

HETEROCYCLES, Vol. 104, No. 5, 2022, pp. 925 - 933. © 2022 The Japan Institute of Heterocyclic Chemistry  
Received, 19th January, 2022, Accepted, 24th February, 2022, Published online, 8th March, 2022  
DOI: 10.3987/COM-22-14628

## INHIBITION OF AMYLOID $\beta$ AGGREGATION BY *p*-TERPHENYL DERIVATIVES ISOLATED FROM *BOLETOPSIS LEUCOMELAS*

Akihisa Kobori,<sup>a</sup> Kentaro Hosaka,<sup>b</sup> and Hideyuki Shigemori<sup>c,d\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Graduate School of Science and Technology, University of Tsukuba, 1-1-1 Tennodai, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8572, Japan; <sup>b</sup>Department of Biology, National Museum of Nature and Science, 4-1-1 Amakubo, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-0005, Japan; <sup>c</sup>Faculty of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of Tsukuba, 1-1-1 Tennodai, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8572, Japan; <sup>d</sup>Microbiology Research Center for Sustainability (MiCS), University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8572, Japan; E-mail:shigemori.hideyuk.fn@u.tsukuba.ac.jp

**Abstract** – The number of patients with Alzheimer's disease (AD) is increasing rapidly. Amyloid polypeptides are thought to be involved in the pathogenesis of the disease. Amyloid- $\beta$  (A $\beta$ ), which aggregates in the brain, is cytotoxic and is considered the cause of AD. Therefore, inhibiting the aggregation of amyloid polypeptides and degrading existing amyloid aggregates is a promising approach for the treatment and prevention of the disease. In the present study, we report the inhibition of A $\beta$ 42 aggregation by *p*-terphenyl derivatives **1–4** isolated from *Boletopsis leucomelas* and the hydrolysis derivative **5** and acetyl derivative **6** of compound **3**. *p*-Terphenyl derivatives exhibited aggregation-inhibiting activity in a dose-dependent manner. All of the *p*-terphenyl derivatives used in this study demonstrated activity, suggesting that daily consumption of foods containing *p*-terphenyl derivatives may be effective for the prevention and treatment of AD.

## INTRODUCTION

Alzheimer's disease (AD) is the most common neurodegenerative disease, and it affects many elderly people. Currently, there is no cure for AD. Recently, the amyloid hypothesis has been proposed as a possible mechanism for the pathogenesis of AD.<sup>1</sup> The amyloid hypothesis refers to the self-assembly of 40- or 42-mer amyloid- $\beta$  proteins (A $\beta$ 40 or A $\beta$ 42) to form senile plaques. A $\beta$  peptides are produced from amyloid precursor protein (APP) by  $\beta$ - and  $\gamma$ -secretases.<sup>2,3</sup> A $\beta$ 40 and A $\beta$ 42 form oligomers and fibrils, respectively,

and are deposited in the cerebral cortex in a  $\beta$ -sheet structure, causing neuronal cell death and hippocampal atrophy, eventually leading to AD.

It is likely that A $\beta$ 42 plays a more important role in the pathogenesis of AD because it has a stronger tendency to oligomerize and is more neurotoxic than A $\beta$ 40.<sup>1,4</sup> Therefore, the inhibition of A $\beta$  aggregation by small molecules derived from natural products may contribute to the prevention and treatment of AD. In addition, catechol is likely to be involved in the inhibition of A $\beta$  aggregation, and a recent structure-activity relationship study using three tyrosol ligands demonstrated that catechol has aggregation-inhibiting activity, which is a result of hydrogen bonding between the hydroxy group of catechol and Glu22. The hydrogen bond may stabilize the A $\beta$ -ligand interaction.<sup>5</sup>

We have previously reported that caffeoylquinic acids, phenylethanoid glycosides, and hispidin derivatives inhibit A $\beta$ 42 aggregation.<sup>6-9</sup> Recently, we demonstrated that kukoamines A and B, schizotenuin A, lycopic acid, rosmarinic acid, clovamide, and A-type procyanidins exhibit A $\beta$  aggregation-inhibiting activity.<sup>10-15</sup> All of these compounds contain catechol moieties, suggesting that catechol-type polyphenols may inhibit the aggregation of amyloid proteins.


*Boletopsis leucomelas* is an edible mushroom that forms black nodules. Several bioactive compounds, including polyphenols such as thelephoric acid, have been isolated from this mushroom<sup>16</sup> and *p*-terphenyl derivatives such as thelephoric acid have been reported to inhibit the enzyme  $\beta$ -secretase (BACE1), which cleaves the A $\beta$  precursor protein (APP).<sup>17</sup> However, to the best of our knowledge, there are no reports on the inhibition of A $\beta$ 42 aggregation by *p*-terphenyl derivatives. *p*-Terphenyl derivatives isolated from *B. leucomelas* contain a catechol moiety, which may have an inhibitory effect on A $\beta$  aggregation.

In the present study, we isolated and derivatized several *p*-terphenyl derivatives from *B. leucomelas* and investigated their inhibitory activity against A $\beta$  aggregation.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Isolation of *p*-terphenyl derivatives from *B. leucomelas*

*B. leucomelas* (58.6 g, wet weight) was extracted in MeOH, and the MeOH extract (5.0 g) was partitioned with EtOAc and H<sub>2</sub>O. The EtOAc-soluble material (1.1 g) was separated by silica gel chromatography to yield compounds **1** (161.2 mg; BI-III), **2** (19.2 mg; sarcodan), **3** (297.7 mg; BI-IV), and **4** (12.4 mg; BI-V). Compound **3** was hydrolyzed with NaOH aq. in MeOH to afford **5**, and was separately acetylated with acetic anhydride in pyridine to afford compound **6**. The structures of compounds **1–6** (Figure 1) were confirmed by NMR spectral data.<sup>16,18-20</sup>




**Figure 1.** Structures of compounds **1–6**

### Inhibitory effect of compounds **1–6** on A $\beta$ 42 aggregation

A thioflavin-T (Th-T) fluorescence assay was performed to evaluate the inhibitory effect of compounds **1–6** on A $\beta$ 42 aggregation (Figure 2). The IC<sub>50</sub> values were calculated from the percentage inhibition (%) of each compound on A $\beta$ 42 aggregation after 24 h; **1**: 1.2  $\mu$ M, **2**: 1.3  $\mu$ M, **3**: 0.9  $\mu$ M, **4**: 0.5  $\mu$ M, **5**: 1.6  $\mu$ M, and **6**: 7.2  $\mu$ M. Compounds **1–5**, which have one or two catechol moieties, and compound **6**, which does not have a catechol moiety, all demonstrated the same level of activity. The IC<sub>50</sub> value of clovamide, which has already been reported to have aggregation inhibitory activity in our previous result,<sup>13</sup> is 5.7  $\mu$ M, and the compound of this study has the same or higher activity.


Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) was used to examine the effect of compounds **1–6** on the fibril formation of A $\beta$ 42. Typical fibril formation was observed in A $\beta$ 42 alone. However, treatment with compounds **1–6** significantly inhibited fibril formation after 24 h (Figure 3).

The Th-T assay was used to investigate the efficacy of the *p*-terphenyl derivatives and the structure-activity relationships of **1–6** that lead to the inhibition of A $\beta$ 42 aggregation (Figure 2). Furthermore, TEM was used to observe the modulation of the inhibitory effect of **1–6** on A $\beta$ 42 fibril formation (Figure 3). The Th-T assay and TEM results demonstrate that compounds **1–5** exhibit comparable activity. Several studies have demonstrated that the catechol moiety is important for A $\beta$ 42 aggregation inhibitory activity. The catechol moiety readily autoxidizes to form *o*-benzoquinone, which can covalently bind to nucleophilic amino acid residues in amyloid proteins (Michael addition and Schiff base formation) and destabilize the  $\beta$ -sheet structure.<sup>21-23</sup> As compounds **1–5** contain catechol moieties, this mechanism can be used to explain their inhibitory effect on A $\beta$ 42 aggregation.



**Figure 2.** Effect of compounds 1–6 on aggregation of Aβ42. Fibril formation of 25 μM of Aβ42 was monitored by Th-T fluorescence and presence of 1, 10, and 100 μM of A: 1, B: 2, C: 3, D: 4, E: 5, F: 6 and G: clovamide. Fluorescence intensity was measured at an excitation wavelength 420 nm and emission wavelength of 485 nm, n=7.

Compound 6, which contains no catechol moieties, demonstrated weaker activity than that of compounds 1–5. There have been a large number of reports on the anti-aggregation ability and mechanism of action of catechol-type flavonoids such as (+)-taxifolin, myricetin, quercetin, (+)-catechin, epigallocatechin gallate, and rosmarinic acid on amyloid-forming proteins. In addition, several non-catechol-type flavonoids and curcuminoids have also demonstrated potent inhibitory effects on Aβ42 aggregation.



**Figure 3.** Effects of compounds **1–6** on A $\beta$ 42 fibrillogenesis by transmission electron micrographs. Fibril formation was observed after 24 h incubation in 50  $\mu$ M PBS buffer. Scale bars: 1  $\mu$ m. **A:** A $\beta$ 42 (25  $\mu$ M), **B:** A $\beta$ 42 (25  $\mu$ M) + **1** (100  $\mu$ M), **C:** A $\beta$ 42 (25  $\mu$ M) + **2** (100  $\mu$ M), **D:** A $\beta$ 42 (25  $\mu$ M) + **3** (100  $\mu$ M), **E:** A $\beta$ 42 (25  $\mu$ M) + **4** (100  $\mu$ M), **F:** A $\beta$ 42 (25  $\mu$ M) + **5** (100  $\mu$ M), **G:** A $\beta$ 42 (25  $\mu$ M) + **6** (100  $\mu$ M) and **H:** A $\beta$ 42 (25  $\mu$ M) + clovamide (100  $\mu$ M).

Previous studies have suggested that  $\pi$ - $\pi$  stacking interactions between amino acid residues of A $\beta$ 42 and the aromatic rings of inhibitors and hydrogen bonding are the factors controlling the inhibition of A $\beta$ 42  $\beta$ -sheet formation.<sup>24</sup> Irie *et al.* reported that the mechanism of inhibition of A $\beta$  aggregation by the non-catechol structural compounds morin and datiscetin involves an important interaction between the aromatic rings Phe19 and Phe20 in A $\beta$ 42 aggregates due to their inherent planarity.<sup>25</sup> Morin and datiscetin are planar compounds similar to curcumin, as they contain an aromatic B ring in the C ring and an  $\alpha,\beta$ -unsaturated ketone. In this study, compounds **1–6** also have an furan moiety with a fixed planar structure, which may intercalate with Phe19 and Phe20 in A $\beta$ 42 aggregates, leading to the inhibition of A $\beta$ 42 aggregation.

Docking simulations using benzoquinone, which is structurally similar to compounds **1–6**, also report  $\pi$ - $\pi$  stacking interactions between Leu34 and Met35.<sup>26</sup> It can be inferred that compound **6** uses this mechanism to inhibit A $\beta$ 42 aggregation.

Thus, compounds **1–5** prevent A $\beta$ 42 aggregation by covalent binding of A $\beta$ 42 to Lys16 and Lys28 as well as non-covalent interactions with His13, His14, Phe19, and Phe20 residues, while compound **6** prevented aggregation only by non-covalent interactions with His13, His14, Phe19, and Phe20 residues. The formation of covalent bonds with the Lys residues of A $\beta$ 42 is expected to inhibit aggregation more

effectively than milder interactions. This is consistent with the report that the formation of covalent bonds with the Lys residues of A $\beta$ 42 would be more effective for inhibiting aggregation than the moderate interaction with His13, His14, Phe19, and Phe20 residues through  $\pi$ - $\pi$  stacking.<sup>25</sup>

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report demonstrating the inhibitory effect of *p*-terphenyl derivatives on A $\beta$ 42 aggregation. Therefore, the use of *p*-terphenyl derivatives may be a promising therapeutic approach to inhibit A $\beta$ -mediated pathology in AD progression. In addition, the high content (*ca.* 1–2%) of *p*-terphenyl derivatives in *B. leucomelas* may add value to *B. leucomelas* as an edible mushroom.

## EXPERIMENTAL

### General Procedures

<sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were obtained with a Bruker Avance 500 spectrometer in CD<sub>3</sub>OD. The resonances at  $\delta_{\text{H}}$  3.35 and  $\delta_{\text{C}}$  49.8 were used as internal references for the <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra. ESI-MS and HRESI-MS spectra were recorded with a Waters Synapt G2 mass spectrometer.

### Mushroom Material

*Boletopsis leucomelas* was collected from Kamiina-gun, Nagano, Japan and was identified by co-author Dr. K. Hosaka.

### Isolation of the *p*-terphenyl derivatives from *B. leucomelas*

The fruiting body (58.6 g, wet weight) of *B. leucomelas* was extracted in MeOH (200 mL $\times$ 3), and the MeOH extract (BL, 5.0 g) was partitioned using EtOAc (250 mL $\times$ 1, 200 mL $\times$ 2) and H<sub>2</sub>O (250 mL). The EtOAc soluble material (BL-EA, 1.1 g) was transferred to a silica gel column (Wakogel C-300, 2.2 $\times$ 37 cm, CHCl<sub>3</sub>/MeOH, 100:0 $\rightarrow$ 98:2 $\rightarrow$ 95:5 $\rightarrow$ 9:1 $\rightarrow$ 8:2 $\rightarrow$ 0:100) and partitioned into a further 15 fractions (BL-EA-1-15). Compound **1** (161.2 mg) was obtained from BL-EA-8 and compound **3** (297.7 mg) from BL-EA-11. BL-EA-10 was purified using a silica gel column (Wakogel C-300, 1.0 $\times$ 12 cm, CHCl<sub>3</sub>/MeOH, 9:1 $\rightarrow$ 0:10) to yield compound **2** (19.2 mg). BL-EA-13 was purified using a silica gel column (Cosmosil 75C 18-OPN, 1.0 $\times$ 13 cm, H<sub>2</sub>O/MeOH, 1:1 $\rightarrow$ 4:6 $\rightarrow$ 0:10) to yield compound **4** (12.4 mg). The structures of compounds **1**–**4** were confirmed by NMR spectral analysis.<sup>17,19-21</sup>

### Hydrolysis of compound **3**

Compound **3** (30 mg) was reacted with NaOH aq (2 mL) in MeOH (2 mL). The mixture was reacted at room temperature for 30 min and then EtOAc (6 mL) and H<sub>2</sub>O (2 mL) were added. The EtOAc layer was concentrated and dried to afford compound **5** (19.9 mg, 97% yield).<sup>16</sup> <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>OD)  $\delta_{\text{H}}$ : 6.81 (2H,

d,  $J = 6.6$  Hz), 7.06 (1H, s), 7.26 (2H, d,  $J = 6.6$  Hz), and 7.36 (1H, s);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR ( $\text{CD}_3\text{OD}$ )  $\delta_{\text{C}}$ : 99.0, 108.8, 115.6, 116.0 (2C), 117.0, 118.5, 126.5, 132.4, 133.4 (2C), 133.8, 138.5, 133.5, 142.7, 146.5, 152.0, and 157.6; ESI-MS (positive ion)  $m/z$  341  $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$ , HR-ESI-MS (positive ion)  $m/z$  341.0661  $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$  (calcd for  $\text{C}_{18}\text{H}_{13}\text{O}_7$ , 341.0659).

### Acetylation of compound 3

Compound **3** (53 mg) was reacted with acetic anhydride (0.6 mL) in pyridine (0.5 mL) at room temperature for 24 h. The reaction solution was concentrated *in vacuo* to afford **6** (47 mg, 86% yield).<sup>20</sup>  $^1\text{H}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta_{\text{H}}$ : 7.16 (2H, d,  $J = 8.6$  Hz), 7.33 (2H, d,  $J = 8.6$  Hz), 7.49 (1H, s), and 7.58 (1H, s);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta_{\text{C}}$ : 19.7, 19.9, 20.2, 20.3 (2C), 20.8, 107.8, 116.3, 117.8, 118.8, 121.9 (2C), 128.1 (2C), 130.3, 130.4 (2C), 134.4, 136.1, 139.2, 142.6, 146.1, 150.5, 152.9, 167.8, 168.0 (3C), 168.3, and 168.9; ESI-MS (positive ion)  $m/z$  615  $[\text{M}+\text{Na}]^+$ , HR-ESI-MS (positive ion)  $m/z$  615.1115  $[\text{M}+\text{Na}]^+$  (calcd for  $\text{C}_{30}\text{H}_{24}\text{O}_{13}\text{Na}$ , 615.1131).

### Th-T assay

The aggregation ability of A $\beta$ 42 was assessed by the Th-T method developed by Niki *et al.*<sup>27</sup> The procedure is described elsewhere.<sup>28</sup> To assess the inhibitory effect of compounds **1–6** on A $\beta$  aggregation, a Th-T fluorescence assay was performed. Briefly, A $\beta$ 42 was dissolved in 0.1%  $\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$  at a concentration of 250  $\mu\text{M}$ . The A $\beta$ 42 solution was diluted tenfold with 50 mM sodium phosphate (pH=7.4) and incubated at 37  $^\circ\text{C}$  with and without the sample. The peptide solution (2.5  $\mu\text{L}$ ) was added to 250  $\mu\text{L}$  of 5  $\mu\text{M}$  Th-T in 5  $\mu\text{M}$  Gly-NaOH (pH=8.5). The fluorescence intensity was measured using a Wallac 1420 ARVO MX multi-detection microplate reader (PerkinElmer) at an excitation wavelength of 420 nm and emission wavelength of 485 nm. The relative fluorescence of Th-T was calculated as a percentage of A $\beta$ 42 alone, with a maximum value of 100%. The  $\text{IC}_{50}$  value was calculated from the inhibition rate (%) of each compound on A $\beta$ 42 aggregation after 24 h of incubation.

### TEM

TEM was used to examine the fibrillation of A $\beta$ 42. A $\beta$ 42 (25  $\mu\text{M}$ ) was treated with compounds **1–6** (100  $\mu\text{M}$  each), deposited on carbon-coated Formvar grids, incubated at room temperature for 2 min, washed twice with  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , and air-dried for 5 min. After 24 h of incubation, the samples were observed using a JEOL JEM-1400 electron microscope.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Professor Kazuhiro Irie, Associate Professor Kazuma Murakami, Dr. Mizuho Hanaki, and Dr.

Yumi Irie, Graduate School of Agriculture, Kyoto University for preparing A $\beta$ 42. The analysis of compounds **1-6** was carried out with AVANCE 500 at Chemical Analysis Division and the Open Facility, Research Facility Center for Science and Technology, University of Tsukuba and TEM observation method was used with JEOL JEM-1400 at Center for Medical Electron Microscopy, University of Tsukuba. We would like to thank Editage ([www.editage.com](http://www.editage.com)) for English language editing. This work was partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP20H05581.

## REFERENCES AND NOTES

1. J. Hardly and D. J. Selkoe, *Science*, 2002, **297**, 353.
2. G. G. Glenner and C. W. Wong, *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.*, 1984, **120**, 885.
3. C. L. Masters, G. Simms, N. A. Weinman, G. Multhaup, B. L. McDonald, and K. Beyreuther, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 1985, **82**, 4245.
4. C. Haass and D. J. Selkoe, *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.*, 2007, **8**, 101.
5. F. Lolicato, A. Raudino, D. Milardi, and C. La Rosa, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2015, **92**, 876.
6. Y. Miyamae, M. Kurisu, K. Murakami, J. Han, H. Isoda, K. Irie, and H. Shigemori, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, 2012, **20**, 5844.
7. M. Kurisu, Y. Miyamae, K. Murakami, J. Han, H. Isoda, K. Irie, and H. Shigemori, *Biosci. Biotech. Biochem.*, 2013, **77**, 1329.
8. E. Kidachi, M. Kurisu, Y. Miyamae, M. Hanaki, K. Murakami, K. Irie, and H. Shigemori, *Heterocycles*, 2016, **92**, 1976.
9. Y. Aihara, A. Kawaguchi, M. Hanaki, K. Murakami, K. Irie, and H. Shigemori, *Heterocycles*, 2017, **94**, 1280.
10. G. Jiang, M. Takase, Y. Aihara, and H. Shigemori, *J. Nat. Med.*, 2020, **74**, 247.
11. J. Sun, T. Murata, and H. Shigemori, *J. Nat. Med.*, 2020, **74**, 579.
12. J. Sun, G. Jiang, and H. Shigemori, *Nat. Prod. Commun.*, 2019, **14**, 1.
13. T. Tsunoda, M. Takase, and H. Shigemori, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, 2018, **26**, 3202.
14. D. Nomoto, T. Tsunoda, and H. Shigemori, *J. Nat. Med.*, 2021, **75**, 299.
15. T. Tanaka, V. V. Betkekar, K. Ohmori, K. Suzuki, and H. Shigemori, *Pharmaceuticals*, 2021, **14**, 1118.
16. E. Jägers, E. Hillen-Maske, and W. Steglich, *Z. Naturforsch. B*, 1987, **42b**, 1349.
17. S. Chon, E. Yang, T. Lee, and K. Song, *Food Funct.*, 2016, **7**, 3834.
18. A. Takahashi, R. Kudo, G. Kusano, and S. Nozoe, *Chem. Pharm. Bull.*, 1992, **40**, 3194.
19. B.-J. Ma, Q. Hu, and J.-K. Liu, *J. Basic Microbiol.*, 2006, **46**, 239.
20. S. Sakai, T. Sayo, S. Inoue, H. Kawagishi, and H. Murakami, *Jpn. Kokai Tokkyo*

*Koho*, 1999 JP 11246545.

21. T. Ishii, T. Mori, T. Tanaka, D. Mizuno, R. Yamaji, S. Kumazawa, T. Nakayama, and M. Akagawa, [\*Free Radic. Biol. Med.\*, 2008, \*\*45\*\*, 1384.](#)
22. M. Sato, K. Murakami, M. Uno, Y. Nakagawa, S. Katayama, K. Akagi, Y. Masuda, K. Takegoshi, and K. Irie, [\*J. Biol. Chem.\*, 2013, \*\*288\*\*, 23212.](#)
23. S. Bittner, [\*Amino Acids\*, 2006, \*\*30\*\*, 205.](#)
24. W. J. Du, J. J. Guo, M. T. Gao, S. Q. Hu, X. Y. Dong, Y. F. Han, F. F. Liu, S. Jiang, and Y. Sun, *Sci. Rep.*, 2015, **5**, 7992.
25. M. Hanaki, K. Murakami, K. Akagi, and K. Irie, [\*Bioorg. Med. Chem.\*, 2016, \*\*24\*\*, 304.](#)
26. L. Zhou, J. Wang, R. Guo, B. Lin, X. B. Wang, X. X. Huang, and S. J. Song, [\*Bioorg. Chem.\*, 2018, \*\*80\*\*, 64.](#)
27. H. Naiki and F. Gejyo, [\*Methods Enzymol.\*, 1999, \*\*309\*\*, 305.](#)
28. K. Murakami, K. Irie, A. Morimoto, H. Ohigashi, M. Shindo, M. Nagao, T. Shimizu, and T. Shirasawa, [\*J. Biol. Chem.\*, 2003, \*\*278\*\*, 46179.](#)