.6–104.4 °C); ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 25 °C): δ 1.48 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 6H), 2.39 (s, 3H), 5.50 (sept, J = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 6.22–6.26 (m, 1H), 7.18 (d, J = 1.8 Hz, 1H); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 25 °C): δ 14.0, 22.7, 79.7, 110.1, 119.8, 141.2, 159.2, 162.9, 188.0, 188.9, 189.5; IR (neat) 1786 (C=O), 1739 (C=O), 1616 (C=C) cm^{-1}; HRMS (ESI): *m/z* $[M+Na]^+$ calcd for $C_{12}H_{12}NaO_4$ 243.0633, found 243.0634.

3-(Benzo[*b*]thiophen-2-yl)-4-isopropoxycyclobut-3-ene-1,2-dione (7e): yellow solid (mp 164.6–166.6 °C); ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 25 °C): δ 1.57 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 6H), 5.58 (sept, J = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 7.38–7.45 (m, 2H), 7.85–7.92 (m, 2H), 8.10 (s, 1H); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 25 °C): δ 22.9, 80.5, 122.5, 125.2, 125.4, 127.0, 127.1, 128.2, 138.9, 142.6, 159.2, 168.5, 190.5, 191.7; IR (neat) 1782 (C=O), 1745 (C=O), 1606 (C=C) cm^{-1}; HRMS (ESI): *m/z* $[M+Na]^+$ calcd for $C_{15}H_{12}NaO_3S$ 295.0405, found 295.0416.

Difluoromethylation of Diisopropyl Squarate (1). 4-(Difluoromethyl)-4-hydroxy-2,3-diisopropoxycyclobut-2-enone (2). To a solution of CsF (7 mg, 0.046 mmol) and diisopropyl squarate (**1**, 59.7 mg, 0.30 mmol) in DMF (1.2 mL) was added Me_3SiCF_2H (62 μL, 0.45 mmol) at room temperature under an argon atmosphere. After stirring for 24 h, the reaction was quenched with H_2O (20 mL). The organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with Et_2O (3 × 20 mL). The combined organic layer was dried over Na_2SO_4. The crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography (hexane/AcOEt, 10:1–5:1) to afford **2** (22.0 mg, 29% yield) as a yellow oil: ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 25 °C): δ 1.28 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3H), 1.30 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3H), 1.40 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 6H), 3.95 (br s, 1H), 4.90 (sept, J = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 4.92 (sept, J = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 5.95 (t, J = 55.6 Hz, 1H); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 25 °C): δ 22.2, 22.4, 22.5, 22.6, 74.3, 78.2, 85.4 (dd, J = 26.7, 23.8 Hz), 113.2 (dd, J = 246.0, 244.1 Hz), 134.7, 161.4 (d, J = 1.9 Hz), 179.2; ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 25 °C): δ −130.5 (dd, J = 300.4, 54.8 Hz), −128.2 (dd, J = 300.4, 54.8 Hz); IR (neat): 3382 (O–H) 1773 (C=O) 1614 (C=C) cm^{-1}; MS (DART) *m/z* $[M+H]^+$ calcd for $C_{11}H_{17}F_2O_4$ 251.1095, found 251.1104.

Typical Procedure for Phosphodifluoromethylation. Synthesis of Diethyl Difluoro(1-hydroxy-2,3-diisopropoxy-4-oxocyclobut-2-enyl)methylphosphonate (3). To a solution of ^{i}Pr$_2$NH (630 μL, 4.5 mmol) in THF (12 mL) was added ^{n}BuLi (2.88 mL, 15 w/w%, 4.5 mmol in hexane) at 0 °C under an argon atmosphere. The mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 20 min and cooled to –78 °C. To this mixture was added diethyl difluoromethylphosphonate (706 μL, 4.5 mmol) and the solution was stirred at –78 °C for 30 min. To the resultant solution was added a solution of diisopropyl squarate (**1**, 594.6 mg, 3.0 mmol) in THF (3 mL) mixture cooled to –90 °C and the solution was stirred at this

temperature for 30 min. The reaction was quenched by sat. aq. NH_4Cl (20 mL) at –90 °C and the resultant mixture was extracted with AcOEt (3 × 20 mL). The combined organic layer was washed with brine (10 mL) and dried over Na_2SO_4. The solvents were evaporated *in vacuo*, and the obtained crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography (hexane/AcOEt, 2:1) to afford **3** (821.2 mg, 71%) as a colorless solid (mp 44.9–45.6 °C); ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 25 °C): δ 1.28 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 1.30 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 1.39 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 6H), 1.40 (d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 6H), 4.24–4.42 (m, 4H), 4.71 (br s, 1H), 4.93 (sept, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 4.94 (sept, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 1H); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 25 °C): δ 16.3 (d, *J* = 5.7 Hz), 22.1, 22.3, 22.5, 22.6, 65.3 (d, *J* = 6.6 Hz), 65.7 (d, *J* = 6.7 Hz), 74.1, 77.7, 86.8–87.4 (m), 116.8 (td, *J* = 267.5, 202.1 Hz), 135.1, 159.6, 177.5 (d, *J* = 5.7 Hz); ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 25 °C): δ –119.7 (dd, *J* = 311.9, 104.2 Hz, 1F), –115.9 (dd, *J* = 311.9, 93.3 Hz, 1F); ^{31}P NMR (161 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 25 °C) δ 6.41 (dd, *J* = 93.3, 104.2 Hz); IR (neat) 3292 (OH), 1778 (C=O), 1633 (C=C), 1388 (P=O) cm^{-1}; HRMS (DART): *m/z* $[M+H]^+$ calcd for $C_{15}H_{26}F_2O_7P$ 387.1384, found 387.1402.

Diethyl Difluoro(1-hydroxy-2-isopropoxy-4-oxo-3-phenylcyclobut-2-enyl)methylphosphonate (8a): colorless solid (mp 133.6–136.3 °C); ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 25 °C): δ 1.36 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3H), 1.39 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3H), 1.48 (d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 6H), 4.22–4.45 (m, 4H), 5.16 (sept, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 5.18 (br s, 1H), 7.27–7.32 (m, 1H), 7.34–7.40 (m, 2H), 7.74–7.78 (m, 2H); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 25 °C): Two sp^2 carbons are obscure because of overlapping. δ 16.2 (d, *J* = 4.8 Hz), 16.3 (d, *J* = 3.8 Hz), 22.5, 23.0, 65.3 (d, *J* = 6.7 Hz), 65.7 (d, *J* = 6.7 Hz), 80.8, 93.5 (dt, *J* = 24.8, 19.5 Hz), 116.9 (td, *J* = 269.8, 204.9 Hz), 127.1, 128.1, 128.4, 173.0, 181.2 (d, *J* = 4.7 Hz); ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 25 °C): δ –118.9 (dd, *J* = 312.1, 104.2 Hz, 1F), –113.0 (dd, *J* = 312.1, 86.7 Hz, 1F); ^{31}P NMR (161 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 25 °C) δ 5.49 (t, *J* = 99.7 Hz); IR (neat) 3390 (OH), 1765 (C=O), 1630 (C=C), 1398 (P=O) cm^{-1}; HRMS (ESI): *m/z* $[M+Na]^+$ calcd for $C_{18}H_{23}F_2NaO_6P$ 427.1098, found 427.1092.

Diethyl Difluoro(1-hydroxy-2-isopropoxy-3-methyl-4-oxocyclobut-2-enyl)methylphosphonate (8b): colorless oil; ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 25 °C): δ 1.40 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 6H), 1.44 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 3H), 1.45 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 3H), 1.65 (br s, 1H), 1.80 (s, 3H), 4.24–4.44 (m, 4H), 4.86 (sept, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 25 °C): δ 7.3, 16.3 (d, *J* = 3.8 Hz), 22.2, 22.5, 65.4 (d, *J* = 6.7 Hz), 65.7 (d, *J* = 6.7 Hz), 77.5, 91.8 (m), 116.5 (td, *J* = 269.6, 203.1 Hz), 126.2, 174.4 (d, *J* = 6.7 Hz), 184.1 (d, *J* = 5.7 Hz); ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 25 °C): δ –119.9 (dd, *J* = 312.1, 104.2 Hz, 1F), –116.1 (dd, *J* = 312.1, 104.2 Hz, 1F); ^{31}P NMR (161 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 25 °C) δ 5.63 (t, *J* = 100.5 Hz); IR (neat) 3265 (OH), 1768 (C=O), 1616 (C=C), 1400 (P=O) cm^{-1}; HRMS (ESI): *m/z* $[M+Na]^+$ calcd for $C_{13}H_{21}F_2NaO_6P$ 365.0942, found 365.0957.

Diethyl (3-Butyl-1-hydroxy-2-isopropoxy-4-oxocyclobut-2-enyl)difluoromethylphosphonate (8c): yellow oil; ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 25 °C): δ 0.91 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 3H), 1.29–1.40 (m, 4H), 1.39 (t, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 6H), 1.42 (d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 3H), 1.43 (d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 3H), 1.51–1.60 (m, 2H), 4.24–4.44 (m, 4H), 4.79 (br s, 1H), 4.85 (sept, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 1H); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 25 °C): δ 13.6, 16.2 (d, *J* = 5.8 Hz), 22.1, 22.3, 22.4, 22.6, 29.2, 65.3 (d, *J* = 6.7 Hz), 65.7 (d, *J* = 6.7 Hz), 77.8, 91.8 (m), 116.7 (td, *J* = 268.4, 218.4 Hz), 131.2, 173.9, 183.9 (d, *J* = 5.7 Hz); ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 25 °C): δ –120.1 (dd, *J* = 312.1, 104.2 Hz, 1F), –115.4 (dd, *J* = 312.1, 104.2 Hz, 1F); ^{31}P NMR (161 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 25 °C) δ 5.87 (t, *J* = 99.7 Hz); IR (neat) 3267 (OH), 1766 (C=O), 1614 (C=C), 1390 (P=O) cm^{-1}; HRMS (ESI): *m/z* $[M+Na]^+$ calcd for $C_{16}H_{27}F_2NaO_6P$ 407.1411, found 407.1413.

Diethyl Difluoro(1-hydroxy-2-isopropoxy-3-(5-methylfuran-2-yl)-4-oxocyclobut-2-enyl)methylphosphonate (8d): yellow solid (mp 118.9–119.8 °C); ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 25 °C): δ 1.32 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3H), 1.33 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3H), 1.40 (d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 3H), 1.45 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 3H), 2.26 (s, 3H), 4.17–4.39 (m, 4H), 5.29 (sept, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 5.30 (br s, 1H), 5.99 (d, *J* = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 6.68 (d, *J* = 3.2 Hz, 1H); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 25 °C): δ 13.4, 16.2 (d, *J* = 4.8 Hz), 22.2, 22.5, 65.3 (d, *J* = 6.7 Hz), 65.7 (d, *J* = 6.7 Hz), 79.9, 92.7 (m), 107.5, 113.1, 116.6 (td, *J* = 268.2, 204.1 Hz), 119.6, 140.1, 152.7, 167.0 (m), 178.7 (d, *J* = 6.6 Hz); ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 25 °C): δ –119.0 (dd, *J* = 312.1, 104.2 Hz, 1F), –115.5 (dd, *J* = 312.1, 104.2 Hz, 1F); ^{31}P NMR (161 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 25 °C) δ 5.41 (t, *J* = 99.7 Hz); IR (neat) 3253 (OH), 1768 (C=O), 1653 (C=C), 1392 (P=O) cm^{-1}; HRMS (ESI): *m/z* $[M+Na]^+$ calcd for $C_{17}H_{23}F_2NaO_7P$ 431.1047, found 431.1066.

Diethyl (3-(Benzo[*b*]thiophen-2-yl)-1-hydroxy-2-isopropoxy-4-oxocyclobut-2-enyl)difluoromethylphosphonate (8e): orange solid (mp 152.0–153.0 °C); ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 25 °C): δ 1.41 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 3H), 1.43 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.51 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 6H), 4.25–4.50 (m, 4H), 5.08 (br s, 1H), 5.21 (sept, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.32–7.38 (m, 2H), 7.70 (s, 1H), 7.77–7.83 (m, 2H); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 25 °C): One sp^2 carbon is obscure because of overlapping. δ 16.29 (d, *J* = 5.7 Hz), 16.31 (d, *J* = 5.7 Hz), 22.6, 23.1, 65.6 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz), 66.0 (d, *J* = 6.6 Hz), 81.3 (d, *J* = 3.9 Hz), 93.9 (td, *J* = 19.5, 12.4 Hz), 116.6 (td, *J* = 262.5, 204.1 Hz), 122.1, 124.0, 124.2, 124.6, 125.0, 127.5, 139.2, 139.9, 171.2 (dd, *J* = 7.2, 2.4 Hz), 179.5 (d, *J* = 6.6 Hz); ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 25 °C): δ –118.6 (dd, *J* = 312.1, 104.2 Hz, 1F), –113.4 (dd, *J* = 312.1, 104.2 Hz, 1F); ^{31}P NMR (161 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 25 °C) δ 5.23 (t, *J* = 59.3 Hz); IR (neat) 3246 (OH), 1766 (C=O), 1630 (C=C), 1389 (P=O) cm^{-1}; HRMS (ESI): *m/z* $[M+Na]^+$ calcd for $C_{20}H_{23}F_2NaO_6PS$ 483.0819, found 483.0815.

Diethyl Difluoro(1-hydroxy-2-isopropoxy-4-oxo-3-(phenylethynyl)cyclobut-2-enyl)methylphosphonate (8f): yellow solid (mp 72.0–74.3 °C); ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 25 °C): δ 1.28 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 6H), 1.42 (d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 3H), 1.44 (d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 3H), 4.14–4.32 (m, 4H), 5.22 (sept, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 1H),

5.81 (br s, 1H), 7.19–7.27 (m, 3H), 7.30–7.35 (m, 2H); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 25 °C): δ 15.91 (d, *J* = 4.8 Hz), 15.94 (d, *J* = 5.7 Hz), 21.6, 21.9, 65.1 (d, *J* = 6.7 Hz), 65.4 (d, *J* = 6.6 Hz), 75.2, 79.7, 92.2 (td, *J* = 24.8, 15.2 Hz), 93.8, 110.5, 116.5 (td, *J* = 267.5, 206.0 Hz), 121.4, 128.1, 128.9, 131.3, 177.2, 180.8; ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 25 °C): δ –120.6 (dd, *J* = 312.1, 104.2 Hz, 1F), –116.9 (dd, *J* = 312.1, 104.2 Hz, 1F); ^{31}P NMR (161 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 25 °C) δ 5.28 (t, *J* = 99.8 Hz); IR (neat) 3236 (OH), 1776 (C=O), 1616 (C=C), 1403 (P=O) cm^{-1}; HRMS (ESI): *m/z* $[M+Na]^+$ calcd for $C_{20}H_{23}F_2NaO_6P$ 451.1098, found 451.1116.

Typical Procedure for Oxidative Ring Expansion. Synthesis of 2-((Diethoxyphosphoryl)difluoromethyl)-3,4-diisopropoxy-5-oxo-2,5-dihydrofuran-2-yl Acetate (4). To a suspension of pulverized MS 4A (2 g), K_2CO_3 (1.115 g, 8.1 mmol), and $Pb(OAc)_4$ (1.776 g, 4.0 mmol) in toluene (6 mL) was added a solution of **3** (792.7 mg, 2.1 mmol) in toluene (14 mL) at room temperature under an argon atmosphere. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 8 h. The reaction was quenched with H_2O (20 mL) and insoluble materials were filtered through a pad of Celite, and the residue was washed with AcOEt. The organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with AcOEt (3 × 20 mL). The combined organic layer was washed with brine (20 mL), and dried over Na_2SO_4. The solvents were evaporated *in vacuo*, and the crude product was purified by column chromatography (hexane/Acetone, 5:1) to afford **4** (671.0 mg, 75% yield) as a colorless oil: ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 25 °C): δ 1.28–1.04 (m, 18H), 2.16 (s, 3H), 4.25–4.38 (m, 4H), 4.89 (sept, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 5.20 (sept, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 25 °C): δ 16.2 (d, *J* = 5.7 Hz), 21.2, 22.29, 22.31, 22.39, 22.41, 65.1 (d, *J* = 6.7 Hz), 65.2 (d, *J* = 6.6 Hz), 74.0, 75.7, 96.3 (td, *J* = 27.2, 14.3 Hz), 112.5 (dt, *J* = 274.8, 206.9 Hz), 122.2, 151.1 (d, *J* = 3.8 Hz), 164.8, 166.7; ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 25 °C): δ –112.1 (d, *J* = 95.0 Hz, 2F); ^{31}P NMR (161 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 25 °C) δ 3.16 (t, *J* = 95.0 Hz); IR (neat) 1792 (C=O), 1685 (C=C), 1375 (P=O) cm^{-1}; HRMS (DART) *m/z* $[M+H]^+$ calcd for $C_{17}H_{28}F_2O_9P$ 445.1439, found 445.1413.

2-((Diethoxyphosphoryl)difluoromethyl)-3-isopropoxy-5-oxo-4-phenyl-2,5-dihydrofuran-2-yl Acetate (9a): 1,2-Dichloroethane was used instead of toluene; colorless oil; ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 25 °C): δ 1.03 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 3H), 1.19 (d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 3H), 1.39 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3H), 1.41 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3H), 2.21 (s, 3H), 4.24–4.44 (m, 4H), 4.66 (sept, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.35–7.44 (m, 5H); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 25 °C): δ 16.2 (d, *J* = 5.8 Hz), 16.3 (d, *J* = 4.7 Hz), 21.2, 21.6, 21.8, 65.3 (d, *J* = 6.7 Hz), 65.4 (d, *J* = 6.7 Hz), 76.1, 97.7 (m), 106.2, 114.8 (td, *J* = 274.1, 207.9 Hz), 128.3, 128.7, 129.1, 130.0, 164.5 (d, *J* = 2.8 Hz), 167.0, 168.4; ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 25 °C): δ –120.9 (d, *J* = 92.5 Hz, 2F); ^{31}P NMR (161 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 25 °C) δ 2.69 (t, *J* = 95.5 Hz); IR (neat) 1788 (C=O), 1668 (C=C), 1377 (P=O) cm^{-1}; HRMS (ESI) *m/z* $[M+Na]^+$ calcd for $C_{20}H_{25}F_2NaO_8P$ 485.1153, found 485.1149.

Typical Procedure for Dephosphorylation. Synthesis of 5-(Difluoromethyl)-5-hydroxy-3,4-diisopropoxyfuran-2(5*H*)-one (6): A solution of **4** (134.5 mg, 0.30 mmol) and Cs_2CO_3 (296 mg, 0.91 mmol) in MeOH (2.4 mL) was stirred at room temperature under an argon atmosphere for 24 h. The reaction was quenched with sat. aq. NH_4Cl (6 mL). The organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with AcOEt (3 × 10 mL). The combined organic layer was dried over Na_2SO_4. The solvents were evaporated *in vacuo*, and the crude product was purified by column chromatography (hexane/Acetone, 3:1) to afford **6** (72.1 mg, 90% yield) as a colorless solid (mp 55.3–55.4 °C): ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 25 °C): δ 1.26 (d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 3H), 1.28 (d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 3H), 1.34 (d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 3H), 1.37 (d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 3H), 4.52 (br s, 1H), 4.82–4.91 (m, 1H), 5.17 (sept, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 5.84 (t, *J* = 54.2 Hz, 1H); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 25 °C): δ 21.2, 22.26, 22.29, 74.1, 75.7, 95.7 (t, *J* = 25.8 Hz), 111.3 (t, *J* = 249.4 Hz), 120.6, 152.6, 167.5; ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 25 °C): δ –139.3 (dd, *J* = 288.8, 57.5 Hz, 1F), –133.3 (dd, *J* = 288.8, 57.5 Hz, 1F); IR (neat) 3338 (OH), 1763 (C=O), 1678 (C=C) cm^{-1}; HRMS (ESI) *m/z* $[M+Na]^+$ calcd for $C_{11}H_{16}F_2NaO_5$ 289.0864, found 289.0852.

Diethyl Difluoro(2-hydroxy-3,4-diisopropoxy-5-oxo-2,5-dihydrofuran-2-yl)methylphosphonate (5): Deacetylation occurred using K_2CO_3 at 0 °C otherwise under the same conditions to afford **5** in 95% yield; colorless solid (mp 79.2–80.0 °C); ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 25 °C): δ 1.29 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 6H), 1.34 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 3H), 1.38 (d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 3H), 1.41 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 6H), 4.28–4.47 (m, 4H), 4.87 (sept, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 5.20 (sept, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 6.51 (br s, 1H); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 25 °C): δ 16.1 (d, *J* = 5.7 Hz), 16.2 (d, *J* = 5.7 Hz), 22.26, 22.30, 66.0 (d, *J* = 6.7 Hz), 66.4 (d, *J* = 5.7 Hz), 73.8, 75.4, 96.8 (td, *J* = 27.2, 13.3 Hz), 114.5 (dt, *J* = 274.6, 196.5 Hz), 122.0, 151.3 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz), 165.5; ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 25 °C): δ –123.3 (d, *J* = 92.5 Hz, 2F); ^{31}P NMR (161 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 25 °C) δ 5.66 (t, *J* = 95.4 Hz); IR (neat) 3176 (OH), 1780 (C=O), 1680 (C=C), 1311 (P=O) cm^{-1}; HRMS (ESI) *m/z* $[M+Na]^+$ calcd for $C_{15}H_{25}F_2NaO_8P$ 425.1153, found 425.1136.

Diethyl Difluoro(2-hydroxy-3-isopropoxy-5-oxo-4-phenyl-2,5-dihydrofuran-2-yl)methyl-phosphonate (10a): Deacetylation occurred under the typical conditions; colorless solid (mp 108.7–113.0 °C); ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 25 °C): δ 1.21 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 3H), 1.26 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 3H), 1.43 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 6H), 4.32–4.53 (m, 2H), 4.49 (quint, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 4.88 (sept, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 6.61 (br s, 1H), 7.34–7.44 (m, 3H), 7.55–7.59 (m, 2H); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 25 °C): δ 16.17 (d, *J* = 6.7 Hz), 16.23 (d, *J* = 5.7 Hz), 22.0, 22.2, 66.0 (d, *J* = 6.6 Hz), 66.7 (d, *J* = 6.6 Hz), 76.4, 98.2 (td, *J* = 27.7, 12.4 Hz), 108.3, 114.7 (td, *J* = 277.7, 196.4 Hz), 128.3, 128.4, 128.8, 129.3, 164.7 (d, *J* = 6.7 Hz), 168.4; ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 25 °C): δ –123.0 (d, *J* = 104.2 Hz, 2F); ^{31}P NMR (161 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 25 °C) δ 5.55 (t, *J* = 95.4 Hz); IR (neat) 3165 (OH), 1776 (C=O), 1660 (C=C), 1379 (P=O) cm^{-1}; HRMS (ESI) *m/z* $[M+Na]^+$ calcd for $C_{18}H_{23}F_2NaO_7P$ 443.1047, found 443.1069.

Typical Procedure for Sequential Oxidative Ring Expansion/Dephosphonrylation. Synthesis of 5-(Difluoromethyl)-5-hydroxy-4-isopropoxy-3-phenylfuran-2(5*H*)-one (11a): A suspension of pulverized MS 4A (200 mg), K_2CO_3 (110.6. mg, 0.8 mmol), $Pb(OAc)_4$ (179.0 mg, 0.4 mmol), and **8a** (78.2 mg, 0.198 mmol) in toluene (2 mL) was stirred at room temperature under an argon atmosphere for 6 h. The reaction was quenched with H_2O (10 mL) and insoluble materials were filtered through a pad of Celite, and the residue was washed with AcOEt. The organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with AcOEt (2 × 25 mL). The combined organic layer was washed with brine (5 mL), and dried over Na_2SO_4. The solvents were evaporated *in vacuo*, and the obtained crude products were used for the subsequent step.

A solution of the crude products and Cs_2CO_3 (197 mg, 0.60 mmol) in MeOH (1.6 mL) was stirred under reflux for 24 h. The reaction was quenched with sat. aq. NH_4Cl (6 mL). The organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with AcOEt (3 × 10 mL). The combined organic layer was dried over Na_2SO_4. The solvents were evaporated *in vacuo*, and the crude product was purified by column chromatography (hexane/Acetone, 6:1) to afford **11a** (36.7 mg, 65% yield over two steps) as a colorless solid (mp 119.6–120.3 °C): ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 25 °C): δ 1.14 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 1.18 (d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 3H), 4.74 (sept, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 5.90 (br s, 1H), 5.91 (t, *J* = 54.4 Hz, 1H), 7.34–7.42 (m, 5H); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 25 °C): δ 21.8, 21.9, 76.4, 97.8 (t, *J* = 26.3 Hz), 106.1, 111.6 (t, *J* = 249.3 Hz), 128.3, 128.5, 128.7, 129.7, 166.5, 171.4; ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 25 °C): δ –137.5 (dd, *J* = 288.8, 57.9 Hz, 1F), –133.0 (dd, *J* = 288.8, 57.5 Hz, 1F); IR (neat) 3296 (OH), 1751 (C=O), 1660 (C=C) cm^{-1}; HRMS (ESI) *m/z* $[M+Na]^+$ calcd for $C_{14}H_{14}F_2NaO_4$ 307.0758, found 307.0783.

5-(Difluoromethyl)-5-hydroxy-4-isopropoxy-3-methylfuran-2(5*H*)-one (11b): colorless solid (mp 94.5–95.2 °C); ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 25 °C): δ 1.35 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 3H), 1.39 (d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 3H), 1.90 (s, 3H), 4.93 (sept, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 5.73 (br s, 1H), 5.84 (t, *J* = 54.6 Hz, 1H); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 25 °C): δ 8.4, 22.4, 75.1, 97.6 (t, *J* = 25.3 Hz), 99.3, 111.4 (t, *J* = 248.9 Hz), 165.9, 173.0; ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 25 °C): δ –138.8 (dd, *J* = 288.8, 46.2 Hz, 1F), –133.1 (dd, *J* = 288.8, 57.9 Hz, 1F); IR (neat) 3309 (OH), 1755 (C=O), 1670 (C=C) cm^{-1}; HRMS (ESI) *m/z* $[M+Na]^+$ calcd for $C_9H_{12}F_2NaO_4$ 245.0601, found 245.0594.

3-Butyl-5-(difluoromethyl)-5-hydroxy-4-isopropoxyfuran-2(5*H*)-one (11c): colorless solid (mp 65.7–67.0 °C); ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 25 °C): δ 0.90 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 3H), 1.27–1.50 (m, 4H), 1.35 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 3H), 1.38 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 3H), 2.25 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 4.86 (sept, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 5.75 (br s, 1H), 5.84 (t, *J* = 54.6 Hz, 1H); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 25 °C): δ 13.6, 22.2, 22.3, 22.4, 22.8, 31.0, 75.2, 97.6 (t, *J* = 26.3 Hz), 105.4, 111.5 (t, *J* = 248.9 Hz), 165.6, 172.7; ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 25 °C): δ –138.4 (dd, *J* = 288.8, 57.9 Hz, 1F), –135.5 (dd, *J* = 288.8, 57.9 Hz, 1F); IR (neat) 3298 (OH),

1751 (C=O), 1662 (C=C) cm^{-1}; HRMS (ESI) *m/z* $[M+Na]^+$ calcd for $C_{12}H_{18}F_2NaO_4$ 287.1071, found 287.1100.

5-(Difluoromethyl)-5-hydroxy-4-isopropoxy-3-(5-methylfuran-2-yl)furan-2(5*H*)-one (11d): yellow oil; ^1H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 25 °C): δ 1.31 (d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 3H), 1.34 (d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 3H), 2.31 (s, 3H), 5.11 (sept, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 5.40 (br s, 1H), 5.90 (t, *J* = 54.2 Hz, 1H), 6.04–6.06 (m, 1H), 6.67 (t, *J* = 3.2 Hz, 1H); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 25 °C): δ 13.6, 22.28, 22.31, 78.1, 97.8 (t, *J* = 25.3 Hz), 98.3, 107.6, 111.5 (t, *J* = 249.8 Hz), 113.2, 140.4, 153.1, 164.3, 169.2; ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 25 °C): δ –138.2 (dd, *J* = 288.8, 57.5 Hz, 1F), –132.7 (dd, *J* = 288.8, 57.5 Hz, 1F); IR (neat) 3326 (OH), 1755 (C=O), 1672 (C=C) cm^{-1}; HRMS (ESI) *m/z* $[M+Na]^+$ calcd for $C_{13}H_{14}F_2NaO_5$ 311.0707, found 311.0677.

3-(Benzo[*b*]thiophen-2-yl)-5-(difluoromethyl)-5-hydroxy-4-isopropoxyfuran-2(5*H*)-one (11e): orange solid (mp 148.5–150.5 °C); ^1H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 25 °C): δ 1.42 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 3H), 1.47 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 3H), 5.32 (sept, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 5.96 (t, *J* = 54.8 Hz, 1H), 6.20 (br s, 1H), 7.29–7.35 (m, 2H), 7.69–7.77 (m, 2H), 7.82 (s, 1H); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 25 °C): δ 22.5, 23.2, 78.8, 98.3 (t, *J* = 27.2 Hz), 104.5, 111.8 (t, *J* = 250.8 Hz), 121.9, 123.9, 124.38, 124.43, 124.9, 129.0, 138.8, 139.8, 165.9, 169.2; ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 25 °C): δ –133.8 (dd, *J* = 288.8, 57.5 Hz, 1F), –132.5 (dd, *J* = 288.8, 57.5 Hz, 1F); IR (neat) 3259 (OH), 1753 (C=O), 1649 (C=C) cm^{-1}; HRMS (ESI) *m/z* $[M+Na]^+$ calcd for $C_{16}H_{14}F_2NaO_4S$ 363.0479, found 363.0470.

3-(Benzo[*b*]thiophen-2-yl)-5-(difluoromethyl)-5-hydroxy-4-methoxyfuran-2(5*H*)-one (12e): orange solid (mp 135.0–135.9 °C); ^1H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 25 °C): δ 4.24 (s, 3H), 5.98 (t, *J* = 54.8 Hz, 1H), 6.08 (br s, 1H), 7.30–7.35 (m, 2H), 7.67–7.77 (m, 2H), 7.73 (s, 1H); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 25 °C): δ 61.0 (d, *J* = 3.8 Hz), 98.4 (t, *J* = 27.7 Hz), 103.7, 111.7 (t, *J* = 250.3 Hz), 121.9, 124.0, 124.5, 125.0, 125.1, 128.4, 138.9, 139.9, 166.6, 169.0; ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 25 °C): δ –133.4 (dd, *J* = 288.8, 57.5 Hz, 1F), –130.8 (dd, *J* = 288.8, 57.5 Hz, 1F); IR (neat) 3267 (OH), 1753 (C=O), 1653 (C=C) cm^{-1}; HRMS (ESI) *m/z* $[M+Na]^+$ calcd for $C_{14}H_{10}F_2NaO_4S$ 335.0166, found 335.0180.

Sequential Oxidative Ring Expansion/Dephosphonrylation of 4-Hydroxycyclobutenone 8f: According to the typical procedure, oxidative ring expansion of **8f** (207.2 mg, 0.484 mmol) was carried out for 3 h, and the resultant product mixture was subjected to dephosphonylation at ambient temperature for 20 h. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (hexane/Acetone, 3:1) to afford **11f** (33.0 mg, 22% yield over two steps) as a pale-yellow solid (mp 135.0–140.3 °C) and further elution (hexane/Acetone, 3:1) to afford **10f** (92.5 mg, 43% yield over two steps) as a colorless solid (mp 119.8–121.2 °C).

Diethyl Difluoro(2-hydroxy-3-isopropoxy-5-oxo-4-(phenylethynyl)-2,5-dihydrofuran-2-yl)methyl-phosphonate (10f): ^1H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 25 °C): δ 1.41 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 6H), 1.48 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 3H), 1.51 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 3H), 4.29–4.39 (m, 2H), 4.44 (quint, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 5.63 (sept, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H),

6.61 (br s, 1H), 7.30–7.37 (m, 3H), 7.44–7.49 (m, 2H); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 25 °C): One sp^2 carbon is obscure because of overlapping. δ 16.1 (d, J = 6.6 Hz), 16.2 (d, J = 7.7 Hz), 22.27, 22.33, 66.1 (d, J = 6.7 Hz), 66.6 (d, J = 6.7 Hz), 77.6, 89.9, 95.7, 98.9 (td, J = 27.4, 13.3 Hz), 114.4 (td, J = 274.9, 196.4 Hz), 121.9, 128.4, 129.0, 131.5, 166.7, 168.9 (d, J = 7.6 Hz); ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 25 °C): δ –123.1 (d, J = 92.5 Hz, 2F); ^{31}P NMR (161 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 25 °C) δ 5.12 (t, J = 91.0 Hz); IR (neat) 3145 (OH), 1786 (C=O), 1647 (C=C), 1396 (P=O) cm^{-1}; HRMS (ESI) *m/z* $[M+Na]^+$ calcd for $C_{20}H_{23}F_2NaO_7P$ 467.1047, found 467.1048.

5-(Difluoromethyl)-5-hydroxy-4-isopropoxy-3-(phenylethynyl)furan-2(5*H*)-one (11f): ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 25 °C): δ 1.48 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3H), 1.50 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3H), 5.60 (sept, J = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 5.90 (t, J = 54.2 Hz, 1H), 7.30–7.38 (m, 3H), 7.43–7.47 (m, 2H); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 25 °C): One sp^2 carbon is obscure because of overlapping. δ 22.3, 77.9, 89.2, 95.8, 98.1 (t, J = 26.2 Hz), 111.2 (t, J = 249.8 Hz), 121.9, 128.4, 129.1, 131.5, 168.7 (d, J = 9.5 Hz), 170.0; ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 25 °C): δ –137.9 (dd, J = 289.1, 46.2 Hz, 1F), –133.1 (dd, J = 288.8, 46.2 Hz, 1F); IR (neat) 3298 (OH), 1757 (C=O), 1649 (C=C) cm^{-1}; HRMS (ESI) *m/z* $[M+Na]^+$ calcd for $C_{16}H_{14}F_2NaO_4$ 331.0758, found 331.0763.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This research is partially supported by Platform Project for Supporting Drug Discovery and Life Science Research (Basis for Supporting Innovative Drug Discovery and Life Science Research (BINDS)) from AMED under Grant Number JP18am0101099.

REFERENCES AND NOTES

‡ This paper is dedicated to Prof. Tohru Fukuyama on occasion of his 70th birthday.

1. H.-J. Böhm, D. Banner, S. Bendels, M. Kansy, B. Kuhn, K. Müller, U. Obst-Sander, and M. Stahl, *ChemBioChem*, 2004, **5**, 637; S. Purser, P. R. Moore, S. Swallow, and V. Gouverneur, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2008, **37**, 320; E. P. Gillis, K. J. Eastman, M. D. Hill, D. J. Donnelly, and N. A. Meanwell, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2015, **58**, 8315.
2. Selected recent reviews: A. Studer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 8950; X.-F. Wu, H. Neumann, and M. Beller, *Chem. Asian J.*, 2012, **7**, 1744; H. Liu, Z. Gu, and X. Jiang, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2013, **355**, 617; H. Egami and M. Sodeoka, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 8294; C. Zhang, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2014, **356**, 2895; S. Barata-Vallejo, B. Lantaño, and A. Postigo, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2014, **20**, 16806; E. Merino and C. Nevado, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2014, **43**, 6598; J. Charpentier, N. Früh, and A. Togni, *Chem. Rev.*, 2015, **115**, 650; X. Liu, C. Xu, M. Wang, and Q. Liu, *Chem. Rev.*, 2015, **115**, 683; S. Barata-Vallejo, S. Bonesi, and A. Postigo, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2016, **14**, 7150; S. L. Clarke

and G. P. McGlacken, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2017, **23**, 1219.

3. J. Hu, W. Zhang, and F. Wang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2009, 7465; M.-C. Belhomme, T. Besset, T. Poisson, and X. Pannecoucke, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2015, **21**, 12836; P. Xu, S. Guo, L. Wang, and P. Tang, *Synlett*, 2015, **26**, 36; D. E. Yerien, S. Barata-Vallejo, and A. Postigo, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2017, **23**, 14676; S. Krishnamoorthy and G. K. S. Prakash, *Synthesis*, 2017, **49**, 3394.
4. C. D. Sessler, M. Rahm, S. Becker, J. M. Goldberg, F. Wang, and S. J. Lippard, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 9325; N. A. Meanwell, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2011, **54**, 2529; Y. Zafrani, D. Yeffet, G. Sod-Moriah, A. Berliner, D. Amir, D. Marciano, E. Gershonov, and S. Saphier, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2017, **60**, 797.
5. Y. Yamamoto, T. Kurohara, and M. Shibuya, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, **51**, 16357; T. Kurohara, M. Shibuya, and Y. Yamamoto, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2017, **359**, 1413.
6. T. Kurohara, J. Jiyue, M. Shibuya, and Y. Yamamoto, *Synthesis*, 2018, **50**, 1687.
7. M. Obayashi, E. Ito, K. Matsui, and K. Kondo, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1982, **23**, 2323.
8. P. Beier, A. V. Alexandrova, M. Zibinsky, and G. K. S. Prakash, *Tetrahderon*, 2008, **64**, 10977.
9. Y. Yamamoto, Y. Takamizu, T. Kurohara, and M. Shibuya, *Heterocycles*, 2017, **95**, 525.
10. Y. Yamamoto, M. Ohno, and S. Eguchi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1995, **117**, 9653.
11. L. Vieweg, S. Reichau, R. Schobert, P. F. Leadlay, and R. D. Süssmuth, *Nat. Prod. Rep.*, 2014, **31**, 1554.
12. T. Hagiwara and T. Fuchikami, *Synlett*, 1995, 717.
13. Y. Zhao, W. Huang, J. Zheng, and J. Hu, *Org. Lett.*, 2011, **13**, 5342.
14. D. Chen, C. Ni, Y. Zhao, X. Cai, X. Li, P. Xiao, and J. Hu, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 12632.
15. The isolated **10f** and **11f** contained inseparable trace impurities.
16. L. S. Liebeskind, R. W. Fengl, K. R. Wirtz, and T. T. Shawe, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1988, **53**, 2482.